

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-1: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency Quantifiable Performance Indicators (QPIs)

QPI#	Title	Quantifiable Performance Indicator	Target	Period	Incentive Weight	Form of Verification	Incentive Amount (100%)
1	Electricity Savings	Annual incremental net MWh savings	321,800 MWh (See Note 1)	2015-2017 cumulative	28%	Annual Verification Process	\$956,394
2	Total Resource Benefits	Present worth of lifetime electric, fossil, and water benefits	\$336,300,000 (See Note 2)	2015-2017 cumulative	30%	Annual Verification Process	\$1,024,708
3	Summer Peak Demand Savings	Cumulative net summer peak demand savings	41,300 kW (See Note 3)	2015-2017 cumulative	17%	Annual Verification Process	\$580,668
4	Winter Peak Demand Savings	Cumulative net winter peak demand savings	53,700 kW (See Note 4)	2015-2017 cumulative	14%	Annual Verification Process	\$478,197
5	Business Comprehensiveness	Savings as a % of baseline year usage for Companies who complete Business Existing Facilities efficiency projects	11.0% of Usage (See Note 5)	2015-2017 cumulative	5%	Annual Verification Process	\$170,785
6	Market Transformation Residential	Residential new construction project completions with substantial energy savings in 2015-2017 as % of total residential new construction permits in 2014-2016	42% of Vermont 1-4 unit building permits (See Note 6)	2015-2017 cumulative	3%	Annual Verification Process	\$102,471
7	Market Transformation Business	Number of energy efficiency measure supply chain partners linked to at least 3 (completed) projects	500 Partners (See Note 7)	2015-2017 cumulative	3%	Annual Verification Process	\$102,471
TOTAL					100%		\$3,415,693

Notes

- Note 1 The award is scaled as follows. Below 241,400 MWh (90% of the modeled result), VEIC earns no incentive. At 241,400 MWh VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 60% and 100% of the incentive amount for performance between 241,400 and 321,800 MWh. For performance greater than 321,800 MWh, VEIC can earn a set amount per MWh as provided for in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 2 The award is scaled as follows. Below \$252,200,000 of TRB (90% of the modeled result), VEIC earns no incentive. At \$252,200,000 of TRB VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 60% and 100% of the incentive amount for performance between \$252,200,000 and \$336,300,000 of TRB. For performance greater than \$336,300,000 of TRB, VEIC can earn a set amount per \$1 of TRB as provided for in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 3 The award is scaled as follows. Below 31,000 kW (90% of the modeled result), VEIC earns no incentive. At 31,000 kW VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 60% and 100% of the incentive amount for performance between 31,000 and 41,300 kW. For performance greater than 41,300 kW, VEIC can earn a set amount per kW as provided for in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 4 The award is scaled as follows. Below 40,300 kW (90% of the modeled results), VEIC earns no incentive. At 40,300 kW VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 60% and 100% of the incentive amount for performance between 40,300 and 53,700 kW. For performance greater than 53,700 kW, VEIC can earn a set amount per kW as provided for in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 5 The award is scaled as follows. Below 8.8% (80% of the 100% Target Level), VEIC earns no incentive. At 8.8% VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 8.8% and 11.0%. For performance greater than 11.0% VEIC can earn a set amount per 0.1% as provided for in Table A-3, with a cap for this award as also provided in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 6 The award is scaled as follows. Below 36% (85% of the 100% Target Level), VEIC earns no incentive. At 36% market share VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 36% and 42% market share. For performance greater than 42% market share VEIC can earn a set amount per 1% as provided for in Table A-3, with a cap for this award as also provided in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.
- Note 7 The award is scaled as follows. Below 400 instances (80% of the 100% Target Level), VEIC earns no incentive. At 400 instances VEIC earns 60% of the total incentive. The award shall be scaled linearly between 60% and 100% of the incentive amount for performance between 400 and 500 instances. For performance greater than 500 instances, VEIC can earn a set amount per instance as provided for in Table A-3, with a cap for this award as also provided in Table A-3. However, VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-2: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency QPIs

Threshold and Scaling Up to 100% Target Level

QPI #1: ELECTRICITY SAVINGS (MWh)

