

**STATE OF VERMONT  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Case No. 24-3359-INV

Investigation of the standard-offer contract )  
between Vermont Renewable Gas, LLC and )  
the Standard Offer Facilitator )  
)

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL  
TESTIMONY OF  
EVAN DELL'OLIO  
ON BEHALF OF**

**VERMONT RENEWABLE GAS, LLC**

Mr. Dell'Olio's testimony responds to factual issues and conclusions presented by the Proposal For Decision Addressing Standard-Offer Contract Eligibility.

1 **Q1: Please state your name and position relative to this Petition.**

2 **A1:** My name is Evan Dell'Olio. I am a Manager of Vermont Renewable Gas, LLC, ("VRG").

3

4 **Q2: Have you already submitted prefiled testimony in this matter?**

5 **A2:** Yes, I submitted prefiled testimony on December 12, 2024 and February 13, 2025.

6

7 **Q3: What is the purpose of your testimony?**

8 **A3:** My testimony responds to address various conclusions within the Proposal For Decision  
9 Addressing Standard-Offer Contract Eligibility ("Proposal for Decision").

10

11 **Q4: How does the majority of VRG's proposed feedstock meet the definition of being**  
12 **"derived from an agricultural operation?"**

13 **A4:** An "agricultural operation" includes any managed activity on land used for commercial  
14 production of agricultural goods, including food, fiber, and fuel as well as supportive  
15 activities necessary to sustain a working farm. This encompasses, cultivated croplands,  
16 pasturelands, orchards, maple sugarbushes, Christmas tree farms, and woodlots managed  
17 by farmers as part of their diversified land use.

18

19 VRG can meet 51% of its needs for woody biomass using woody material culled in the  
20 process of management of various agricultural commodities including Christmas trees,  
21 maple sap, and orchard crop – all included in the category that the Proposal for Decision

1 defines as derived from agriculture. The management of land and trees for production of  
2 these crops requires thinning and pruning of vegetative wastes which are suitable for  
3 production of methane. VRG proposes, however, to source only 30% of VRG's proposed  
4 agricultural feedstocks from this category to allow for a broader source of supply, both  
5 for the benefit of VRG's operations and also for the farmers who will provide this  
6 material, because many farms produce a greater volume of woody biomass from other  
7 categories.

8  
9 For instance, 70% of VRG's proposed agricultural feedstocks are targeted to come from  
10 either short-rotation "fiber crops" (a term commonly used to describe wood products  
11 derived from trees and shrubs) such as shrub willow and poplar, or managed woodlots on  
12 farms where hardwood and softwood low-value timber or wood byproducts may be  
13 harvested for conversion to methane. The use of this category of farm products and  
14 byproducts not only would provide VRG greater flexibility in meeting its feedstock  
15 needs, but will provide much needed income to farmers.

16  
17 For context in understanding the opportunity for farmers to benefit from VRG's use of a  
18 broader category of woody biomass in its process, it is helpful to know that, in Vermont,  
19 over 50% of land comprising farms consists of managed woodlands. Such land is  
20 managed both for conservation and economic purposes such as timber, firewood, or  
21 value-added products like maple syrup or harvested tree crops. When farmers harvest

1 trees or undertake thinning as part of forest stewardship or to support forest crop  
2 production, the resulting wood residues are a byproduct of active farm management – not  
3 unlike corn stover or manure from livestock. Additionally, many farmers are tasked with  
4 returning lands which for property tax purposes may be classified under the state’s  
5 Current Use Program as “idle agricultural land” to production of row crops, pasture, or  
6 other uses. Trees harvested in the return of such land to agricultural production are  
7 directly tied to the management of the farm for crop production and so constitute  
8 “farming.”

9  
10  
11  
12 **Q5. For what other reasons might farms manage trees on their agricultural lands?**

13  
14 **A5.** There are several reasons a farm might manage trees on their agricultural lands, including  
15 stands of timber. Unmanaged forests adjacent to cropland and pastureland accumulate  
16 woody debris, dense underbrush, overstocking of diseased and dying trees, and ladder  
17 fuels – which dramatically increase the risk of wildfires spreading into farm fields, barns,  
18 and infrastructure. Fuel management (thinning, harvesting, or clearing of timber) creates  
19 defensible space – a standard practice recommended by state and federal fire services.  
20 Additionally, insects and pathogens like spruce budworm, emerald ash borer, or fungal  
21 blights can rapidly spread from unmanaged forests to tree crops (e.g. orchards, Christmas

