

Norwich Upper Loveland Solar LLC
Aesthetics, Orderly Development and
Above-Ground Historic Sites Assessment Report
August 30, 2021



I. Introduction

This report was prepared by Norwich Solar Technologies (“Norwich Solar”) on behalf of Norwich Upper Loveland Solar LLC (“Applicant”) to assess the proposed 500 kW solar net-metered project to be installed off Upper Loveland Rd, Norwich Vermont (the “Project”). This report assesses the Project’s potential impacts under the aesthetics, above-ground historic sites, and orderly development review criteria of 30 VSA § 248(b)(1) & (b)(5) and Vermont Public Utility Commission Rule 5.100.

The Project location is off Upper Loveland Road, between the existing communications tower and the high voltage transmission corridor in Norwich, Vermont on a portion of the remaining undeveloped parcel (43.724132°N, - 72.291876°W). The Vermont Department of Taxes SPAN Number is: 450-142-13090.

II. Project Description

The Project is typical of an installation of its type and size, comprising photovoltaic modules on fixed- tilt ground mounted racks, anchored to the ground using purpose-built posts.

The principal Project components include:

- Multiple rows of solar panels¹ mounted on a racking system anchored to the ground;
- ten (10) string inverters each having a capacity of 50 kW (AC), for an aggregate nameplate capacity of 500 kW (AC);
- underground electrical lines enclosed in conduit connecting the panel rows, string inverters, AC combiner panel and AC disconnect pedestal;
- three (3) 167 kVA pole-mounted transformers on a GMP distribution pole;
- a GMP line extension to connect to the existing GMP distribution system; and
- an 8-foot high wildlife fence with secured gate. In the event a fence is not required, energized equipment rated for outdoor use will be secured by locked enclosure covers and otherwise compliant with NEC code “Guarding of Live Parts.”

III. Landscape & General Viewshed Character

The Project site is on the eastern side of the town of Norwich, west US Route 5 and the Interstate 91 travel corridors and the Connecticut River and approximately a mile from the town center. There is also a generally northeast-southwest oriented high voltage transmission corridor running through this area. The landscape is generally wooded with residential openings scattered throughout the rolling topography of the area. Viewsheds in the area are limited by existing vegetation and topography. The host parcel is approximately 700’ in elevation, generally sloped to the east. The northeast-southwest oriented hill hosts a communications tower in the northeastern portion of the 38 acre parcel as well as the 150’ wide transmission corridor clearing along the western side of the parcel. The remaining undeveloped acreage is wooded.

IV. Aesthetics Analysis

A. The Modified Quechee Test

PUC Rule 5.112(A) provides that in determining whether a net-metering system satisfies the aesthetics criterion contained in 30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5), the Commission applies the so-called “Quechee Test” as described in *In Re Halnon*, 174 Vt. 515 (2002):

(1) Step one: Determine whether the project would have an adverse impact on aesthetics and the scenic and natural beauty of an area because it would not be in harmony with its surroundings. If the answer is no,

¹ The exact number and wattage will be determined at time of procurement.

then the project satisfies the aesthetics criterion. If yes, move on to step two.

(2) Step two: The adverse impact will be found to be undue if any one of the three following questions is answered affirmatively:

(a) Would the project violate a clear, written community standard intended to preserve the aesthetics or scenic, natural beauty of the area?

(b) Would the project offend the sensibilities of the average person?

(c) Have the applicants failed to take generally available mitigating steps that a reasonable person would take to improve the harmony of the proposed project with its surroundings?

In addition to the *Quechee* analysis, the [Commission]'s consideration of aesthetics under Section 248 is "*significantly informed by overall societal benefits of the project.*"²

Under PUC Rule 5.112(B), in order to determine that a project would have an adverse impact on aesthetics and the scenic and natural beauty, the project must be found to be out of character with its surroundings. Specific factors used in making this evaluation include the nature of the project's surroundings, the compatibility of the project's design with those surroundings, the suitability of the project's colors and materials with the immediate environment, the visibility of the project, and the impact of the project on open space.

B. Characterization of the Project Surroundings

The Project site has been evaluated using aerial photographs, online topographic data, and in-person site visits. With these data, it was determined that the Project's likely viewshed is very limited, due to the existing mature vegetation and rolling topography of the area as documented in the Photographs from locations along Loveland Road, River Road, and Upper Loveland Road, adjacent to Interstate 91. Each of the photo locations is identified on Figure 1, a Google Map presentation of the area surrounding the Project. Key observations are noted in the caption of each photograph.

² *Joint Petition of Green Mountain Power Corp., Vt. Elec. Coop., Inc., Vt. Elec. Power Co., Inc., and Vt. Transco LLC*, Docket No. 7628, Order of 5/31/2011 at 82-83 (emphasis added) (quoting *In Re: Northern Loop Project*, Docket 6792, Order of 7/17/03 at 28).



Photo 1 On Upper Loveland Road, at the cell tower access which will also be the access to the solar array. This view is toward the southwest. Also in this view is a portion of the high voltage transmission corridor. The solar array will be located approximately 750 feet to the southwest behind the vegetation in this view.



Photo 2 East of the array, from Upper Loveland Road and the adjacent properties to the project parcel. Interstate 91 is immediately adjacent to the east (outside of the right side of photo) and runs parallel to Upper Loveland Road along this area. From here, views toward the array are shielded by the rising topography and remaining intervening mature vegetation.



Photo 3 Southeast of the array, from River Road, adjacent to the Connecticut River (outside of the right side of photo) and approximately 4,200' (as the crow flies) to the array. A view of the array from this location would be distant and partially screened, if visible at all, due to vegetation and undulating terrain.



Photo 4 On the eastern side of Interstate 91, from Loveland Road, over 1,700' (as the crow flies) east of the array location. The solar array will not be visible from this location due to intervening vegetation, changes in topography and the low profile of the solar array.



Figure 1 – Google Map representation of the photo locations surrounding the nearby Norwich Upper Loveland Solar (represented by blue color).

C. Aesthetic Impact

Through careful site selection, the array is compatible with and in character with its surroundings. The Project footprint relative to the surrounding landscape is minimal and localized, and its low-profile and structural elements are consistent with activities occurring in the area and will appear similar to other renewable energy solar arrays commonplace in Vermont. The character of the array and associated equipment is also suitable for the context in which the Project is located. Neither the regional nor municipal plans have designated the area as open space or conservation lands. Additionally, the Project will be fully decommissioned at the end of its useful life, and infrastructure removed.

The Project will be set back 455± feet from Upper Loveland Road, the nearest public road. Views along Upper Loveland Road will be limited, if any, due to the low profile of the array, intervening vegetation, and changes in

topography. The Project will not be a dominant element in this landscape and is proposed between other utility infrastructure including the high voltage transmission line and a communications tower. It will be screened from public views by remaining onsite vegetation and the varying topography. This choice of siting provides reasonable mitigation, reducing the Project's potential visual impact.

Because the Project is compatible with its surroundings, its potential visual impact is not found to be adverse. While the conclusion of this assessment is that the Project will not have an adverse impact, nevertheless the assessment continues the *Quechee* Analysis under its second prong to ascertain whether any potential adverse impact is undue.

1. Is the Project shocking or offensive to the average person?

No. "[T]he *Quechee* test did not guarantee that the aesthetic qualities of an area would not change."³ As used in *Quechee*, the average person means "the average member of the viewing public who would see a particular project from the vantage point of the public" and "from an objective, as opposed to subjective and neighborly, perspective."⁴ To reach the threshold of being "shocking or offensive," the size or scale of the project would need to be "overwhelming."⁵ The factors considered when addressing this question include the form of the project, its presence in the landscape, how it might be observed and the characteristics of those observers.

The Project will not be shocking or offensive to the average person. The scale of the Project is not overwhelming or excessive and is largely screened from views by intervening topography and vegetation. Its low-profile presence in the landscape is compatible with its rural surroundings and will appear like other fixed-tilt, ground mounted renewable energy solar arrays commonplace in Vermont. The Project will connect to the nearby Green Mountain Power ("GMP") distribution system along Upper Loveland Road. A GMP line extension and new GMP pole-transformers mounted on a GMP distribution pole, all typical of equipment used in Green Mountain Power service territory around the state, will be used. Neither the size nor scale of the installation would be shocking or offensive to the average person.

2. Does the Project violate any clearly written community standard?

No. To constitute a clear community standard under *Quechee*, a town or regional plan provision must "identif[y] the area of th[e] project for special protection to protect aesthetics or scenic beauty."⁶ The Town has reviewed the Project and concluded that the site is not designated scenic and has moved to designate the site as preferred for solar installation. See Exhibit NUL MS-5 and Appendix C to this report. The TRORC Regional Plan has not identified the area of this Project for special protection due to aesthetics or scenic beauty. Moreover, the Project furthers the goals of each plan to encourage the development of renewable energy sources in order to reduce greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions and combat the global climate crisis. Because the Commission's consideration of aesthetics under Section 248 is significantly informed by overall societal benefits of the project, and in light of the important GHG reduction mandates enacted by the Vermont Legislature in 2020, the local and regional plans provisions that address these issues are significant considerations to the Commission's aesthetics analysis. Relevant excerpts of each plan are included as Appendices A and B to this Report.