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	241,400	321,800	\$4.76
% of model	90%	120%	Per MWh between 241,400 and 321,800
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$573,836	\$956,394	

QPI #2: TOTAL RESOURCE BENEFITS

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	\$252,200,000	\$336,300,000	\$0.0048738
% of model	90%	120%	Per TRB Dollar between \$252,200,000 and \$336,300,000
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$614,825	\$1,024,708	

QPI #3: SUMMER PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS (kW)

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	31,000	41,300	\$22.55
% of model	90%	120%	Per kW between 31,000 and 41,300
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$348,401	\$580,668	

QPI #4: WINTER PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS (kW)

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	40,300	53,700	\$14.27
% of model	90%	120%	Per kW between 40,300 and 53,700
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$286,918	\$478,197	

QPI #5: BUSINESS COMPREHENSIVENESS

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	8.8%	11.0%	\$3,105
% of target	80%	100%	Per 0.1% between 8.8% and 11.0%
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$102,471	\$170,785	

QPI #6: MARKET TRANSFORMATION RESIDENTIAL

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	36%	42%	\$6,831
% of target	85%	100%	Per 1% between 36% and 42%
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$61,482	\$102,471	

QPI #7: MARKET TRANSFORMATION BUSINESS

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	400	500	\$409.88
% of target	80%	100%	Per Instance between 400 and 500
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$61,482	\$102,471	

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-3 Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency QPIs

Scaling Above 100% Target Level

Performance Indicator	100% Target Level	Increase Rate	Units	Cap \$
QPI #1: ELECTRICITY SAVINGS (MWh)	321,800	\$8.7011	per MWh above 100% Target Level	N/A
QPI #2: TOTAL RESOURCE BENEFITS	\$336,300,000	\$0.0089	per \$ above 100% Target Level	N/A
QPI #3: SUMMER PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS (kW)	41,300	\$41.1622	per kW above 100% Target Level	N/A
QPI #4: WINTER PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS (kW)	53,700	\$26.0708	per kW above 100% Target Level	N/A
QPI #5: BUSINESS COMPREHENSIVENESS	11.0%	\$4,545.4545	per 0.1% above 100% Target Level	\$196,000
QPI #6: MARKET TRANSFORMATION RESIDENTIAL	42%	\$7,142.8571	per % above 100% Target Level	\$117,000
QPI #7: MARKET TRANSFORMATION BUSINESS	500	\$600.0000	per unit above 100% Target Level	\$117,000

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-4: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency QPIs - Minimum Performance Requirements

QPI#	Title	Minimum Requirement	Policy Goal Advanced	Form of Verification	Performance Incentive Award Reduction %	Financial Impact
8	Minimum Electric Benefits	Total electric benefits divided by total costs is greater than 1.2	Equity for all Vermont electric customers as a group by ensuring that the overall electric benefits are greater than the costs incurred to implement and evaluate the EEU and the EEC	Tracking System	Eliminates 100% of performance incentive award	\$3,915,693
9	Threshold (or minimum acceptable) Level of Participation by Residential Customers	Total residential sector spending is greater than \$32,500,000	Equity for residential customers by ensuring that a minimum level of overall efficiency efforts, as reflected in spending, will be dedicated to residential customers	Accounting System	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 18%	\$614,825
10	Threshold (or minimum acceptable) Level of Participation by Low-Income Households	Total low-income services spending is greater than \$10,500,000	Equity for low-income customers by ensuring that a minimum level of overall efficiency efforts, as reflected in spending, will be dedicated to low-income households	Accounting System	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 18%	\$614,825
11	Threshold (or minimum acceptable) Level of Participation by Small Business Customers	Total non-residential premises with annual electric use of 40,000 kWh/yr or less that acquire kWh savings is greater than 2,000	Equity for small business customers by ensuring that a minimum level of overall efficiency efforts, as reflected in participation, will be dedicated to small business accounts	Tracking System	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 18%	\$614,825
12	Geographic Equity	TRB for each geographic area is greater than values shown on Table A-5	Geographic equity for all Vermont electric customers by ensuring that energy efficiency benefits are geographically distributed on an equitable basis	Tracking System	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 6%	\$204,942
13	Program Implementation Efficiency - Key Process Improvements	Meet all pre-determined milestones on schedule	To clearly identify, document, and measure key business processes associated with Efficiency Vermont's delivery of services under the Order of Appointment	Tracking Report	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 2%	\$68,314
14	Service Quality	Achieve 92 or more metric points in the Service Quality and Reliability Plan over the course of the Performance Period	To establish Quality Performance Standards and associated reporting requirements for energy efficiency services provided by Efficiency Vermont	Quarterly, Annual and Performance Period Reports	Reduces total performance incentive award by \$1,630 per point lost (beyond 16) with a potential total reduction at 100% Target Level by 4.4%	\$150,000
15	Resource Acquisition Performance Period Spending	Total spending for a three-year performance period (including applicable operations fees) is less than \$136,181,694	To minimize total spending variances above Board approved 2015-2017 budgets	2017 Savings Claim Summary	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 2.0% and increases at 0.5%	Penalty begins at \$20,000 and increases per Table A-13

