

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Case No. 25-____-PET

Limited Size and Scope Application)
of Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems, LLC d/b/a)
Verizon Wireless and The Towers, LLC d/b/a)
Vertical Bridge, for a Certificate of Public Good,)
pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, authorizing the construction)
of a telecommunications facility in Marshfield, Vermont)

Order entered:

I. INTRODUCTION

In this Order, the Vermont Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) approves the application filed on July 29, 2025 by Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems, LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless (“Verizon”) and The Towers, LLC, d/b/a Vertical Bridge (“VB”)(together, “Petitioner”), pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, and the Commission's Amended Procedures Order (“Procedures Order”),¹ and grants the Petitioner a certificate of public good (“CPG”) authorizing the construction of a telecommunications facility in Marshfield, Vermont (the “Project”).

II. BACKGROUND

This case involves a petition and prefiled testimony filed by Petitioner on July 29, 2025, requesting that the Commission issue a CPG, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, authorizing construction of a telecommunications tower and related equipment. Petitioner is seeking approval for the project as one of limited size and scope pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a(b)(4)(A)(i).

¹ *Order Adopting Revised Standards and Procedures Implementing 30 V.S.A. § 248a*, issued by the Commission on January 18, 2023.

On _____, 2025, the Department of Public Service (the “Department”) filed a letter with the Commission recommending that the Commission issue an order approving the petition without additional hearings or investigation.

No other comments or requests for hearing regarding the petition have been filed with the Commission.

The Commission has determined that the petition and prefiled testimony have effectively addressed the applicable substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248a. Consequently, we find that the procedure authorized by § 248a is sufficient to satisfy the public interest, and no hearings are required.

III. Findings

1. VB proposes to construct a telecommunications facility for use by Verizon and future co-locators, consisting of a 140’ above ground level (“AGL”) monopole tower (“Tower”) within a fenced compound on a 78.73 acre parcel (“Parcel”) located at 2264 U.S. Route 2 in Marshfield, Vermont. Verizon refers to the Project as “Marshfield.” The property owner has given Petitioner permission to proceed with this Application. The Project consists of three (3) sectors of antennas (“Antennas”) mounted on the Tower at a centerline of 137’ AGL and an equipment shed (“Shed”) located to the southeast of the Tower, both of which will be located within a 50’ x 50’ fenced compound (“Compound”). The coordinates for the Tower are: Latitude 44°-20’-51.01”± North and Longitude 72°-21’-55.74”± West. *See* Permit Plans (Exhibit LH-1; “Permit Plans”) for a visual depiction of the Project’s location.

2. Verizon will place three (3) sectors of three (3) panel antennas (“Antennas”) each on the Tower for a total of nine (9) Antennas. E Each of the Antennas will be mounted at a centerline height of 137’ AGL. Six (6) of the Antennas will measure approximately 72” long and

11.9” wide each. The remaining three (3) Antennas will measure approximately 28.9” long and 15.75” wide each. The topmost height of the Antennas will be approximately 140’ AGL.

3. At each sector, Verizon will install two (2) Remote Radio Heads (“RRHs”) behind the Antennas for a total of six (6) RRHs. The RRHs should not be visible to most viewers. All six (6) of the RRHs will measure approximately 14.96” by 14.96”. The topmost points of the RRHs will not exceed the topmost points of the Antennas.

4. Behind one of the sectors, Verizon will install one (1) OVP distribution box (“OVP”). The topmost point of the OVP will not exceed the topmost points of the Antennas. The OVP measures approximately 29.5” long by 16.5”.² The Tower, Antennas, RRHs and OVP will be painted brown.

5. Verizon will place 12’ by 20’ Shed on the ground to the southeast of the Tower. The exact location of the Shed is depicted on Sheet C-6 of the Permit Plans. The Shed will have cabinets that will contain the electronics equipment necessary for the operation of the project.

6. Verizon will place an emergency generator (“Generator”) on a 10’ by 12’ concrete pad adjacent to the Shed. The Generator will function if there is a power outage. Verizon will remotely test the Generator once a week at a time to be determined. If diesel is used to power the Generator, its tank will be placed underneath the Generator. If propane is used to power the Generator, Verizon will install a 500 gallon propane tank on an 11’ by 4’ concrete pad to the northeast of the Tower in the Compound.

