

**From:** [Bruce Lisman](#)  
**To:** [PUC - Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Case 23 2220-Rule  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 29, 2024 8:36:30 PM

---

[You don't often get email from [bmlisman@gmail.com](mailto:bmlisman@gmail.com). Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

EXTERNAL SENDER: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

To whom it may concern

I have read much of the material that has been made available to the public. The complexity of this bill is simply beyond understanding. It's the opposite of good rule- making that would offer complete transparency. Because of that, it will be a magnet for fraud and mistakes. The cost of this effort can only be estimated, but under any set of scenarios is very high compared with the Gross Domestic Product of Vermont (smallest in the nation). The benefits may eventually be positive, but since our entire output of carbon dioxide is the 5th lowest per capita, and the total of emissions is the lowest in the country, that benefit will be modest compared with the cost of reducing it. And, for all that money spent, the impact on climate change will be negligible.

If we implement this, the biggest impact will be on those who can least afford it—Vermonters with low incomes or fixed incomes. It's another regressive surcharge. Instead, we should be spending money to protect Vermonters from a changing climate.

Thank you,

Bruce Lisman  
Sent from my iPad