³ *In re Amended Petition of UPC Wind*, 2009 VT 19, ¶ 27.

⁴ *In re Petition of Rutland Renewable Energy, LLC. for Certificate of Public Good Pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248*, 2016 Vt. 50, ¶ 22.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.* ¶ 19.

Norwich Town Plan (2020) (Excerpts included in Appendix A):

The Norwich Town Plan provides the following goals supporting renewable energy:

“[P]rovide for the development of renewable energy resources, and reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.”
Town Plan at 3.

“Shift energy use in Norwich from non-renewable to renewable sources”, “[i]ncrease the amount of renewable energy being produced in Norwich in a manner that is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of th[e] Town Plan”, and “[p]ursue strategies identifies in the State Energy Plan. Town Plan at 21.

The Energy Chapter of the Town Plan also observes:

We have understood for at least fifty years that human dependence on fossil fuels is not sustainable. Only now are we beginning to grapple with the climate crisis resulting from burning fossil fuels. We also need to develop community resiliency to better withstand the disruptions caused by the changing climate. There is an active grassroots effort in Vermont and around the world to act locally in addressing the climate crisis and in building resiliency.

Town Plan at 22. The energy plan seeks to achieve the objectives of Vermont’s Comprehensive Energy Plan “90 percent renewable by 2050” energy goal. The Town Plan expresses the Town’s strong desire to shift away from fossil fuel use to renewable power for consumers in Norwich:

Energy products (including efficiency and renewables) are allocated via markets which are regulated by State and US governments. Municipalities are best understood as institutional consumers who have no jurisdiction over the structure and operation of energy markets. In the case of Norwich, the town is a very small consumer, even compared to local school districts and larger regional employers.

Town Plan at 24.

TRORC Regional Plan (2020) (Excerpts included in Appendix B):

The TRORC 2020 Regional Plan Energy Chapter meets the requirements for enhanced energy planning (24 VSA § 4352). Excerpts are included in Appendix B to this Report. Like the Town Plan, the TRORC Energy Plan also promotes the region’s achievement of the Comprehensive Energy Plan renewable energy targets:

Meet 25% of remaining energy need from renewables by 2025, 40% by 2035 and 90% by 2050.
Meet end use sector goal of 67% renewable electric by 2025.

Appendix B at 220. The TRORC Plan states that “[t]o reach the state’s renewable energy generation targets, more renewable generation will need to be developed and we believe most of this will be in the form of solar.” Appendix B at 225. The Plan notes that “[t]he amount of renewable energy generation in the TRORC region must increase from 2015 levels by 163 MW by 2050.” Appendix B at 229. The Project will contribute to the needed growth in the TRORC region and promote reduced reliance on out of state power resources.

In describing potential considerations for siting of renewable energy facilities, the TRORC Regional Plan notes that “the primary concern is one of aesthetics.” Appendix B at 241. As explained above, the Project is well sited and will not adversely impact aesthetics.

The TRORC Regional Plan Scenic Resources section identifies the following as characteristics of potentially scenic areas:

- Shorelands immediate to public lakes, rivers, or ponds
- Areas immediately adjacent to scenic corridors;
- Prominent ridgelines, mountain tops, or excessively steep slopes that can be readily viewed from public corridors;
- Exceptional agricultural and historic areas, recognized as outstanding resource values;
- Areas within or immediately adjacent to natural areas (i.e. wetlands) designated by the State; and
- Areas of high scenic quality which are publicly recognized as exceptionally unique or are noted examples of the dominant characteristics of an area in the region.

Appendix B at 159. Again, in order to be considered a clear written community standard, the Plan needs to designate the specific Project parcel as part of a protected resource area, and the TRORC Plan does not speak to this Project site.

In conclusion, as demonstrated above, the Town has reviewed this specific location and determined the Project will not violate any clear, written community standard intended to protect or preserve the aesthetics or scenic, natural beauty of the area and the TRORC has determined the site is preferred as well.

3. Has the Applicant taken reasonably available mitigating steps to reduce the Project's visual impacts?

Yes. Through the careful site selection and design the Applicant has taken reasonably available mitigating steps to reduce the Project's potential visual impacts.

D. Aesthetics Conclusion

The Project will not have an undue adverse impact with respect to the aesthetics, scenic or natural beauty of the area.

V. Orderly Development of the Region

30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1) requires that "a project not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region, with due consideration to the recommendations of the town and regional planning commissions, town selectboard, and the land conservation measures contained in the plan of any affected municipality." This criterion "relates to the orderly development of the region, not to a particular municipality within the region." *In re Petition of Rutland Renewable Energy, LLC for Certificate of Public Good Pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248*, 2016 Vt. 50, ¶ 9.

On a regional basis, the Project's impacts are localized and minimal. The array has a low profile in the landscape and the character and components of the array and associated equipment are visually consistent with similar solar projects seen throughout Vermont. The array is suitable for the context in which it will be located. The Project will not unduly interfere with any land conservation measures contained in the Norwich Town Plan or the TRORC Regional Plan.

Section 248(b)(1)(C) also provides that, with respect to an in-state electric generation facility, the Commission shall give substantial deference to the land conservation measures and specific policies contained in a duly adopted regional and municipal plan that has received an affirmative determination of energy compliance. As noted previously, the TRORC has adopted an Enhanced Energy Plan. The Town of Norwich has not. Notwithstanding this, the Project will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region, with both the due consideration and substantial deference standard in mind.

As demonstrated in earlier sections of this report, the Project is compatible with applicable policies and goals in both the Town Plan and the TRORC 2020 Regional Plan, and in fact will further the renewable energy policies and goals stated in both plans. Further, both the TRORC and the Town have determined that the Project site qualifies for preferred siting status, which reinforces that the Project will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region.

This review of the Project results in the conclusion that the Project will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region.

VI. Above-Ground Historic Resources

The Commission utilizes the three-part test articulated by the Environmental Board in its *Middlebury College decision* to evaluate impacts on historic sites.⁷ The first issue is whether any resources, including the proposed Project site, are historic sites. The second issue is whether the proposed Project will adversely impact the historic sites. Adverse impacts include effects on the setting and landscape, "which are incongruous or incompatible with the site's historic qualities, including but not limited to . . . new visual, audible or atmospheric elements."⁸ The final issue is whether the proposed Project's adverse impacts on the historic sites are undue. Much like the *Quechee* Test, adverse impacts for historic properties are considered undue when one of the following conditions is met:

- (a) The failure of an applicant to take generally available mitigating steps which a reasonable person would take to preserve the character of the historic site;
- (b) Interference on the part of the proposed project with the ability of the public to interpret or appreciate the historic qualities of the site;
- (c) Cumulative effects on the historic qualities of the site by the various components of a proposed project which, when taken together, are so significant that they create an unacceptable impact; and
- (d) Violation of a clear, written community standard which is intended to preserve the historic qualities of the site.⁹

Review of the Project's potential impacts in accordance with these standards has been conducted. As well, the Division of Historic Preservation also conducted a review and indicated in an email dated August 30, 2021 that they have no concerns with the project as currently proposed. See Exh. RPF MS-10.

The closest historic structure is the Joseph Loveland House (survey #1411-5) over 2,000 ft away along Route 5. Visibility of the Project from this historic structure is unlikely due to intervening vegetation and changing topography.

The Project will not create an adverse impact on these historic resources.

8336020_4:12602-00075

⁷ *Petition of Rutland Renewable Energy, LLC*, Dkt. 8818, Order of 3/11/15 at 57 (citing *Petition of Green Mountain Power Corp. et al.*, Docket 7628, Order of 5/31/11 at 107; *Petition of Georgia Mountain Community Wind*, Docket 7508, Order of 6/11/10 at 62; *Amended Petition of UPC Vermont Wind, LLC*, Docket 7156, Order of 8/8/07 at 78; *In re Middlebury College*, No. 9A0177-EB (V.E.B. Jan 26, 1990)).