7. Verizon will gain access to the Project by VB’s minor upgrade and extension of the existing driveway and woods road leading from U.S. Route 2, following the existing woods road

² From time to time, equipment manufacturers may alter their products or Verizon Wireless may purchase from different suppliers. Therefore, there is a possibility that the actual antennas, remote radio heads and/or distribution boxes may be slightly different from but of similar dimensions as those described in this notice.

where possible to the Compound (“Access”). Verizon will bring underground Utilities to the Shed from the nearest utility pole as shown on Sheet C-4 and C-5 of the Permit Plans. Coaxial and fiber optic cables from the tower-mounted equipment will descend inside the hollow Monopole. The cables will exit near the base of the Monopole and will connect with the Shed via a proposed cable bridge

8. The construction of the Project will result in approximately 2,500 square feet of permanent earth disturbance and approximately 4,120 square feet of tree clearing.

9. Petitioners will follow the requirements of the Construction and shall meet the requirements of the *Vermont Low Risk Site Handbook for Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control* in its work on the Project

Co-Location Analysis

10. As part of the design for this Project, C Squared Systems, LLC retained by Petitioner, evaluated existing telecommunications facilities and existing structures for possible co-location opportunities.

11. The Propagation Plots created for this site illustrate the difficulties (See Exhibit ML-2). In particular, the intervening terrain along US Route 2 causes a sharp drop off in coverage as illustrated in the propagation plots. *Id.* The existing facilities both within the Town of Marshfield and within a radius of ten (10) miles of the proposed site cannot provide adequate coverage to the area being served by this project. They are too far away to provide coverage to the identified gap. The closest existing facility that is suitable for a wireless installation (See #4 in Exhibit ML-3) is approximately 4.4 miles from the Proposed Facility, and it is a co-location on a silo which would not provide adequate coverage to the targeted area. See *Exhibit* (See *Id.* and Exhibit ML-4). The next closest facility is 5.9 miles from the Proposed Facility (See #5 in Exhibit ML-3) and Version

is already located on this tower. Accordingly, there are no existing facilities or structures suitable for co-location that would allow Verizon to meet its coverage and capacity needs for the Marshfield search ring.

Analysis of Effects Under Applicable Criteria

12. The proposed Project will not have an adverse impact affecting the applicable criteria under 10 V.S.A. § 6086(a) and will conform to the land conservation measures in the applicable local and regional plans. Below are detailed responses.

Criterion 1(B) – Waste Disposal -- Following construction, the proposed Project will not generate any waste. Construction waste generated during construction of the project will be removed from the site and recycled or disposed of at approved waste processing facilities.

The only consumable on site will be fuel for the Generator. It and its fuel tank are designed with secondary containment and engine systems/fueling containment, including a double wall outdoor rated fuel tank with a rupture basin alarm and overflow basins to collect any spills. See Exhibit LH-2 for containment specifications for the proposed Generator. Verizon has standard operating procedures to limit any potential spill during refueling.

Criterion 1(D) – Floodways – The Project is not located within a floodway or mapped flood plain. Therefore, the Project will not have an undue adverse impact under this Criterion. *See* Exhibit LH-3 (“FEMA Firmette”) showing site is not in floodway or mapped floodplain.

Criterion 8 – Scenic Beauty, Historic Sites and Natural Areas – A sampling of trees in the vicinity of the proposed Compound average 77’ AGL. Therefore, these trees, combined with the hilly topographic features of the area, will screen the majority of the 140’ AGL Tower from most vantage points. Furthermore, the Tower is located adjacent to an existing utility corridor with overhead power lines.