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

**Table A-5: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency QPIs
Minimum TRB per Geographic Area for Minimum Performance Requirement**

Geographic Area	Required TRB per Geographic Area for MPR #12	% by County
Addison	\$9,569,786	5.6%
Bennington	\$11,755,268	6.9%
Caledonia	\$7,381,188	4.3%
Chittenden	\$34,376,179	20.1%
Essex/Orleans	\$8,700,557	5.1%
Franklin	\$14,422,521	8.4%
Grand Isle/Lamoille	\$9,155,602	5.4%
Orange	\$5,985,825	3.5%
Rutland	\$19,819,855	11.6%
Washington	\$16,412,881	9.6%
Windham	\$16,951,229	9.9%
Windsor	\$16,433,720	9.6%
Total	\$170,965,000	100.0%

Note: All geographic names above refer to Vermont Counties.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-6: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Electric-Efficiency Super-Stretch Targets and Cap

QPI#		<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Incentive \$ 100% Target</u>	<u>Increase Rate To Be Spread</u>	<u>Per Unit</u>
1	MWh	241,400	321,800	\$382,558	\$4.7582
2	Total Resource Benefit	\$252,200,000	\$336,300,000	\$409,883	\$0.0049
3	Summer kW	31,000	41,300	\$232,267	\$22.5502
4	Winter kW	40,300	53,700	\$191,279	\$14.2745
5	Business Comprehensiveness	8.8%	11.0%	\$68,314	\$3,105.1755
6	Market Transformation-Residential	36%	42%	\$40,988	\$6,831.3861
7	Market Transformation-Business	400	500	\$40,988	\$409.8832

Total Possible Incentive to be Earned =	\$3,915,693							
Super Stretch Incentive =	\$500,000							
Percent Increase for Superstretch =	5%							

QPI#	<u>100% Target</u>	<u>Super Stretch Target</u>	<u>Super Stretch Weighting, Based on Weighting in Table A-1</u>	<u>Award Available for Super Stretch Payments</u>	<u>Super Stretch Payment Rate per Unit Achieved above 100%</u>	<u>Super Stretch Cap % (% of Total Award)</u>	<u>Super Stretch \$ Cap¹</u>
1 MWh	321,800	337,890	28%	\$140,000	\$8.70	No Cap	No Cap
2 Total Resource Benefit	\$336,300,000	\$353,115,000	30%	\$150,000	\$0.01	No Cap	No Cap
3 Summer kW	41,300	43,365	17%	\$85,000	\$41.16	No Cap	No Cap
4 Winter kW	53,700	56,385	14%	\$70,000	\$26.07	No Cap	No Cap
5 Business Comprehensiveness	11.0%	11.6%	5%	\$25,000	\$4,545.45	5%	\$196,000
6 Market Transformation-Residential	42%	44%	3%	\$15,000	\$7,142.86	3%	\$117,000
7 Market Transformation-Business	500	525	<u>3%</u>	<u>\$15,000</u>	\$600.00	3%	\$117,000
			100%	\$500,000			

¹ VEIC's total performance incentive for Electric EEU Funds performance indicators may not exceed \$3,915,693.