⁸ *Petition of Rutland Renewable Energy, LLC*, Dkt. 8818, Order of 3/11/15 at 58

⁹ *Id.*

norwich town plan

ADOPTED MARCH 7 2020



Norwich Planning Commission

Jaci Allen, Chair

Susan Brink

Ernie Ciccotelli

Jeff Goodrich

Melissa Horwitz

Brian Loeb

Jeff Lubell

Leah Romano

Steve Thoms

1 Introduction	1	5 Economic Development	37
1.1 Purpose	1	5.1 Objectives	37
1.2 Authority	1	5.2 Policies	37
1.3 Planning Process	1	5.3 Actions	38
1.4 Using the Plan	2	5.4 Background	38
1.5 State Planning Goals	2	5.5 Barriers To Economic Growth	39
2 Land Use	4	6 Transportation	40
2.1 Objectives	4	6.1 Objectives	40
2.2 Policies	5	6.2 Policies	40
2.3 Actions	5	6.3 Actions	41
2.4 Current Land Use	6	6.4 Roads In Norwich	41
2.5 Future Land Use	7	6.5 Regional Transportation Planning Issues	43
2.6 Forest Blocks and Habitat Connectors	9	7 Facilities and Services	45
2.7 Village Center Designation	10	7.1 Objectives	45
2.8 Compatibility	10	7.2 Policies	45
3 Energy	21	7.3 Actions	46
3.1 Objectives	21	7.4 Town Government Facilities	46
3.2 Policies	21	7.5 Water Supply Infrastructure	46
3.3 Actions	22	7.6 Electricity Infrastructure	47
3.4 Overview	22	7.7 Telecommunications Infrastructure	47
3.5 Current Energy Use	23	7.8 Stormwater Infrastructure	47
3.6 Renewable Energy Resources	24	7.9 Wastewater Provision	47
3.7 Energy Conservation and Efficiency	27	7.10 Solid Waste Facilities and Services	48
3.8 Future Generation, Use and Conservation	28	7.11 Trails	48
4 Housing	30	7.12 Educational Facilities	48
4.1 Objectives	30	7.13 Childcare	49
4.2 Policies	31	7.14 Recreation	49
4.3 Actions	31		
4.4 Regional Market	31		
4.5 Local Housing Stock Characteristics	32		
4.6 Affordability	33		

8 Resilience	53
8.1 Objectives	53
8.2 Policies	53
8.3 Actions	54
8.4 Floodplains	54
8.5 Mitigation Plans	55
9 Implementation Program	56
10 Appendices	61
A. Affordable Housing Strategy	61
B. TRORC Act 174 Supplement	61
C. Town Plan Outreach and Research	61

Figures	
Figure 1. Future Land Use Recommendations	11
Figure 2. Current Land Use Map	12
Figure 3. Current Land Use Map (Village Detail)	13
Figure 4. Housing Location Map	14
Figure 5. Protected Lands Map	15
Figure 6. Protected Lands Map (Village)	16
Figure 7. Water Resources Map	17
Figure 8. Forest Block and Habitat Connector Map	18
Figure 9. Future Land Use Map	19
Figure 10. Future Land Use Map (Village Detail)	20
Figure 11. Energy Use and Goals by Sector	23
Figure 12. Norwich Energy Targets	24
Figure 13. Year Housing Unit Built	32
Figure 14. Change in Housing Units 1940 — 2018	33
Figure 15. Change in Household Income 2000-2017	34
Figure 16. Single-Family Home Sales in Norwich by Price	34
Figure 17. Establishments, Employees and Wages	38
Figure 18. Commuting Patterns 2017	39
Figure 19. Estimated Average Daily Traffic Counts	41
Figure 20. Transportation Map	44
Figure 21. Community Facilities Map	51
Figure 22. Community Facilities Map (Village Detail)	52

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The Norwich Town Plan states the town's objectives, policies and actions for guiding future land use and development in the community. This plan is a guide for municipal decision-making. It also contains policies directing the deliberations of the Selectboard, Planning Commission, Development Review Board and other town and state boards and commissions in reviewing development proposals. This plan identifies current conditions and gathers public input as a resource for future public spending on community facilities, housing assistance and other municipal programs and services.

1.2 Authority

Under the Vermont Municipal and Regional Planning and Development Act (24 VSA Chapter 117), the Planning Commission has the duty to make and approve a Town Plan and then recommend its adoption to the Selectboard. State Law requires that a Town Plan be composed of several interrelated elements that address the following areas: land use, transportation, energy, economic development, utilities and facilities, educational facilities, natural areas, and plan implementation. The Act also requires that town plans promote goals set forth by the legislature related to both *process* and *planning content*. The process goals are designed to ensure that there is coordination across all levels of government, the development of the plan involves

citizens, the plan considers the consequences of growth, and the plan encourages towns to work together. The 14 planning goals help to ensure that all town plans are coordinated and reflect the legislature's vision for how land in Vermont will be developed. Finally, the Act requires that a town plan study present conditions and trends, anticipate future internal and external influences that will affect the town, and formulate policies and actions that will ensure the health of the town in the coming years. Through the Act plans must also be compatible with the regional plan — Two Rivers Ottauquechee Regional Planning Commission (TRORC) Regional Plan. Once adopted the Norwich Plan remains in effect for eight years.

Having a duly adopted and approved plan will allow Norwich to reapply for Village Center Designation and seek support from the State for future planning studies and projects.

1.3 Planning Process

Norwich first adopted a town plan in 1968. The plan has been regularly updated and re-adopted since. This plan is a major change over the previous three plans adopted in Norwich. This plan includes input from an on-line survey conducted under the auspices of the Selectboard in 2018, a postcard survey in 2019 and numerous workshops and meetings spanning ten months where residents discussed:

- ▶ how to respond to the climate crisis;
- ▶ how to continue protecting important natural resources;

- ▶ how to increase housing stock, including the variety and type;
- ▶ preserving rural character and vibrant village life.

The Vermont Planning and Development Act establishes the process by which town plans must be adopted, which includes public hearings by both the Planning Commission and Selectboard. That process has been followed in the adoption of this 2020 plan.

1.4 Using the Plan

The Norwich Town Plan conveys a vision for thoughtful stewardship of rich cultural and natural resources, a commitment to address the climate crisis and fostering housing development that is appropriate in scale and responsive to community needs. The plan policies and recommendations will be implemented over time through many distinct actions, including capital improvements, land use regulation amendments, and changes to other municipal regulations and documents. The plan provides the policy platform for the integration and coordination of these decisions and actions. This plan also provides guidance on how the town's land use development regulations should be updated and enhanced to facilitate plan implementation. Vermont state statute requires that the town's land use regulations be consistent with the adopted plan.

When using this plan for a regulatory purpose, the objectives, policies and actions found throughout must be considered in context as part of a whole rather than individual statements meant to stand alone. Norwich (like any community) has competing objectives that must be

weighed carefully when applied on an individual basis. This plan is a guide for such decisions.

The plan is organized into seven subject area chapters. Each chapter opens with objectives, policies and actions.

- ▶ Objectives are attainable outcomes accomplishing one or more goals (see [State Planning Goals](#)). Where possible they should be specific and quantifiable so that the community can determine when they have been met.
- ▶ Policies are principles that guide progress to achieving one or more objectives. They guide all relevant decision-making by town government, and in those circumstances where the plan is intended to influence regional or state decision-making.
- ▶ Actions are the concrete activities or programs intended to achieve (or contribute to) one or more objectives that town government will implement during the life of the plan (eight years).

This plan incorporates the state planning goals as Norwich's planning goals. The objectives and policies of each chapter are formulated to further these goals.

1.5 State Planning Goals

The 2020 Norwich Town Plan is consistent with the 14 state planning goals listed in the Vermont Development Act as demonstrated below. To be 'consistent with a goal' requires that one or more objectives identified in this plan will result in Norwich making substantial progress towards attaining the stated goal. The table below includes each goal and identifies the related objectives and policies established in this plan.

State Planning Goal	Chapter
1 To plan development so as to maintain the historic settlement pattern of compact downtowns and village centers separated by rural countryside.	Land Use, page 4 Economic Development, page 37 Housing, page 30
2 To provide a strong and diverse economy that provides satisfying and rewarding job opportunities and that maintains high environmental standards, and to expand economic opportunities in areas with high unemployment or low per capita incomes.	Economic Development, page 37
3 To broaden access to educational and vocational training opportunities sufficient to ensure the full realization of the abilities of all Vermonters.	Economic Development, page 37 Facilities and Services, page 45
4 To provide for safe, convenient, economic, and energy efficient transportation systems that respect the integrity of the natural environment, including public transit options and paths for pedestrians and bicyclers.	Transportation, page 40 Energy, page 21
5 To identify, protect, and preserve important natural and historic features of the Vermont landscape.	Land Use, page 4
6 To maintain and improve the quality of air, water, wildlife, forests, and other land resources.	Land Use, page 4 Transportation, page 40
7 To make efficient use of energy, provide for the development of renewable energy resources, and reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.	Energy, page 21 Transportation, page 40
8 To maintain and enhance recreational opportunities for Vermont residents and visitors.	Land Use, page 4
9 To encourage and strengthen agricultural and forest industries.	Land Use, page 4 Economic Development, page 37
10 To provide for the wise and efficient use of Vermont's natural resources and to facilitate the appropriate extraction of earth resources and the proper restoration and preservation of the aesthetic qualities of the area.	Land Use, page 4 Economic Development, page 37
11 To ensure the availability of safe and affordable housing for all Vermonters.	Housing, page 30 Land Use, page 4
12 To plan for, finance, and provide an efficient system of public facilities and services to meet future needs.	Facilities and Services, page 45 Land Use, page 4
13 To ensure the availability of safe and affordable child care and to integrate child care issues into the planning process, including child care financing, infrastructure, business assistance for child care providers, and child care work force development.	Facilities and Services, page 45 Land Use, page 4
14 To encourage flood resilient communities.	Resilience, page 53 Land Use, page 4

3 | ENERGY

3.1 Objectives

- 3-1.a Reduce greenhouse gas emissions from Norwich municipal operations, businesses and residents (24 VSA §4302 (c) (7)).
- 3-1.b Reduce overall energy use in Norwich (24 VSA §4302 (c) (7)).
- 3-1.c Shift energy use in Norwich from non-renewable to renewable sources (24 VSA §4302 (c) (7) (A)).
- 3-1.d Increase the amount of renewable energy being produced in Norwich in a manner that is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of this plan (24 VSA §4302 (c) (7) (A)).
- 3-1.e Pursue strategies identifies in the State Energy Plan (30 VSA §§202, 202b).