1 trees, and maple sugarbushes). Infestations in forests can serve as reservoirs of pests that  
2 then move into high-value agricultural crops. Another strong reason for thinning  
3 overgrown timber stands is that they often harbor large populations of deer, bear, or  
4 smaller mammals that trample or graze on farm crops, damage fencing, or disturb  
5 livestock. Thinning and harvesting operations discourage wildlife over-concentration and  
6 reduce wildlife conflict in the growing of crops. Moreover, well-managed woodlots can  
7 be used as intentional windbreaks to protect crops from wind stress and erosion – but  
8 only if kept healthy and structurally sound. Storm-damaged or decaying trees can fall and  
9 damage fencing, equipment, or crops – managed woodlots help reduce this hazard.

10 Invasive plant control is another consideration. Forest edges are often vulnerable to  
11 invasive plants like Japanese knotweed or honeysuckle . These spread aggressively from  
12 unmanaged lots and reduce soil quality or outcompete field crops. Timber management  
13 can help monitor and suppress these invasives at the woodland-field interface. Soil and  
14 water conservation is another prominent example. Thinning overcrowded stands can  
15 reduce erosion, improve groundwater recharge, and help protect downstream water  
16 quality by maintaining a healthy forest canopy. This flow of clean water is vital for farm  
17 systems. Unmanaged lots may suffer blowdowns or gullyng that release silt into farm  
18 fields and waterways making such field unproductive for the growing of crops.

19 Moreover, farmers increasingly manage their forested woodlots as a key part of adapting  
20 to climate change on the farm. Well-managed woodlots enhance water retention, reduce,  
21 runoff, and provide natural buffers against extreme weather events impacting the farm

1 and its community – including flooding – that are becoming far too frequent in Vermont.

2 In these ways, forestry is not only a source of supplemental farm income, but a critical  
3 tool for climate resilience on the farm and long-term land stewardship.

4

5 Finally, timber products diversify farm revenue. Sawlogs, pulpwood, and biomass – often  
6 make up part of a farm’s economic sustainability in Vermont (See also Direct Prefiled  
7 Dell’Olio 12.12.24 at 16). Farmers may practice agroforestry or rotational timber  
8 harvesting as long-term crop planning strategies.

9

10 In all, these points are offered to demonstrate that forest management is not incidental to  
11 farming in Vermont – it is essential. The definition of an “agricultural operation” should  
12 therefore recognize integrated woodlot management as a valid and essential need in the  
13 raising of crops and livestock on Vermont farms much the same as animal waste  
14 management is an essential component of livestock operations.

15

16 **Q6. If the Commission subscribes to a stricter definition of constitutes an Agricultural**  
17 **Operation, can VRG comply with such restrictions?**

18

19 **A6.** While seeking the ability to draw on a broader range of feedstock materials as described  
20 above, VRG has ample options to comply with the narrower definition of what  
21 constitutes feedstock “derived from an agricultural operation” stated by the Hearing

1 Officer in the Proposal for Decision, including cuttings or trimmings associated with the  
2 production of Christmas trees, maple sap, orchard crops, and dedicated fiber crops. VRG  
3 could limit its feedstock sourcing to these specific farming activities if requested to do so  
4 by the Commission and can work with the Agency of Agriculture, Food, and Markets and  
5 the Agency of Natural Resources to agree to specific parameters regarding the  
6 appropriate conditions. While VRG seeks the option to use a mix of agriculturally  
7 derived feedstocks to include material sourced from forestry activities integrated within  
8 agricultural operations as part of its feedstock pool, VRG could successfully operate its  
9 facility if required to demonstrate that that 51% of its facility feedstock must be derived  
10 from agricultural operations as defined in the Proposal for Decision.

11

12 **Q7: Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 A7: Yes

*Evan Dell'Olio*

---

Evan Dell'Olio

**STATE OF VERMONT  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Case No. 24-3359-INV

Investigation of the Standard Offer Contract    )  
Between Vermont Renewable Gas, LLC            )  
And the Standard Offer Facilitator             )

**DECLARATION OF EVAN DELL'OLIO**

I declare that the testimony and exhibits that I have sponsored are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and were prepared by me or under my direct supervision. I understand that if the above statement is false, I may be subject to sanctions by the Commission pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 30.

Dated at Ludlow, Massachusetts this 19th day of June, 2025.

*Evan Dell'Olio*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evan Dell'Olio