Table A-7: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Thermal-Energy-and-Process-Fuel (TEPF) QPIs

QPI #	Title	Performance Indicator	Target	Period	Incentive Weight	Form of Verification	Incentive Amount (100%)
1	Thermal & Mechanical Energy Efficiency Savings	Annual incremental net MMBTU savings	279,000	2015-2017 cumulative	75%	Annual Verification Process	\$386,299
2	Residential Single Family Comprehensiveness (Note 4)	a. Average air leakage reduction per project (Note 1)	34%	2015-2017 cumulative	25%	Annual Verification Process	\$128,766
		b. Percent of projects with square feet of insulation added at least 50% of the home's finished square feet of floor area (Note 2)	44%	2015-2017 cumulative		Annual Verification Process	
		c. Percent of households (premises) with both shell measures and heating system measures installed, within contiguous calendar years. (Note 3)	16%	2015-2017 cumulative		Annual Verification Process	
			TOTALS		100%		\$515,065

Notes

- 1 Projects are defined as a work project completed by a Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (HPwES) contractor in a single family (1-4 units). All single family homes in which Thermal Energy and Process Fuel-funded incentives are provided through HPwES will be included in the average, regardless of whether or not air infiltration reduction is achieved.
- 2 The total shall include all insulation that is installed in the home, including attic and ceiling insulation, wall insulation, floor insulation, foundation insulation, etc.
- 3 Significant heating system measures will include system replacements, distribution improvements such as duct sealing or installing improved or right-sized ductwork, burner replacements, etc. with a cost of at least \$200 per reported job. Neither setback thermostats nor clean and tunes shall count as significant heating system measures for this Performance Indicator. Shell measures include any measures that reduce conductive losses through the building shell (typically insulation measures) as well as air infiltration reductions. Example of qualifying contiguous calendar years: a household implementing shell measures in 2014 and a heating system measure in 2015, or vice versa.
- 4 Measures reducing electrical and / or unregulated fuel energy consumption will qualify for meeting residential single family comprehensiveness.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

**Table A-8: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 TEPF QPIs
Threshold and Scaling Up to 100% Target Level**

QPI #1: Thermal and mechanical energy efficiency savings (MMBtu)

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	209,250	279,000	\$2.215
% of target	75%	100%	Per MMBTU between 209,250 and 279,000
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$231,779	\$386,299	

QPI # 2: Comprehensiveness - average percentage achieved of all metrics [Note 1]

	Minimum	100% Target Level	Increase Rate
Achievement	70%	100%	\$1,716.883
% of target	70%	100%	Per average percentage point increase between 70% and 100%
% of award	60%	100%	
Award amount	\$77,260	\$128,766	

Notes

1

The average percentage will be calculated using a maximum of 120% for any criterion (a,b,c) even if the actual performance is greater than 120% for any criterion.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

**Table A-9: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 TEPF QPIs
Scaling Above 100% Target Level**

QPI #1: Thermal and Mechanical Energy Efficiency Savings (MMBtu)

<i>100% Target Level</i>	<i>Increase Rate</i>
279,000	\$4.140
	<i>per MMBtu above 100% Target Level</i>

QPI # 2: Comprehensiveness - Average Percentage Achieved of All Metrics

<i>100% Target Level</i>	<i>Increase Rate</i>
100%	\$3,850.00
	<i>per % above 100% Target Level</i>

**Table A-10: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 TEPF QPIs
Minimum Performance Requirements**

QPI#	Title	Minimum Requirement*	Policy Goal Advanced	Form of Verification	Performance Incentive Award Reduction %	Financial Impact
3	Threshold (or minimum acceptable) Level of Participation by Residential Customers	Total residential sector spending is greater than 62.5% of the total Thermal Energy and Process Fuel Fund expenditures	Equity for residential customers by ensuring that a minimum level of overall efficiency efforts, as reflected in spending, will be dedicated to residential customers	Annual Verification Process	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 10%	\$52,000
4	Threshold (or minimum acceptable) Level of Participation by Low Income Customers	Total low-income spending is greater than 17% of the total Thermal Energy and Process Fuel Fund expenditures	Equity for low-income customers by ensuring that a minimum level of overall efficiency efforts, as reflected in spending, will be dedicated to low-income customers	Annual Verification Process	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 10%	\$52,000
5	Performance Period Spending	Total spending for a three-year performance period (including applicable operations fees) is less than \$17,487,763	To minimize total spending variances above Board approved 2017 budgets.	2017 Savings Claim Summary	Reduces total performance incentive award at 100% Target Level by 2.0% and increases at 0.5%	Penalty begins at \$20,000 and increases per Table A-14