3.2 Policies

- 3-2.a Establish a mechanism to collect and appropriate funds to support projects that further the objectives of this Energy Plan.
- 3-2.b Ensure that the review of the Norwich Zoning and Subdivision Regulations is informed by the link between changing land use patterns and reducing fossil fuel use, including, but not limited to, consideration of increasing density adjacent to the existing village district, and the creation of new zoning districts.
- 3-2.c Promote bike and pedestrian as non-vehicular transport modes using best practices for traffic engineering such as sidewalks, bike lanes and dedicated trails.
- 3-2.d Consider lifecycle costs when planning to construct or upgrade municipal facilities.
- 3-2.e Develop programs that assist low-income households with weatherizing and improving the efficiency of existing dwelling units.



Photo: Pill-Maharam Architects

- 3-2.f Expand the authority of the Zoning Administrator to require the issuance of a Certificate of Compliance on all new construction over 800-sq ft ensuring that such work meets the VT Residential Building Standards (VT-RBES).
- 3-2.g Require large-scale commercial and institutional development to install solar panels on roofs and over any parking lots where feasible.
- 3-2.h For solar generation projects sized from 15kW to 500kW the presumption is that all of Norwich meets the Public Utility Commission definition of 'preferred site', notwithstanding the existing areas of local concern including the Ridgeline Protection Overlay Area, Shoreline Protection Overlay Area and the historic village district as identified in the Norwich Land Use Regulations.
- 3-2.i Support Advanced Transit in providing a range of commuter services to Norwich, providing connections with locations where residents attend school, work and shop.

3.3 Actions

- 3-3.a Advocate before VTrans on behalf of non-vehicular road users for improved accommodations on state highways.
- 3-3.b Review hybrid and electric options for any municipal vehicle purchase or replacement.
- 3-3.c Consider how to address barriers to development related to limitations on wastewater capacity, including a review of the findings of the 2005 study conducted by the Norwich Sewer Committee in light of current challenges and changes in wastewater management.
- 3-3.d Encourage development projects to install solar collectors on rooftops and parking lots.
- 3-3.e Participate in the Section 248 process before the Public Utility Commission to make decisions that further the goals, objectives, and policies of this plan.
- 3-3.f Implement to the best of our abilities the (non-binding) Article 36 from the Town of Norwich 2019 ballot, which was passed by voters:

Shall the voters of Norwich direct all Town officials to take immediate and sustained efforts to gradually and continually

reduce the Town's direct use of fossil fuels, beginning at a rate of no less than 5 percent per year starting in the 2019-20 fiscal year and continuing until they are eliminated entirely, and shall the Town Manager be charged with monitoring such efforts and reporting on them each year in the annual Town Report, and no capital expenditures shall be made that contradict or undermine this direction, absent a majority vote of the Selectboard?

- 3-3.g Ensure that the Zoning Administrator or their designee has the training and resources to both enforce state Residential Building Energy Standards and issue Certificates of Compliance on development projects greater than 800-sf.
- 3-3.h Provide residents with information on:
 - i. cold-climate heat pumps, and other non-fossil fuel heat sources in new construction and in existing homes and buildings;
 - ii. replacing fossil fuels powered vehicles with electric vehicles;
 - iii. managing forest land for long-term, sustainable harvesting of wood.
- 3-3.i Raise climate crisis and energy awareness.
- 3-3.j Work with community groups and others to support non-vehicular transportation options in Norwich.

3.4 Overview

We have understood for at least fifty years that human dependence on fossil fuels is not sustainable. Only now are we beginning to grapple with the climate crisis resulting from burning fossil fuels. We also need to develop community resiliency to better withstand the disruptions caused by the changing climate. There is an active grassroots effort in Vermont and around the world to act locally in addressing the climate crisis and in building resiliency.

This chapter details an energy plan for Norwich residents, businesses, and town government in the context of Vermont's "90 percent renewable by 2050" energy goal. Policies and objectives focus on those decisions directly within the control of the town, assuming the current regulatory scope and commitment of resources. Opportunities for promoting changes in residential energy consumption with existing town volunteer resources are also identified. Assumptions made in the Vermont 2016 Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP) and the shortcomings in available data are noted to encourage more rigorous planning at the state level, where the vast majority of decisions regarding energy markets (fossil fuel and renewable) are made.


3.5 Current Energy Use

According to the 2018 Progress Report by the Energy Action Network, Vermont greenhouse gas emissions have been increasing despite significant reduction commitments. Transportation and thermal energy (heating and cooling) are the largest contributors to the state's greenhouse gas emissions. This plan assumes that this state-level analysis applies to Norwich as well.

The accepted estimate of the total amount of energy being used in Norwich is from the Energy Action Network Community (EAN Energy Dashboard). This source suggests that in 2016 (the latest year actual use figures are available) Norwich consumed 508,115 MMBTUs (million BTUs) for electricity, thermal, and transportation (see [Figure](#)

11). Energy use in Norwich reflects the settlement pattern, which is dominated by low density residential lots, and little or no industrial or commercial activity.

Figure 11. Energy Use and Goals by Sector



Source: Brighter Vermont Community Energy Dashboard

In developing this chapter, the town relied upon:

- ▶ 2017 Two Rivers Ottauquechee Regional Commission (TRORC) [regional energy planning](#)
- ▶ The [EAN Energy Dashboard](#) which tracks the progress of each Vermont community towards the state's goal of meeting 90 percent of local energy needs through efficiency and renewable energy by 2050.
- ▶ The Act174 Supplement prepared for Norwich by TRORC is incorporated into this plan and included in [Appendix B](#).

Data on electricity consumption is specific to Norwich and up-to-date because Green Mountain Power (GMP) as a

utility regulated by the VT Public Utilities Commission (PUC) provides detailed statistics about electricity generation and use as part of their license to operate. Approximately 60 percent of the **GMP portfolio** is made up of renewable energy, predominantly hydro-electric from Quebec. Current commercial transportation energy use and future trends were not assessed by TRORC as part of their Act 174 energy planning. The published figures for thermal and transportation energy are rough estimates, based on statewide averages and Census data. More reliable and accurate data is needed for town energy planning to be meaningful and effective.

3.6 Renewable Energy Resources

Vermont's Renewable Energy Goals

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions caused from human activities are driving the global climate crisis. In 2011 Vermont adopted a goal to obtain 90 percent of the total energy used in the state (primarily electricity, thermal, and transportation) from renewable sources by 2050. Advisory 2050 targets have been set for each Vermont municipality. The energy and conservation targets for Norwich are shown in **Figure 12**. Specific targets for renewable energy generation are included in **Appendix B**, Energy Targets and Conservation Goals.

Figure 12. Norwich Energy Targets

Year	Renewable	Nonrenewable	Efficiency	Total
2014 (baseline)	144.3	380.1	0	524.4
2016 (actual)	145.4	362.7	8.7	508.1
2025 (target)	160.1	273.8	47.9	434.0
2035 (target)	174.5	177.2	91.5	351.7
2050 (target)	196.1	32.3	156.8	228.4

All values expressed in thousand MMBTUs.

Source: *Energy Action Network 2050 Energy Pathway Analysis*

Town-level efforts to meet the State's '90 by 50' goal will focus on redirecting energy demand to renewable electric sources. These efforts will be challenged by the limited authority of municipalities to affect energy use outcomes. Energy products (including efficiency and renewables) are allocated via markets which are regulated by State and US governments. Municipalities are best understood as institutional consumers who have no jurisdiction over the structure and operation of energy markets. In the case of Norwich, the town is a very small consumer, even compared to local school districts and larger regional employers.

Municipalities do have the authority to regulate land use (an authority granted to municipalities by state statute and case law). Because land use patterns in Norwich have been consistent for many decades, and the rate of development is exceedingly slow, changing land use patterns will not play a major role in achieving the targets within the timeframes identified by the VT CEP. Nevertheless, Norwich will use this opportunity to review the zoning and subdivision regulations to encourage future development patterns that reduce energy use and preserve forest and agricultural lands for

ecosystem services. These concerns are addressed in more detail in the Land Use, Housing and Transportation chapters.

Fifty seven percent of the electricity consumed in Norwich is from renewable sources (based on the GMP renewable portfolio and local generation), 0.5 percent below the 2016 EAN Dashboard target. Converting current electricity use to renewable sources has been relatively straightforward in response to state policies such as the Renewable Energy Standard, which required utilities to procure 55 percent of their electricity from renewable sources in 2017. That figure will increase incrementally to 75 percent by 2032. Conversion of transportation and thermal energy (most of the energy used in Norwich) to renewable sources are beyond the regulatory scope of the municipality, and thus the Town can only influence the outcome at the margins.

In summary, it is important to acknowledge that the town's ability to meet the ambitious and necessary state energy goals is limited. It falls primarily in 1) land use regulation, 2) modeling the adoption of energy conservation and renewable energy in Town facilities and equipment, and 3) ensuring local regulations are not a barrier to necessary change. Norwich is, nevertheless, determined to take concerted action to make progress.