Louis Hodgetts of Dubois & King, visited the proposed site and floated a three (3) foot diameter balloon to 140' AGL at the location of the proposed tower. From available mapping and with the balloon in the air, Mr. Hodgetts drove public roads within an approximate one-mile radius of the tower site (the "Area of Analysis"), trying to determine from how many viewpoints the tower would be seen and, if visible, how much of the tower would be seen. Mr. Hodgetts identified seven (7) representative viewpoints. Using several software programs, the photos and photo metadata, Mr. Hodgetts is able to accurately represent a tower with photo simulations to show how much of the proposed tower would be seen. Photos from locations where the balloon is visible within the Area of Analysis are used in the package to show the overall visibility characteristics of the proposed tower location. In completing the photo simulations, Mr. Hodgetts determined that the proposed site would have limited visibility. When visibility occurred, it was obscured by the topography and natural vegetation. See Pre-filed Testimony of Louis Hodgetts and Exhibit LH-4 Photo Simulation Package. The limited visibility, location in proximity to an existing utility corridor, and vegetation and terrain screening led Mr. Hodgetts to conclude the proposed installation will not have an undue adverse effect on the scenic beauty of the area.

With respect to Historic Sites, EBI Consulting conducted a review in accordance with the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) rules and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The project was evaluated for its potential effects to districts, sites, buildings, structures, or objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, or culture that are listed, or potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The findings of their Section 106 review concluded "No Effect on Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effects-Direct Effects" and "No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in the area of Potential Effects-Visual Effects" the

Vermont Division for Historic Preservation concurred with these findings on June 3, 2025. See NT Submission Packet – FCC Form 620, with SHPO Concurrence (Exhibit LH-5) for a complete copy of the report.

With respect to Natural Areas, no significant natural areas will be affected by the Project. *See* Pre-Filed Testimony of Louis Hodgetts and Exhibit LH-5 Natural Resources Review Memo. We note that with respect to Wetlands and Water Resources, the site was subsequently re-designed such that the access road for the Tower will be located outside of the applicable wetland buffer and there will be no new impact on wetlands or regulated wetland buffers, as is shown on the Permit Plans.

Conformance with Local and Regional Plans – According to 30 V.S.A. § 248a(c)(2), during the Commission’s review, "substantial deference [will be] given to the land conservation measures" in the local and regional plans of the "affected municipality." This Application addresses the relevant provisions of the Marshfield Town Plan, adopted August 21, 2018, which incorporates by reference the Telecommunications Facilities Bylaw adopted March 4, 2004 (together "Town Plan"; excerpts in Exhibit LH-6) and of the Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission’s 2016 Regional Plan (readopted and effective July 9, 2024)("Regional Plan"; excerpts in Exhibit LH-7) to illustrate that the proposed Project will comply.

The Town Plan contains a section on “Wireless Telecommunication Facilities,” which begins with an observation about communications facilities:

Telephone service is provided by Fairpoint New England. Cellular access is provided by multiple telecommunications companies. With the increasing demand for cellular capabilities comes an increasing demand for cellular towers. It will be important to balance aesthetics, signal quality, health, business and personal needs when deciding whether, and where, to site additional telecommunication towers. Developers of telecommunications facilities currently have the option of having their projects reviewed by the Marshfield DRB or by the Vermont Public Service Board (PSB). The PSB Section 248 review evaluates the project to see if it merits approval for a Certificate of Public Good. PSB must

give substantial deference in making its determination to land conservation measures in the plans of the affected municipalities, as well as the recommendations of the municipal and regional plans. Marshfield currently has a Wireless Telecommunications Facilities Bylaw. The Telecommunication Bylaw includes the purposes for the bylaw. Said purposes are adopted by reference in this Town Plan and are meant as a guideline for any Section 248 review.

Town Plan at 42-43.

Under the section entitled “Issue Specific Strategies” the Town plan includes the following with respect to Telecommunications:

Telecommunications: a. Town policy is to promote and require that proposed commercial satellite dishes, radio towers, antennae, and other transmission and receiving equipment are sited, designed, maintained and operated so as to minimize negative impacts on natural and scenic resources. b. Encourage the expansion of broadband availability to residents.

Id. at 51.