Table A-11: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 TEPF QPI Super-Stretch Targets and Cap TEPF Calculation of QPI Payments Threshold and Target

Available TEPF RA Funds: \$20,566,331

<u>Calculation of QPI Payments up to 100% Targets</u>					
<u>QPI#</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>100% Target</u>	<u>Incentive \$ To Be Spread</u>	<u>Increase Rate Per Unit</u>	
1	209,250	279,000	\$154,519	\$2.215	
2	70%	100%	\$51,506	\$1,716.883	

QPI Descriptions and Targets

<u>PI#</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Target</u>
1	MMBtu	279,000
2.a.	Air Leakage	34%
2.b.	Insulation	44%
2.c.	Shell & Heating	16%

<u>Calculation of Superstretch QPI Payments if Targets Exceeded</u>						
Total Possible Incentive to be Earned =		\$592,065				
Super Stretch Incentive =		\$77,000				
Percent Increase for Super Stretch =		5%				
<u>QPI#</u>	<u>100% Target</u>	<u>"Super Stretch" Target</u>	<u>"Super Stretch" Weighting</u>	<u>Incentive Dollars</u>	<u>Increase Rate</u>	<u>Super Stretch \$ Cap</u>
1	279,000	292,950	75.0%	\$57,750	\$4.140	No Cap
2	100%	105%	25.0%	\$19,250	\$3,850.000	No Cap
			100.00%	\$77,000		

MPR and Target

<u>MPR#</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Minimum</u>
3	Residential Spending	\$12,854,000
4	Low Income Spending	\$3,496,276

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-12: Efficiency Vermont 2015-2017 Performance Period Spending Thresholds and Penalties

The following table establishes spending threshold and financial penalty structure based on approved Electric Efficiency and TEPF budgets for the 2015-2017 performance period.

Spending Category	2017 Budget and Applicable Operations Fee	Threshold Value	2015-2017 Budget and Applicable Operations Fee	2015-2017 Spending Threshold	Minimum Penalty if Spending Threshold is Exceeded	Spending Increment for Assessing Penalty
Electric Efficiency Resource Acquisition	\$47,603,368	\$1,428,101	\$134,753,593	\$136,181,694	\$20,000	\$50,000
TEPF Resource Acquisition	\$8,391,035	\$251,731	\$20,566,331	\$20,818,062	\$2,300	\$50,000
Development and Support Services (DSS)	\$4,968,088	\$149,043	\$14,639,247	\$14,788,290	\$2,000	\$36,000
Threshold Rate	3%					
Initial Penalty Rate	2%					
Penalty Increase Rate	0.5%					

	EEC Resource Acquisition 2017	TEPF Resource Acquisition 2017	EEC and TEPF DSS 2017	EEC Resource Acquisition 2015- 2017	TEPF Resource Acquisition 2015- 2017	EEC and TEPF DSS 2015- 2017
Budget	\$45,555,423	\$8,242,667	\$4,880,244	\$127,366,850	\$20,202,683	\$14,380,400
Resource Acquisition R&D	\$1,206,235			\$5,004,067		
Operations Fee	\$841,710	\$148,368	\$87,844	\$2,382,677	\$363,648	\$258,847
Total	\$47,603,368	\$8,391,035	\$4,968,088	\$134,753,593	\$20,566,331	\$14,639,247

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-13: 2015-2017 Spending Penalties For Electric-Efficiency Resource Acquisition Budget