Renewable Energy Generation Potential

Act 174 Maps. As required by the state under Act 174, TRORC has mapped areas of Norwich that have potential for renewable energy generation (see [Appendix B](#)).

The maps for solar potential rely heavily on analyzing aspect (south-facing landforms are most suitable for solar generation). The maps do not correct for features that will limit uptake of solar projects including: current land use and lot boundaries, extent of forest cover, proximity to roads, and distance to electric distribution (particularly 3-phase power and transmission infrastructure). Each of these factors presents serious limitations to utility scale (>500 kW) solar energy development.

At present, the most salient factors for determining where non-residential renewable energy projects may feasibly be located is proximity to the existing power grid (3-phase power and transmission lines) and the capacity of the grid to accommodate additional load. As of 2019, the [GMP Solar Map 2.0](#) indicated that there were system limitations on the circuit along the Thetford-Norwich border and to the far west of Norwich near the Sharon town-line. Norwich operates on circuit 71G1 of the Wilder substation, which the utility lists as having 72 percent of its capacity remaining (approximately 10.2 MW). Therefore, installation of numerous 150 kW solar arrays is feasible. Three-phase power lines currently run along Main Street as far as Willey Hill Road, Route 5 South, and Route 5 North (to just south of Farrell Farm Road). Beyond these areas infrastructure upgrades would be required for larger projects.

SOLAR POWER. The EAN Dashboard identifies 190 small PV sites in Norwich, with a total capacity of almost 1,800 kW (approximately 11 percent of the generation goal). The Norwich Energy Committee tracks solar installations, including households that have purchased shares of solar

projects located in other towns. This count tallies 283 residences, businesses, or churches that have “gone solar” — more projects of this scale and type are likely. The EAN Dashboard ranks Norwich 12th out of 250 towns in Vermont for the number of solar electric sites.

While large scale development of solar energy will require proximity to a substation and three phase power, the utility grid in Norwich is well-suited for projects of about 150kW or smaller. Using the Act 174 mapping methodology 6,341 acres out of a total 28, 620 acres in Norwich has solar potential (southern facing slopes). But, 22,116 acres (or 77 percent) of Norwich is forested. About 67 percent of the area identified as having solar potential is currently under forest. Aside from the economic cost of clearing, the release of carbon from cleared lands would diminish the climate benefits of solar development on these sites. The mapping of solar potential also includes the Right-of-Way (ROW) for interstate 1-91 and other lands not available for development.

About 16 MW of installed solar would be needed for Norwich to meet its renewable energy generation target of about 20,000 MWh per year (Appendix B, table 1Q). This is the town share of projected statewide energy demand in 2050, in proportion to its population. Based on current solar technology. 16 MW of solar generation would require about 160 acres total, or about 0.5 percent of the towns total land area. Assuming that solar panels continue to increase in efficiency, the area needed to meet Norwich energy demand will decrease as a result. Today 150 kW solar arrays typically require about of a third of an acre. To

the extent that homes and businesses take up roof and parking lot installations the need for larger ground-based solar arrays will be reduced.

BIOMASS. It is not known how much wood is harvested for fuel in Norwich on an annual basis. Wood is a renewable source of thermal energy and technological improvements have greatly increased the efficiency and reduced the pollution associated with burning wood. A large percentage of homes in Norwich use wood as either a primary or secondary heating source. The State of Vermont is encouraging schools and municipal facilities to install high efficiency wood pellet or woodchip heating systems. More recently Dartmouth College (in neighboring Hanover, NH) is reconsidering a proposal for a biomass plant to replace existing fossil fuel fired heat system, due to concerns about the risk of increasing greenhouse gas emissions (including the impact of trucking woodchips) and local air quality effects. While the climate benefits of burning wood for heat are being reassessed Norwich will promote the clear path of solar electricity and switching to electric heat and transportation.

GEOTHERMAL. There is one ground source heat pump installed at a residential property in Norwich, according to the EAN Dashboard. The feasibility of installing geothermal systems needs to be assessed on a site-by-site basis. As of 2019, the town is considering geothermal heat pumps for three town buildings (Tracy Hall, the Fire Department apparatus bay, and the Town Garage).

HYDRO POWER. There are no hydropower facilities currently located in Norwich according to the Energy Dashboard. Small, run-of-the-river generators would be the only likely future hydro generation, given current state and federal regulations regarding the damming of waterways. However, just over 60 percent of GMP electricity is provided by contracts with Hydro-Quebec, a public utility.

WIND POWER. According to the Energy Dashboard there are no wind energy projects installed in Norwich as of 2018. There is no meaningful potential for utility- or community-scale wind generation in Norwich given current turbine technology, which generally requires an average wind speed of at least 6 meters per second. Only two locations in Norwich are identified through the Act 174 mapping process with wind speeds at 6 meters per second or above (accessed via turbines set between 50 and 70 meters high). Both are off Chapel Hill Rd along the Sharon town-line. These sites are not currently accessible from roads suitable for this scale of development, nor to a power transmission line.

3.7 Energy Conservation and Efficiency

STRUCTURES. The scenario for meeting the state's renewable energy goal presented on the EAN Dashboard shows that by 2050 Norwich will need to use a total of 296 MMBTUs of energy less than it did in the baseline year of 2014. Under the US and Vermont constitutions, the town has no role in shaping or regulating the market provision of energy conservation or efficiency products and

services. In addition, the annual rate of new construction, or even substantial improvement, is very low. Nevertheless, the town can still play a role by encouraging energy code compliance, modeling energy-efficiency in municipal facilities, supporting outreach and information-sharing with residents, and investigating how it could take on inspection and enforcement.

TRANSPORTATION. Of note here is the assumption that the town's total energy use for transportation will go from 205,793 MMBTUs in the baseline year of 2014 to 56,348 MMBTUs in 2050 (see [EAN Dashboard, regional energy planning](#)). That is, the town's transportation energy use in 2050 will be 27 percent of what it was in 2014. It is also expected that fully 90 percent of the 2050 transportation energy budget will be provided from renewable sources. This is a major change from the town's current modes of transportation and entirely outside the control of (existing) municipal decision-making. Land-use policy, a clear area of town authority, will play an important role, as will town support for regional public transit and town infrastructure for walking, biking, and electric vehicles. Land use policy can help support reductions in the number and length of car trips — and thus greenhouse gas emissions — by encouraging future development to be located close to job and retail centers and public transit lines, and creating walkable neighborhoods.

3.8 Future Generation, Use and Conservation

Energy Targets

Future targets for energy generation, use and conservation have been set for all Vermont municipalities as part of the state's enhanced energy planning under Act 174 (see [Figure 12](#)). The planning scenario presented on the [EAN Dashboard](#) envisions that total energy consumption of Norwich will decrease from the 2014 baseline consumption of 524,4000 MMBTUs to 228,400 MMBTUs in 2050. A reduction to 44 percent of 2014 levels. Moreover, only 32,300 MMBTUs (or 14 percent of the total) will be from non-renewable sources. This reduction will primarily rely on the efficiencies of weatherization and electric transportation.

This plan's land use, housing and transportation objectives and policies call for new housing and economic development to be focused in and adjacent to the village and mixed use areas. This is where people can live close to employment, shopping and services. Such proximity allows walking, biking and public transit, all of which reduce transportation energy use. Encouraging such a development pattern through the Town's land use regulations and public infrastructure are the most effective and direct measures Norwich government can take to move towards meeting the state's energy goals.

The 2017 TRORC Energy Plan recognizes that Norwich is currently generating 2.2 GWh/year of electricity from solar and sets a target for a total of 20GWh/year of renewable energy generation by 2050. This is based on

Norwich's fraction of the regional population. The portfolio of renewable energy generating sources includes both rooftop and ground-mounted solar, wind, and hydropower. The TRORC energy plan suggests that there is 81 times more 'suitable land' than is needed to host such renewable energy projects (primarily for solar) in Norwich.

Renewable Energy Project Siting Standards


This plan supports renewable energy production in Norwich. For this policy to continue with broad community support it must be balanced with this plan's policies related to:

- ▶ Protecting natural resources, environmental quality, scenic resources and rural character
- ▶ Maintaining viable farms and the working lands needed to sustain them
- ▶ Focusing development in those areas of town already served by existing public infrastructure
- ▶ Preserving cultural resources within Norwich village
- ▶ Preserving the recreational and natural value of those lands identified in the Ridgeline Protection Overlay Area and Shoreline Protection Overlay Area
- ▶ Increasing the supply, diversity and affordability of housing in Norwich


This plan calls upon the Public Utility Commission to issue Certificates of Public Good for projects between 15 kW and 500 kW based on the presumption that lands in Norwich meet the so-called 'preferred site criteria', except in areas already mapped as Ridgeline Protection Overlay Area, the Shoreline Protection Overlay Area, and the designated

village center. Renewable energy projects in Norwich are further conditioned on the following standards:

- ▶ For individual or group net metered renewable energy projects, the property owner must take reasonable measures to site and/or screen the installations to minimize any visual or noise impacts beyond the property line, particularly on sites where there are neighboring homes in close proximity.
- ▶ Projects larger than 150 kW must meet existing standards for setbacks, site design (landscaping, screening, lighting, stormwater, etc.) as laid out in the Norwich Zoning and Subdivision Regulations.
- ▶ Projects larger than 500 kW must have a management and decommissioning plan that will ensure the land will be returned to its prior condition when no longer actively used for renewable energy generation. Wherever feasible, the energy generation use must be combined with continued agricultural use of the land or habitat management, such that soil health and fertility is maintained.
- ▶ Projects larger than 500 kW must not clear land within a mapped forest block (see [Figure 8](#)) unless there is a management and decommissioning plan that will ensure the land will be re-forested and managed in accordance with a forest management plan, when no longer actively used for renewable energy generation.