The Applicants have reviewed the Town’s Telecom Bylaws dated March 14, 2003, as incorporated by reference into the Town Plan. While this zoning bylaw has not been updated to reflect the jurisdiction of the Public Utility Commission pursuant to 30 V.S.A. 248a or changes in technology and demand, Petitioner highlights the following to demonstrate compliance with the bylaws where feasible. Section 1.6 Permitted and Prohibited Locations limits the siting of telecommunication facilities as conditional uses to the “Village Residential” and “Agricultural and Rural Residential Districts”, and provides free standing telecommunications towers may not be located in any of the following:

- A. Within 100' or the height of the tower, which ever is greater, of a State or Federally designated wetland
- B. The habitat of any State listed Rare or Endangered Species.
- C. Within 300' horizontally from any Historic District or property eligible to be listed on the Federal Historic Register.
- D. Closer than 200' horizontally to the boundary of the property on which the tower is located.
- E. Closer than 500' horizontally to any structure existing at the time of the application which is used as either a primary or secondary residence, to the property of any school, or

to any other building.

F. Within 100' or the height of the tower, whichever is greater, horizontally of any river or perennial stream.

G. Within 500' horizontally of any known archeological site.

H. Within 1,000' horizontally of a designated scenic road or highway.

Bylaws at 2-3.

Mr. Hodgetts analyzed the Telecomm Bylaws and it is not possible to provide coverage to the target area while strictly complying with the zoning conditions therein, therefore the project has been designed to comply with the restrictions listed to the extent it is feasible, with due consideration given to the purpose of the restriction. The subject Parcel contains portions designated as both "Agricultural & Rural Residential" and "Forestry & Conservation" while the Compound will be sited within latter zoning district, the location was chosen to minimize the visibility from Route 2 and comply with Sections D, E, and H above. The project will not strictly comply with Sections A or F above, but as discussed above the site design was altered to minimize any adverse impacts on wetlands and perennial streams. The project otherwise complies with the cited zoning regulations. In addition, the Petitioner has demonstrated that existing telecommunications sites or other existing structures within 5 miles of the proposed site cannot reasonably provide adequate coverage (Bylaw at 4 and 6-7; See Pre-filed Testimony of Martin Lavin and Exhibits ML-1 to ML-4). The site has been designed to utilize the existing logging road, taking into consideration the contour of the land and proximity to the existing utility line clear cut (Bylaw at 7). The tower has been designed to not unreasonably interfere with views as it is sited next to an existing utility corridor, not located on a ridgeline, will be colored brown to match blend into the natural landscape and is designed at the minimum height required to meet the coverage goals for this area (Bylaw at 8). The Tower will not be lit and will not emit significant noise (Bylaw at 9-10). The Petitioner has worked since 2010 to identify a site in the Town of Marshfield that

respects the Town's goals of resource preservation while still providing necessary coverage to the Town, its residents and visitors, the present site achieves a balance of these goals.

More and more Vermonter's rely upon reliable cellular coverage to expand their economic opportunities, currently more than 1 in 10 of Marshfield resident's work from home:

As Table 10 suggests, self-employment plays a significant role in Marshfield's economy. Home employment is important too, and probably growing with the emergence of "telecommuting." As of 2010, 13.5 percent of Marshfield's workforce was based out of the home, down 1.5 percent since 2000.

Town Plan. at 15.

While that figure incorporates more than those it "telecommute" or work remotely, the trend is further noted under the section entitled Economic Development, which provides the following:

Non-traditional economic growth, supported by use of the internet, is taking place in Marshfield. An increasing number of residents, many with home based businesses, are using the internet to sell products, services, or in-lieu of driving to the traditional place of work. Such economic growth is highly desirable because it is "clean" and generally provides a higher wage. The success of these non-traditional businesses is highly dependent upon the use of technology, particularly high speed reasonably priced internet access. Some Marshfield residents have enjoyed high speed internet access through their local phone company, Fairpoint Communications, for a number of years. Others are able to access such service through Cloud Alliance, and other broadband service providers. However, there are still many unserved areas. The Vermont Telecommunications Authority is charged with providing broadband service to all Vermont residents.

Id. at 64-65.

The current proposal would improve the coverage and therefore support this “clean” non-traditional economic growth by improving coverage in currently un-served or underserved parts of the town.

The improvements in coverage will be significant, particularly along the Route 2 corridor.

The visual effects of the Monopole will be minimized due to the 77’ AGL average height of nearby trees and its location on the hillside that provides backdrop screening from most vantage points.

The location for the project was selected to avoid and minimize negative impacts on the environment and aesthetics, including the selection of a site next to existing high voltage electric transmission lines. Furthermore, the Tower will be painted brown to blend with the surrounding vegetation and will not unreasonably interfere with views.