Electric Efficiency Penalties							
Spending Above Threshold	Increments	Penalty Rate	Low	High	Cumulative Low	Penalty	Cumulative High
\$1	\$50,000	2.0%	\$0	\$1,000	\$20,000		\$21,000
\$50,001	\$100,000	2.5%	\$1,250	\$2,500	\$21,250		\$23,500
\$100,001	\$150,000	3.0%	\$3,000	\$4,500	\$24,250		\$28,000
\$150,001	\$200,000	3.5%	\$5,250	\$7,000	\$29,500		\$35,000
\$200,001	\$250,000	4.0%	\$8,000	\$10,000	\$37,500		\$45,000
\$250,001	\$300,000	4.5%	\$11,250	\$13,500	\$48,750		\$58,500
\$300,001	\$350,000	5.0%	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$63,750		\$76,000
\$350,001	\$400,000	5.5%	\$19,250	\$22,000	\$83,000		\$98,000
\$400,001	\$450,000	6.0%	\$24,000	\$27,000	\$107,000		\$125,000
\$450,001	\$500,000	6.5%	\$29,250	\$32,500	\$136,250		\$157,500
\$500,001	\$550,000	7.0%	\$35,000	\$38,500	\$171,250		\$196,000
\$550,001	\$600,000	7.5%	\$41,250	\$45,000	\$212,501		\$241,000
\$600,001	\$650,000	8.0%	\$48,000	\$52,000	\$260,501		\$293,000
\$650,001	\$700,000	8.5%	\$55,250	\$59,500	\$315,751		\$352,500
\$700,001	\$750,000	9.0%	\$63,000	\$67,500	\$378,751		\$420,000
\$750,001	\$800,000	9.5%	\$71,250	\$76,000	\$450,001		\$496,000
\$800,001	\$850,000	10.0%	\$80,000	\$85,000	\$530,001		\$581,000
\$850,001	\$900,000	10.5%	\$89,250	\$94,500	\$619,251		\$675,500
\$900,001	\$950,000	11.0%	\$99,000	\$104,500	\$718,251		\$780,000
\$950,001	\$1,000,000	11.5%	\$109,250	\$115,000	\$827,501		\$895,000
\$1,000,001	\$1,050,000	12.0%	\$120,000	\$126,000	\$947,501		\$1,021,000
\$1,050,001	\$1,100,000	12.5%	\$131,250	\$137,500	\$1,078,752		\$1,158,500
	<i>rate increase</i>	<i>0.5%</i>					

Any spending above this level would be the sole responsibility of the program administrator. At this point, the penalty equals spending.

No penalties if spending does not exceed \$50,000 over budget. Minimum penalty for spending above \$50,000 is \$20,000.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-14: 2015-2017 Spending Penalties For TEPF Resource Acquisition Budget

TEPF Penalties						
Spending Above Threshold	Increments	Penalty Rate	Penalty			
			Low	High	Cumulative Low	Cumulative High
\$1	\$50,000	2.0%	\$0	\$1,000	\$2,300	\$3,300
\$50,001	\$100,000	2.5%	\$1,250	\$2,500	\$3,550	\$5,800
\$100,001	\$150,000	3.0%	\$3,000	\$4,500	\$6,550	\$10,300
\$150,001	\$200,000	3.5%	\$5,250	\$7,000	\$11,800	\$17,300
\$200,001	\$250,000	4.0%	\$8,000	\$10,000	\$19,800	\$27,300
\$250,001	\$300,000	4.5%	\$11,250	\$13,500	\$31,050	\$40,800
\$300,001	\$350,000	5.0%	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$46,050	\$58,300
\$350,001	\$400,000	5.5%	\$19,250	\$22,000	\$65,300	\$80,300
\$400,001	\$450,000	6.0%	\$24,000	\$27,000	\$89,300	\$107,300
\$450,001	\$500,000	6.5%	\$29,250	\$32,500	\$118,550	\$139,800
\$500,001	\$550,000	7.0%	\$35,000	\$38,500	\$153,550	\$178,300
\$550,001	\$600,000	7.5%	\$41,250	\$45,000	\$194,801	\$223,300
\$600,001	\$650,000	8.0%	\$48,000	\$52,000	\$242,801	\$275,300
\$650,001	\$700,000	8.5%	\$55,250	\$59,500	\$298,051	\$334,800
\$700,001	\$750,000	9.0%	\$63,000	\$67,500	\$361,051	\$402,300
\$750,001	\$800,000	9.5%	\$71,250	\$76,000	\$432,301	\$478,300
\$800,001	\$850,000	10.0%	\$80,000	\$85,000	\$512,301	\$563,300
\$850,001	\$900,000	10.5%	\$89,250	\$94,500	\$601,551	\$657,800
\$900,001	\$950,000	11.0%	\$99,000	\$104,500	\$700,551	\$762,300
\$950,001	\$1,000,000	11.5%	\$109,250	\$115,000	\$809,801	\$877,300
\$1,000,001	\$1,050,000	12.0%	\$120,000	\$126,000	\$929,801	\$1,003,300
\$1,050,001	\$1,100,000	12.5%	\$131,250	\$137,500	\$1,061,052	\$1,140,800