TRCRC REGIONAL PLAN - 2020



TWO RIVERS-OTTAUQUECHEE REGIONAL PLAN

TRORC STAFF (2020)

PETER G. GREGORY, AICP, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
LORI KAY, FINANCE MANAGER
PETER L. FELLOWS, GISP, CFM, GIS MANAGER
KEVIN W. GEIGER, AICP, CFM, SENIOR PLANNER
RITA SETO, AICP, SENIOR PLANNER
VICTORIA LITTLEFIELD, REGIONAL PLANNER
KIMBERLY GILBERT, AICP, REGIONAL PLANNER
SARAH WRAIGHT, REGIONAL PLANNER
JESSICA RICHTER, PLANNER



ADOPTED - July 15, 2020
EFFECTIVE - August 19, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
A. TRORC	1
B. The Region	1
Population	1
Future Population Projections	3
Brief History of the Region: 1760 to Present	3
C. Plan Purpose and Design	6
D. Legal Authority and Use of the Plan	6
E. Ongoing Planning Activities	8
F. Use of the Plan in Regulatory Proceedings	9
Act 250	9
Section 248	10
G. Developments of Regional Impact	10
H. Definitions of Goal, Policy, and Recommendation	11
I. Structure of the Plan	11
J. Plan Amendment	11
2. HEALTHY COMMUNITIES	13
A. Introduction	13
B. Community Design, the Built Environment, and Land Use	14
What Does It All Have To Do With Public Health?	14
Population Shifts	14
Growth in Obesity Rates	15
Goals and Recommendations: Community Design, the Built Environment, and Land Use	16
C. What Makes a Healthy Community?	16
Healthy Food Access and Security	16
Policies and Recommendations: Healthy Food Access and Security	17
Healthy Homes	18
Policy and Recommendations: Healthy Homes	18
Environmental Health and Justice	19
Policies and Recommendations: Environmental Health and Justice*	19
Healthy Natural Environment	19
Goals, Policy, and Recommendations: Healthy Natural Environment	20
Active Living	21
Active Transportation	21
Policies and Recommendations: Active Living and Transportation	22
Social Inclusion	22
Policies and Recommendations: Social Inclusion	23
Substance Misuse Prevention	23
Policies and Recommendations: Substance Misuse Prevention	24
Health-Care Facilities	24
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Health-Care Facilities	26

3. LAND USE	29
A. Background Issues	29
Goals and Policies: Overall Land Use	30
B. Future Land Use Areas	31
Regional Growth Areas	31
Regional Center	33
Policies: Regional Centers	33
Town Centers	34
Policies: Town Centers	34
Village Settlements	35
Policies: Village Settlements	35
Hamlet Areas	36
Policies: Hamlet Areas	36
Industrial Areas	37
Policies: Industrial Areas	37
Mixed-Use Areas	37
Policies: Mixed-Use Areas	37
Quechee Gorge Tourist Area	38
Policies: Quechee Gorge Tourist Area	38
Interchange Areas	38
Policies: Interchange Areas - General	40
Interchange Area Policies - Specific	41
Policies: Quechee Interchange	42
Policies: Randolph Interchange	43
Policies: Royalton Interchange	44
Rural Areas	45
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Rural Areas	47
Forest-Based Resource Areas	48
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Forest-Based Resource Areas	56
C. Flood Resilience	58
Types of Flooding	58
Causes of Flooding	59
Implications of Climate Change and Flooding	59
Flood Damages	60
Flood Hazard and Fluvial Erosion Hazard Areas in the TRO Region	61
Lands That Help Prevent Flooding	63
The Site-Specific Nature of Flooding	65
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Flood Resilience	66

4. TRANSPORTATION	69
A. Vision for Our Regional Transportation System	69
B. Introduction	69
<i>Regional Transportation Characteristics</i>	71
C. Background Trends and Challenges	72
<i>Regional Transportation Trends and Challenges</i>	72
<i>Costs</i>	74
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Overall Transportation</i>	75
D. Land Use and Transportation: Overview	75
<i>Historical Overview</i>	75
<i>Current Land Use and Transportation</i>	76
<i>Future Land Use and Transportation</i>	77
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Land Use and Transportation</i>	78
E. Housing and Transportation	80
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Housing and Transportation</i>	81
F. Environmental Considerations and Transportation	81
<i>Flooding and Resiliency</i>	81
<i>Wildlife</i>	82
<i>Stormwater</i>	82
<i>Energy</i>	83
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Environmental Considerations</i>	83
G. Economic Development and Transportation	84
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Economic Development and Transportation</i>	85
H. Health	85
I. Mobility and Access	86
<i>Mobility Status</i>	86
<i>Driving</i>	87
<i>Scenic Byways and Routes</i>	89
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Driving</i>	92
<i>Transit</i>	92
<i>Regional Public Transportation Services</i>	93
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Public Transportation</i>	97
<i>Carpooling and Park and Rides</i>	98
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Carpooling and Park and Rides</i>	99
<i>Walking and Biking</i>	100
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Walking and Biking</i>	101
<i>Telecommuting</i>	102
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Telecommuting</i>	103
<i>Passenger and Freight Rail</i>	103
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Passenger and Freight Rail</i>	105
5. WORKING LANDSCAPE: AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY	109
A. Introduction	109
B. Agriculture	109

Farming Trends.....	109
Farm Economy.....	110
Challenges.....	110
Solutions.....	111
C. Forestry.....	115
Challenges.....	115
Solutions.....	118
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Working Landscape.....	119
6. NATURAL RESOURCES.....	123
A. Introduction.....	123
B. Groundwater.....	124
Background.....	124
Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Groundwater.....	125
C. Surface Water.....	126
Background.....	126
Water Quality Standards, Classifications, and Designated Uses.....	126
Sources of Water Degredation.....	128
Watershed Management and Basin Planning.....	128
Shoreline Buffers and Riparian Areas.....	130
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Surface Water.....	131
D. Fisheries and Aquatic Resources.....	133
Goals and Policies: Fisheries and Aquatic Resources.....	134
E. Wetlands.....	134
Wetlands Identification.....	136
Vernal Pools.....	136
Fens and Bogs.....	137
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Wetlands.....	137
F. Wildlife Resources.....	138
Bird Habitats.....	138
Mammal Habitats.....	139
Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Natural Communities.....	142
Climate Change and Forest Shifts.....	143
Invasive Species.....	143
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Wildlife Resources.....	144
G. Air Quality.....	145
Background.....	145
Stoves.....	145
Garbage Burning.....	145
Air Pollution.....	146
Carbon Dioxide.....	146
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Air Quality.....	147
H. Mineral Resources.....	148
Background.....	148
Act 250.....	148
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Mineral Resources.....	148
7. HISTORICAL, CULTURAL, ARCHEOLOGICAL AND SCENIC RESOURCES.....	151
A. Introduction.....	151
B. Historic Resources.....	151

<i>Advantages of Historic Preservation</i>	151
<i>The National Register and State Survey</i>	152
<i>Programs for Historic Preservation</i>	154
<i>Local Historic Preservation Methods</i>	155
<i>Challenges of Historic Preservation</i>	155
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Historic Resources</i>	156
C. Archeological Resources.....	157
<i>Background</i>	157
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Archeological Resources</i>	158
D. Scenic Resources.....	158
<i>Background</i>	158
<i>Patterns for Development: A Community Standard</i>	159
<i>Prominent Scenic Landscapes</i>	159
<i>Policies: Scenic Resources</i>	160
E. Outdoor Lighting Design and Management.....	160
<i>Issues and Opportunities</i>	160
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Outdoor Lighting Design and Management</i>	161
8. HOMES IN THE REGION	165
A. Background.....	165
<i>General Trends</i>	165
<i>Regional Housing Challenges</i>	166
B. Characteristics of Our Homes.....	167
<i>Number of Homes</i>	167
<i>Types of Homes</i>	168
<i>Housing Age</i>	169
<i>Housing Occupancy</i>	170
<i>Housing Tenure</i>	170
<i>Home Aesthetics</i>	171
C. Affording a Home.....	171
<i>Regional Housing Concepts, Fair Share Housing, and Fair Housing</i>	173
<i>Status of Existing Programs in the Region Supporting Fair and Affordable Housing</i>	174
D. Housing Needs and Planning Implications.....	176
<i>Density and the Location of the Region's Housing Opportunities: From Sprawl to Smart Growth</i>	176
E. Emerging Issues/Solutions.....	177
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Homes in the Region</i>	178
9. UTILITIES, FACILITIES, AND SERVICES	183
A. Background.....	183
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Overall Utilities, Facilities, and Services</i>	184
B. Water Systems.....	185
C. Wastewater Treatment Systems.....	185
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Water and Wastewater Systems</i>	186
D. Solid Waste.....	187
<i>Universal Recycling Law</i>	188
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Solid Waste</i>	189
E. Educational Facilities and Services.....	189
<i>Elementary and Secondary Schools</i>	189
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Educational Facilities and Services</i>	193
F. Child Care Services.....	194

