

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of Norwich Upper Loveland Solar LLC	)	
for a certificate of public good pursuant to 30	)	
V.S.A. §§ 248 and 8010, authorizing installation	)	Case No. 21-3587-NMP
and operation of a 500 kW (AC) photovoltaic	)	
group net-metering system in Norwich, Vermont	)	

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
SCOTT W. HOMSTED
ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER**

January 17, 2023

Mr. Homsted rebuts the testimony of Jenn Goulet regarding the Project’s potential erosion and stormwater impacts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction.....	1
2.	Rebuttal Testimony.....	4
3.	Conclusion	5

EXHIBITS

Exhibit NUL SWH-1

Resume of Scott W. Homsted

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of Norwich Upper Loveland Solar LLC)	
for a certificate of public good pursuant to 30)	
V.S.A. §§ 248 and 8010, authorizing installation)	Case No. 21-3587-NMP
and operation of a 500 kW (AC) photovoltaic)	
group net-metering system in Norwich, Vermont)	

**REBUTTAL PREFILED TESTIMONY OF
SCOTT W. HOMSTED, P.E.
ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER**

1. Introduction

Q1. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.

A1. My name is Scott W. Homsted. I am the Vice President of Krebs and Lansing Consulting Engineers, Inc. (“K&L”), State of Vermont Professional Engineer #7893. My business address is 164 Main Street, Suite 201, Colchester, Vermont 05446.

I received a B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Vermont in 1995. In 1995, I joined Krebs and Lansing Consulting Engineers, Inc. In 2007, I became an owner of K&L.

As Vice President, I perform extensive work in civil engineering design, permitting, and construction services for many commercial, industrial, residential, and institutional civil site design projects. These projects include the design of roads, construction access roads, utility systems, storm control and treatment systems, on site sanitary sewer systems, municipal sanitary sewer, domestic water supply, municipal water supply systems, mass earthworks, grading, and erosion control measures. A copy of my resume is included as Exhibit NUL SWH-1.

Q2. What is the purpose of your rebuttal prefiled testimony?

1 A2. My rebuttal testimony rebuts Ms. Goulet's statements at pages 24-27 of her report, Exhibit
2 NN-JG-2, regarding the Norwich Upper Loveland Solar Project's ("Project") potential
3 erosion and stormwater impacts.

4
5 Q3. Please explain what work you and your firm have conducted in connection with the Project.

6 A3. We have worked with the Petitioner to develop the site plan, elevation drawing and civil
7 engineering details for the Project, Exhibits NUL MS-2 and MS-3, and to obtain an
8 Authorization to Proceed under the Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC")
9 Construction General Permit 3-9020, issued and effective December 27, 2022 (the
10 "Construction General Permit"), and the Vermont Low Risk Handbook for Erosion
11 Prevention and Sediment Control dated February, 2020 (the "Low Risk Handbook"). The
12 DEC Authorization to Proceed was submitted as Exhibit NUL MS-17. Please note that we
13 updated the site plan to reduce total impervious surface to 0.10. See Exhibit NUL MS-2
14 (rev 1-17-23). This is accomplished by top-dressing, seeding and mulching the temporary
15 staging area.

16
17 As part of this work, we also prepared the erosion prevention and sediment control
18 ("EPSC") design and details necessary for the construction of the Project. Sheet C-103 of
19 Exhibit NUL MS-2 includes the extensive variety of EPSC measure options available to be
20 employed throughout the Project area during construction to prevent erosion and keep
21 sediment from leaving the site and reaching receiving waters. These measures include
22 perimeter controls such as silt fence and Silt Soxx. These practices will be installed

1 downslope of all disturbed areas to prevent sediment from leaving the site. A stabilized
2 construction entrance and gravel staging area will prevent construction vehicles from
3 tracking sediment onto adjacent roads. Various mulching materials and rates will be used
4 to limit the amount of concurrent ground disturbance at any one time, and to provide
5 temporary ground stabilization while vegetation is established. These practices conform
6 with the Vermont regulatory standards for erosion prevention and sediment control, which
7 I discuss in more detail in A4, below.

8
9 Q4. Please describe the applicable standards that apply in Vermont to address erosion
10 prevention and sediment control for this Project.

11 A4. The applicable regulations and standards include the DEC Construction General Permit
12 and the Low Risk Handbook. See Exhibit NUL MS-18. The Construction General
13 Permit 3-9020 authorizes permittees to discharge stormwater runoff from construction
14 activities provided the project is in compliance with the requirements of the permit. The
15 permitting requirements for projects authorized under this general permit depend upon
16 the risk of having a discharge of stormwater from the construction site. There are two risk
17 categories authorized by the general permit: Low Risk and Moderate Risk. Projects that
18 pose a higher risk are ineligible to use the general permit and must file an application for
19 an Individual Permit.

20

1 To determine the risk category for a proposed project, applicants must complete Appendix
2 A of the permit. Appendix A is a risk evaluation procedure to determine the risk category
3 for a project.
4

5 Q5. Does the Project qualify as a Low Risk or Moderate Risk construction project under the
6 Vermont standards?

7 A5. The Project qualifies as a Low Risk project, as evidenced by the DEC Authorization to
8 Proceed, Exhibit NUL MS-17.
9

10 **2. Rebuttal Testimony**

11 Q6. Please address the erosion and stormwater concerns raised at pages 24-27 of Exhibit NN-
12 JG-2.

13 A6. The design and implementation of erosion and sediment control practices during
14 construction address this concern. Even with areas of steep slopes, the Project still scores
15 “Low Risk” in terms of State of Vermont Construction General Permit 3-9020. The EPSC
16 measures to be employed at the Project site per Sheet C-103 of Exhibit NUL MS-2, which
17 have been approved on many projects by the DEC, will avoid undue erosion and
18 stormwater impacts. Downslope measures such as silt fence and Silt Soxx will be installed
19 to prevent sediment from leaving the site. In addition, all disturbed areas will be
20 temporarily stabilized with mulch within 14 days of initial ground disturbance. Aggressive
21 seeding and/or stabilization with stump grindings will be performed to ensure a permanent
22 vegetative cover in the area of the array and other disturbed areas. The implementation of

1 EPSC measures during installation as described above, along with the existing vegetated
2 buffers between the site and the Connecticut River will prevent sediment from leaving the
3 site and impacting the receiving water. All disturbed areas must be completely stabilized
4 with vegetation, stone, mulch, stump grindings or gravel prior to completion of the Project.
5 Furthermore, the leading cause of increased runoff from any site is typically the addition
6 of impervious surfaces. This site adds 0.10 acres of impervious surface, which is below the
7 0.50-acre threshold for requiring an operational stormwater permit. The State of Vermont
8 has maintained that solar panels are not jurisdictional impervious surface. The ground
9 under the panels remains able to infiltrate runoff and grow vegetation.

10
11 We have worked on dozens of sites that required State of Vermont Construction
12 Stormwater Permits since the program was implemented in 2003. It is our experience that
13 the measures implemented on sites to be in compliance with these permits are effective at
14 preventing erosion and preventing sediment laden stormwater from leaving the site. The
15 EPSC measures to be implemented at the Project site will prevent unreasonable soil erosion
16 or a reduction in the capacity of the land to hold water resulting in a dangerous or unhealthy
17 condition.

18
19 **3. Conclusion**

20 Q7. Does this conclude your testimony?

21 A7. Yes.