rate increase 0.5%

Any spending above this level would be the sole responsibility of the program administrator. At this point, the penalty equals spending.

No penalties if spending does not exceed \$50,000 over budget. Minimum penalty for spending above \$50,000 is \$2,300.

(October 2016 - Replaces Appendix A to October 10, 2014, Order)

Table A-15: 2015-2017 Spending Penalties For Development and Support Services Budget

NRA Penalties							
Spending Above Threshold	Increments	Penalty Rate	Penalty				
			Low	High	Cumulative Low	Cumulative High	
\$1	\$36,000	2.0%	\$0	\$720	\$2,000	\$2,720	
\$36,001	\$72,000	2.5%	\$900	\$1,800	\$2,900	\$4,520	
\$72,001	\$108,000	3.0%	\$2,160	\$3,240	\$5,060	\$7,760	
\$108,001	\$144,000	3.5%	\$3,780	\$5,040	\$8,840	\$12,800	
\$144,001	\$180,000	4.0%	\$5,760	\$7,200	\$14,600	\$20,000	
\$180,001	\$216,000	4.5%	\$8,100	\$9,720	\$22,700	\$29,720	
\$216,001	\$252,000	5.0%	\$10,800	\$12,600	\$33,500	\$42,320	
\$252,001	\$288,000	5.5%	\$13,860	\$15,840	\$47,360	\$58,160	
\$288,001	\$324,000	6.0%	\$17,280	\$19,440	\$64,640	\$77,600	
\$324,001	\$360,000	6.5%	\$21,060	\$23,400	\$85,700	\$101,000	
\$360,001	\$396,000	7.0%	\$25,200	\$27,720	\$110,900	\$128,720	
\$396,001	\$432,000	7.5%	\$29,700	\$32,400	\$140,601	\$161,120	
\$432,001	\$468,000	8.0%	\$34,560	\$37,440	\$175,161	\$198,560	
\$468,001	\$504,000	8.5%	\$39,780	\$42,840	\$214,941	\$241,400	
\$504,001	\$540,000	9.0%	\$45,360	\$48,600	\$260,301	\$290,000	
\$540,001	\$576,000	9.5%	\$51,300	\$54,720	\$311,601	\$344,720	
\$576,001	\$612,000	10.0%	\$57,600	\$61,200	\$369,201	\$405,920	
\$612,001	\$648,000	10.5%	\$64,260	\$68,040	\$433,461	\$473,960	
\$648,001	\$684,000	11.0%	\$71,280	\$75,240	\$504,741	\$549,200	
\$684,001	\$720,000	11.5%	\$78,660	\$82,800	\$583,401	\$632,000	
\$720,001	\$756,000	12.0%	\$86,400	\$90,720	\$669,801	\$722,720	
\$756,001	\$792,000	12.5%	\$94,500	\$99,000	\$764,302	\$821,720	
	<i>rate increase</i>	<i>0.5%</i>					

Any spending above this level would be the sole responsibility of the program administrator. At this point, the penalty equals spending.

No penalties if spending does not exceed threshold. Minimum penalty for spending above threshold is \$2,000.

Any penalty would be allocated to the funders (EEC and TEPF) based upon the EEC/TEPF RA budget ratio established at the start of the performance period. For 2015-2017, that ratio is 88% EEC and 12% TEPF.