<i>Child Care Services in the Region</i>	194
<i>Other Forms of Child Care and Early Learning Programming</i>	195
<i>Barriers to Child Care Services: Cost, Affordability, and Family Structure</i>	195
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Child Care Services</i>	197
G. Telecommunications.....	197
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Telecommunications</i>	200
H. Municipal Buildings and Properties.....	202
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Municipal Buildings and Properties</i>	202
I. Recreational Facilities.....	203
<i>Public Recreational Opportunities</i>	203
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Recreational Opportunities</i>	204
J. Opportunities for Shared Services/Infrastructure.....	205
<i>Goal, Policy, and Recommendation: Shared Services/Infrastructure</i>	205
10. EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT	207
A. Background.....	207
B. Emergency Services.....	209
<i>Law Enforcement</i>	209
<i>Fire Protection</i>	209
<i>Ambulance and Rescue</i>	209
<i>Related Services</i>	210
<i>State and Local Emergency Management</i>	210
<i>Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPCs)</i>	211
C. Hazards Assessment.....	211
<i>Discussion by Hazard Type</i>	213
<i>Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Emergency Management</i>	215
11. ENERGY	219
A. Introduction.....	219
B. Background.....	219
C. Energy Defined.....	221
D. Key Energy Issues.....	221
<i>Environmental Protection</i>	221
<i>Energy Security</i>	223
<i>Economic Needs and Opportunities</i>	223
E. Regional Energy Supply, Demand, and End Use.....	225
F. Electricity Conservation and Renewable Generation.....	226
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Electricity Conservation and Renewable Generation</i>	229
F. Transportation and Land Use.....	230
<i>Transportation and Land Use Strategies</i>	232
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Transportation and Land Use</i>	233
G. Thermal Energy.....	234
<i>Thermal Energy Strategies</i>	238
<i>Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Thermal Energy</i>	239
H. Utility-Scale Renewable Energy Facility Siting.....	241
<i>Background</i>	241
<i>Utilize Available Map Data</i>	241
<i>Solar Siting</i>	241
<i>Wind Siting</i>	242

Hydro Siting.....	242
Hierarchy of Suitability.....	242
Raw Generation Potential Locations.....	242
Unsuitable (Prohibited Locations).....	242
Constraints.....	242
Prime Areas.....	243
Preferred Areas.....	244
Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: Utility-Scale Renewable Energy Siting.....	245
I. Conclusion.....	245
12. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.....	249
A. State of the Economy in the TRO Region.....	249
How Towns View Themselves Economically.....	250
Existing Economic Conditions: The 2011 Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy and East Central Vermont Economic Development District Designation.....	251
B. Regional Challenges and Opportunity Areas for Economic Development.....	251
C. Workforce Composition.....	253
Size of the Workforce.....	253
Age of the Workforce.....	253
Educational Attainment and Workforce Training.....	254
D. Employment Sector Characteristics.....	255
Employment Rates.....	255
Income Levels.....	256
Poverty.....	257
Employment by Occupation and Industrial Sector.....	258
Agriculture and Silviculture.....	259
Tourism.....	259
Employment Centers and Commuting.....	261
Major Regional Employers.....	264
E. The Future of Economic Development.....	264
A Vision for the Future.....	264
Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: Economic Development.....	266
13. RELATIONSHIP OF TRORC REGIONAL PLAN TO NEIGHBORING PLANS.....	271
A. Relationship to Plans of Adjoining Vermont Commissions.....	271
Land Use.....	273
Watersheds.....	273
Economic Development.....	274
Transportation.....	274
Housing.....	275
B. Municipal Plans within the TRO Region.....	275
Goals and Recommendations: Relationship of TRO Regional Plan to Neighboring Plans.....	276
14. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION.....	277
A. Determination of Substantial Regional Impact.....	277
B. Cumulative Development Impacts – Findings.....	279
C. Implementation of Cumulative Development Impact Assessment.....	280
D. Implementation Mechanisms.....	280
Regional Planning.....	280
Municipal Planning.....	281
Private Sector Conservation and Development.....	282
State Agency Plans and Capital Programs.....	282

Coordination with Regional Entities.....	282
State Legislative Policy Processes.....	282
Public Participation and Coordination.....	282
E. Implementation of the Plan.....	283
Tracking Progress.....	284

15. DEFINITIONS.....	285
-----------------------------	------------

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Transit-Dependent Demographic Groups by Town.....	297
Appendix B: Regional Special Road Designations.....	297
Appendix C: Hartford U.S. 4 Corridor Management Plan and U.S. 4 West Corridor Management Plan.....	297
Appendix D: Transportation Corridors Overview.....	297
Appendix E: Project Prioritization.....	297
Appendix F: Homes in the Region Chapter Tables.....	297
Appendix G: Housing Needs in East Central Vermont.....	297
Appendix H: Vermont Affordable Housing Programs.....	297
Appendix I: Regional Forest Stewardship Report 2012.....	297
Appendix J: LEAP Outputs and Methodology.....	297
Appendix K: TRORC Energy Targets.....	297
Appendix L: Guide to Farming-Friendly Solar.....	297
Appendix M: Implementation Matrix.....	299

INDEX OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Wildlife Present in Forest Patches.....	49
Table 4-1: Regional Park and Rides.....	98
Table 4-2: Boardings and Alightings on the Amtrak “Vermont” Line by Fiscal Year.....	104
Table 7-1: National Historic Landmarks, 2018.....	153
Table 7-2: National Historic Register Districts, 2018.....	153
Table 7-3: Vermont State Register Historic Districts, 2018.....	154
Table 7-4: Predictive Factors for Locating Prehistoric Archeological Sites.....	157
Table 11-1: Power and Energy Unit Definitions and Energy Unit Conversions.....	221
Table 12-1: Per Capita Income.....	256
Table 12-2: 2012 Agriculture Data.....	260
Table 12-3: Occupations with the Highest Anticipated Growth.....	265

INDEX OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Two Rivers-Ottawaquechee (TRO) Region Population, 1950-2010.....	2
Figure 1-2: Population in the TRO Region.....	2
Figure 1-3: Population Gains and Losses, 2000-2010.....	3
Figure 1-4: TRO Region Population Projections.....	4
Figure 1-5: Two Rivers-Ottawaquechee (TRO) Region.....	7
Figure 3-1: Highest Priority and Priority Interior Forest Blocks in the TRO Region.....	52
Figure 3-2: Highest Priority and Priority Connectivity Blocks in the TRO Region.....	53
Figure 3-3: Chateaugay No Town (CNT) Conservation Area Map.....	54



West Fairlee | © John Knox

A. Background Issues

For almost two decades the TRO Region has been in a post-growth period following a time of rapid economic growth and profound changes to its landscape, spanning 30 years (1970-2000). During that time, planning focused on mitigating the impacts of growth. While managing the impacts of uncontrolled growth remains an important part of the TRORC Regional Plan, the key issues that must be considered when planning for the Region's future have changed.

As the Region looks to the future, it will need to adapt land use policy to the changing business environment by supporting existing businesses, encouraging entrepreneurial development, investing in our existing downtowns, improving infrastructure (particularly within our villages and downtowns), and strengthening those things that make Vermont unique (such as the arts and our forest-related, agricultural, and other value-added products). The impact of broadband and online sales is changing the way we access

entertainment, commute to work, buy goods, and even receive services. The generation of renewable energy and the coming electrification of our transportation and heat systems will engender new services and facilities.

With all of this change, we still hope to meet one of the fundamental guiding goals of state land use law, which is to further the traditional pattern of development so as to maintain the historic settlement pattern of compact village and urban centers separated by rural countryside. While this model is greatly responsible for sustaining Vermont's rural character, it has its challenges as this pattern was built when Vermont's countryside had an agrarian lifestyle where residents did not travel much and did not have cars and commute. For many, the luxury of having a home in a rural setting is why we choose to live here. But our choice to live in more rural areas means that we must use cars and trucks to get to work, access goods and services, and be part of our communities. When we plan for our future, we will need to consider where we

live and how it does, or does not, support our economy, reduce energy use, encourage a sense of community, and protect our natural resources.

As our Region's population ages, the appeal of owning a house in the country can change. For many, the cost and effort it takes to maintain a larger home or to travel to locations that offer goods and services can be a burden. We must recognize that, as we move forward, planning will need to provide a greater diversity of housing in areas that are affordable, walkable, and vibrant.

Our community cores and roads were built along, and sometimes in, streams, wetlands, and rivers because these are flat areas. This was practical in some ways, but ignored the fact that these are also areas prone to flooding, sometimes with disastrous results. As we have continued to build and create more impervious surfaces and the climate has shifted to one with more extreme rains, the specter of flooding now must be taken into account as we look at our compact centers and where they can safely grow.