The Regional Plan contains an observation about the importance of telecommunications services in opening “fairly insular” rural Vermont to the world:

Over the past several decades, Vermont has witnessed dramatic cultural change. Technological advances in the areas of transportation and telecommunications have been the primary agents of this transformation, opening up what was a fairly insular, self-sufficient rural society to the "outside world." With this exposure came new people, new development, and new social, economic, and land use patterns. Some of the changes the State has experienced have been beneficial; some have not. While people may always argue about the pros and cons of technology and land development, they are part of our current reality. The challenge before us now is to guide these forces of change so as to bring about a marriage between our culture and our place that is sustainable, harmonious, and mutually beneficial. In the years to come, nothing will say more about the success of our efforts than the way in which people use the land and its resources.

The Regional Plan goes on to discuss the expanding role of wireless in serving the region's communications needs:

Mobile wireless voice service is becoming more available. Vermonters' use and reliance on mobile voice technology has increased since the publication of the last telecommunications plan. Twenty-nine percent of Vermont households are wireless-only households. As of 2013, thirteen of Central Vermont's twenty-three communities have between 90-100% of addresses served by a wireless voice provider according to voice tests that were conducted throughout the state (displayed in Figure 1). Mobile data for smartphones or mobile hotspots is also typically available from these carriers in the same service areas that voice is available.

Id. at Utilities, Facilities & Services 5-26.

Regarding the build-out of wireless networks and the balance between increasing service and promoting aesthetic values, the Regional Plan states:

While Central Vermonters want and expect good cellular service, they also expect the placement and design of new facilities to be guided by a respect for the integrity of the Region's landscape and compliance with microwave emissions standards. As such, it is important to balance aesthetics, signal quality, health, business and personal needs when deciding whether and where to build new towers and other facilities.

Id. at 5-27.

To achieve this balance, the Regional Plan looks to the constituent municipalities to incorporate language in their local plans:

Communities planning for the appropriate siting of wireless facilities have to ask themselves many questions as they proceed. Would they rather have several small-scale, less visible, facilities closer to the population or a few large, highly visible sites in less populated spots? Are there certain locations that are so environmentally or visually sensitive that they should be "off-limits"? What areas are providers most interested in serving? Through careful planning and clear language in the duly adopted municipal plan, cities and towns can ensure good service without compromising their character or the welfare of their residents.

Id. at 5-28.

This Project fulfills the goals of the Town and Regional Plans by preserving and enhancing Verizon's ability to provide service in the affected parts of Marshfield thus enabling the telecommunications infrastructure necessary for businesses and home offices to succeed in the global economy. This service also allows for telecommuting, which has been identified as a source of "clean" economic growth within the Town Plan. The Project will continue to provide for enhanced communications for residents, travelers, educational institutions and emergency responders. The site for the Project has been selected to maximize coverage and minimize potential impacts on wildlife habitats and views. The Project will fulfill the Regional Plan's vision for improved cellular and wireless broadband service by adding coverage to the Route 2 corridor, an important byway in the region with significant traffic. The enhanced capacity will allow users of the Verizon network to access the internet at higher speeds and in a more reliable fashion. The added capacity will also result in fewer dropped calls than will occur if the Project is not built. This will provide additional support and consistency for emergency services providers and those in need of their services. As climate change leads to more extreme weather in Vermont, communications systems will become increasingly vital for the safety of residents and visitors alike.

13. Verizon has neither applied for, obtained, nor been denied a permit or permit amendments under applicable provisions of Title 24 or chapter 151 of Title 10 for the improvements covered by the current application or substantially similar improvements.

14. The Project will promote the general good of the State by improving wireless telecommunication infrastructure to increase capacity and support state-of-the-art wireless services in the community; improving high speed data services; providing competitive choices for consumers; and providing the opportunity to improve economic development within the State –

all in conformance with the substantive criteria under 30 V.S.A. § 248a.

IV. DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

The procedures governing Commission approval of communications facilities are set forth in 30 V.S.A. § 248a. Section 248a(l) provides that the Commission:

may issue rules or orders implementing and interpreting this section. In developing such rules or orders, the commission shall seek to simplify the application and review process as appropriate. . . .

