

Case No. 25-1543-PET

September 5, 2025

I seek to intervene in this tower case on aesthetics and the town plan.

I write as a father of a Twinfield student who has deeply relished the natural beauty of school street and adjoining land, streams and sky for twenty five years. I have also been a field biologist and environmental historian at colleges and universities for a half century. The proposed cell tower is an unneeded, intrusion on the village and state we love deeply because of its natural beauty. now we need to actively protect the land we love.

I oppose the cell tower as a personal visual intrusion from my property for myself, and for my fellow Vermonters. In fact, our opposition to the cell tower is part of a rich decades long history in which citizens and local officials across Vermont have actively protected our treasured fields, mountains, water and sky. We have, for example, wisely eliminated the billboards that litter adjoining states. Likewise, we all want our kids, neighbors and elders to enjoy fully Vermont's rich natural treasures. Opposing the cell tower is a positive way to say we treasure deeply Vermont's natural beauty and historic villages, as is supporting our town plan's wisdom in identifying the tower site as a protected scenic resource.

My participation will not delay the proceeding or prejudice the interests of existing parties. There is no one else who can protect my interests. The tower raises substantive issues and I request a hearing.

Robert Buchanan
171 School St.
Marshfield, Vt. 05658