In order to implement the statute, the Commission adopted the Procedures Order. In accordance with § 248a(b)(3), the Procedures Order, at Section II, defines a project of "limited size and scope" as:

a new telecommunications facility, including ancillary improvements, that does not exceed 140 feet in height; or an addition, modification, replacement, or removal of equipment at an existing telecommunications facility or support structure, and ancillary improvements, that would result in a total facility height of less than 200 feet and does not increase the width of the existing support structure by more than 20 feet. In order to qualify as a project of limited size and scope, construction of the project shall not result in earth disturbance of more than 10,000 square feet of earth, excluding temporary earth disturbance associated with construction activities,

As required by § 248a(c)(1), and set forth in Section IV(H) of the Procedures Order, in reviewing projects of limited size and scope the Commission conditionally waives:

all criteria under 30 V.S.A. § 248a(c)(1), with the exception of 10 V.S.A. §§ 6086(a)(1)(D) (floodways) and 6086(a)(8) (aesthetics, historic sites, rare and irreplaceable natural areas, endangered species, necessary wildlife habitat).

The proposed Project will consist of the construction of a tower that will not exceed 140 feet in height and will result in only 2,500 square feet of permanent earth disturbance. Therefore, the Project qualifies as a project of limited size and scope.

There is no evidence that this Project will have any adverse impact on floodways, aesthetics, historic sites, rare and irreplaceable natural areas, endangered species or necessary wildlife habitat. The Project will comply with the applicable municipal and regional plans.

Based upon all of the above evidence, the public interest is satisfied by the procedures authorized in 30 V.S.A. § 248a and the proposed Project will promote the general good of the State.

V. **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Public Utility Commission of the State of Vermont that the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility at the location specified in the above findings, by Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems, LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless and The Towers, LLC d/b/a Vertical Bridge, in accordance with the evidence and plans submitted in this proceeding, will promote the general good of the State of Vermont in accordance with 30 V.S.A. §248a(a) and a certificate of public good to that effect shall be issued in this matter.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this ____ day of _____, 2025.

_____)	
_____)	PUBLIC UTILITY
_____)	
_____)	COMMISSION
_____)	
_____)	OF VERMONT
_____)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: _____

ATTEST: _____
Clerk of the Commission

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Commission (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any

apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: puc.clerk@vermont.gov)

Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Commission within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Commission or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Commission within ten days of the date of this decision and order.

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Case No. 25-____-PET

Limited Size and Scope Application)
of Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems, LLC d/b/a)
Verizon Wireless and The Towers, LLC d/b/a)
Vertical Bridge, for a Certificate of Public Good,)
pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248a, authorizing the construction)
of a telecommunications facility in Marshfield, Vermont)

Entered:

**CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC GOOD ISSUED
PURSUANT TO 30 V.S.A. SECTION 248a**

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that the Public Utility Commission of the State of Vermont ("Commission") this day found and adjudged that the colocation of telecommunications equipment at an existing wireless telecommunications facility proposed by Bell Atlantic Mobile Systems, LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless and The Towers, LLC, d/b/a Vertical Bridge, located in Marshfield, Vermont (the "Project") will promote the general good of the State, subject to the following conditions:

1. Site preparation, construction, operation and maintenance of the Project shall be in accordance with the plans and evidence submitted in this proceeding. Any material or substantial change in the Project is prohibited without prior Commission approval. Failure to obtain advance approval from the commission for a material deviation or substantial change from the approved plans may result in the assessment of a penalty pursuant to 30 V.S.A. §§ 30 and 247.

2. The Project shall comply with all applicable existing statutory requirements and Commission Rules and Orders.

3. The CPG Holders shall pay all invoices (if any) from any State agency that (a) are related to this proceeding and (b) are not still under review by the commission.

4. The Certificate of Public Good shall not be transferred without approval of the Commission.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this ___ day of _____, 2025.

_____)
_____) PUBLIC UTILITY
_____) COMMISSION
_____) OF VERMONT
_____)

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: _____

ATTEST: _____
Clerk of the Commission

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Commission (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: puc.clerk@vermont.gov)