Our forests are an important component of our Region. They represent a significant store of natural resources, are a driver for economic activity, and provide us with a backdrop that is distinctly rural. However, the landscape shift of open lands reverting to forest over the last century has ended, and we are now starting to lose forest again as a state, with 1,500 acres a year being converted to development or open land. We continue to fragment the forest we do have with subdivisions, reducing the natural functions of large, contiguous sections of forested land that are vital to many plant and animal species. In planning for the future, we need to consider the places where we have already impacted forest integrity beyond repair and the places where good forests remain.

These background issues have been considered as part of the development of this Plan. We continue to strive to move planning forward, to adapt to changes in the Region, and to support our communities while remaining consistent with Vermont's land use goals.

Goals and Policies: **Overall Land Use**

Goals

The land use goals within this section represent the foundation of the planning and development for the Region. These goals are intended to be applied throughout the Region.

1. Development patterns and their related transportation systems promote public health and reduce energy use and greenhouse gas emissions.
2. Energy-efficient and affordable housing choices are expanded.
3. Land use planning and regulation maintains our quality of life, environment, and economy.
4. Intensive development occurs only where adequate public services and facilities are currently available or planned.
5. The health of residents is improved by investing in clean water, soil, and air and safe and walkable neighborhoods.
6. The patterns of development in the TRO Region remain consistent and compatible with the goals of VSA Title 24, Chapter 117, §4302.

Goals and policies continued on next page

economic development with maintenance of rural character. TRORC believes it is appropriate public policy to recommend standards that, if reasonably followed, will minimize or mitigate any undue adverse effects of development on recognized scenic resources.

Patterns for Development: A Community Standard

The inherent beauty of the Region is tied to the visual relationship between buildings, the working landscape, and mountains and river valleys. Over the past thirty years, development patterns have emerged that propagate highway strip development. Certain areas immediately adjacent to major highways are examples of development sprawl. In some instances, these areas adversely affect the value of scenic resources for travelers. Such a land use pattern will serve, amongst other factors, to destroy the transition between town village centers and the countryside. It is not in the public interest to promote or endorse such a sprawling pattern of development in this Region.

The Region's landscape is also changing due to a gradual reforestation and loss of fields and meadows due to a reduction in agriculture. The resultant land use pattern is a product of economic forces that can permanently alter or pressure that landscape.

Determining scenic significance of resources and evaluating the probable impacts of land development or subdivision on the resource and the recommended measures that may be

desirable to mitigate visual impacts is a complex matter.

Prominent Scenic Landscapes

The following areas are likely to be affected by projects and should be reviewed. Such areas are generally accepted as areas of scenic significance:

1. Shorelands immediate to public lakes, rivers, or ponds;
2. Areas immediately adjacent to scenic corridors;
3. Prominent ridgelines, mountaintops, or excessively steep slopes that can be readily viewed from public corridors;
4. Exceptional agricultural and historic areas;
5. Areas within or immediately adjacent to natural areas (i.e., wetlands) designated by the State; and
6. Areas of high scenic quality that are publicly recognized as exceptionally unique or are noted examples of the dominant characteristics of an area in the Region. Examples of prominent scenic areas within the TRO Region include designated byways.
 - Connecticut River Byway (a National Scenic Byway): Route 5, Hartland to Newbury
 - Crossroads of Vermont Byway: Route 4, Bridgewater to Hartford
 - Scenic Route 100 Byway: Plymouth to Granville



Red Barn, Quechee: An illustration of “rural scenic character” | © Jericho Hills Photography

Policies: **Scenic Resources**

Policies

1. Where development is proposed in areas of scenic value (including prominent ridgelines or mountaintops, highly scenic areas with distant views, scenic agricultural land, scenic areas highly visible from a public corridor, built environments with scenic value, and industrial or commercial development in areas of scenic value), because they possess scenic views, contain land with historic or scenic significance, or are highly visible within a scenic context, design plans must:
 - a. Maintain the prominent natural feature of the developed area;
 - b. Work toward enhancing or retaining views;
 - c. Minimize adverse impact on views and areas of historic significance;
 - d. Minimize contrasts with areas of historic significance; and
 - e. Reflect traditional settlement patterns.
2. Project planners must minimize the adverse effects of strip development on existing visual resources by consideration of the following design principles:
 - a. Integrate landscaping into parking areas;
 - b. Encourage compact and densely developed projects that utilize land efficiently;
 - c. Place street trees as buffers between traffic arteries and internal drives;
 - d. Use unobtrusive signage;
 - e. Vary the pattern, number, size, and location of structures within the site;
 - f. Employ screening plans for visually objectionable features on the site; and
 - g. Minimize access roads or curb cuts onto public highways and use of common access drives.
3. Given their unique visual experience, roads exhibiting exceptionally high scenic and cultural values, and determined to be of local or state significance, must be constructed or improved with due concern for the special scenic qualities inherent to the roadway and roadway fringe. Substantial modifications or off-alignment options that unnecessarily destroy the special characteristics of such roadways are not consistent with this Plan.
4. It is appropriate that municipalities, TRORC, and other entities employ a process for evaluating impacts and recommend design characteristics to be considered by those involved in the review and preparation of development proposals.

E. Outdoor Lighting Design and Management

Issues and Opportunities

Increased development in the Region in recent decades has brought about a corresponding increase in the use of outdoor lighting. While increased lighting can be seen as an inevitable result of growth, there is a concern that excessive and unplanned lighting results in unwise and uneconomic energy use, contributes to “light pollution,” and affects our ability to view the night landscape, as well as creating an adverse impact on the character of our historic villages.

In May 1996, the Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission published *Outdoor Lighting Manual for Vermont Municipalities*. The suggestions and recommendations contained in the Manual form the basis of many of the design principles and issues reflected in this section of the Plan.

Choosing appropriate light sources and intensity makes good economic and environmental sense. By selecting a lighting design that enhances nighttime comfort, our town centers and other areas planned for concentrated mixed use will be better served. This results in a more efficient



White River Junction Solar Farm | © Advanced Energy

A. Introduction

The energy goals, policies, and recommendations in this chapter are intended to direct future development and to describe how energy development and generation shall occur in this Region in support of Vermont's 2016 Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP). It is also intended to ensure that the TRO Region maintains a safe, efficient energy system that encourages energy conservation and the generation of renewable resources in a manner that does not negatively impact the rural nature of our communities. The primary purpose of this chapter is to identify a path to implement the VT 2016 CEP at the regional level. As the CEP goals, federal and state policies, and energy technologies change, this chapter will need to be updated. Regional energy planning has benefited from technical support from the Vermont Department of Public Service, the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation (VEIC), the Energy Action Network, Green Mountain Power, Washington Electric Corporation, and other organizations.


B. Background

Vermont's energy planning began in the 1970s following the oil crisis at that time. The first comprehensive state energy plan was created in 1991 and required periodic updates. Vermont's energy policy, as codified in 30 VSA § 202a(1), establishes these state goals:

- To assure, to the greatest extent practicable, that Vermont can meet its energy service needs in a manner
 - that is adequate, reliable, secure, and sustainable;
 - that assures affordability and encourages the state's economic vitality, the efficient use of energy resources, and cost-effective demand side management; and
 - that is environmentally sound.

Energy adequacy, reliability, security, and affordability are essential for a vibrant, resilient, and robust economy.¹ Environmentally sound and sustainable energy use ensures that we

Figure 11-1: 2016 CEP Goals



are responsible stewards of Vermont and our children's future.

Expanding upon the statutory goal of 25% renewable by 2025 (10 VSA § 580(a)), the 2016 CEP established the following set of goals:

- Reduce total energy consumption per capita by 15% by 2025, and by more than one-third by 2050.
- Meet 25% of the remaining energy need from renewable sources by 2025, 40% by 2035, and 90% by 2050.
- Sectors that need to be renewable by 2025: 10% transportation, 30% buildings, and 67% electric power.

Vermont statutes related to energy—requiring greenhouse gas reductions, renewable energy generation and building efficiency—are outlined below.

Greenhouse gas reduction goals (10 VSA § 578)

- “It is the goal of the state to reduce greenhouse gas emissions . . . from the 1990 baseline by 25% by 2012; 50% by 2028; and, if practicable by using reasonable efforts, 75% by 2050.”


25 by 25 state goal (10 VSA § 580)

- To produce 25% of energy consumed within the state through renewable energy by 2025.

Building efficiency goals (10 VSA § 581)

- To substantially improve the energy fitness of at least 20% of the state's housing stock by 2017 (more than 60,000 housing units) and 25% of the state's housing stock by 2020 (approximately 80,000 housing units);
- To reduce annual fuel needs and fuel bills by an average of 25% in the housing units served;
- To reduce total fossil fuel consumption across all buildings by an additional 0.5% each year, leading to a total reduction of 6% annually by

Renewable End Use Sector by 2025



Source: VT DPS, 2016

2017 and 10% annually by 2025;

- To save Vermont families and businesses a total of \$1.5 billion on their fuel bills over the lifetimes of the improvements and measures installed between 2008 and 2017;
- To increase weatherization services to low-income Vermonters by expanding the number of units weatherized and/or the scope of services provided, as revenue becomes available in the home weatherization assistance trust fund.

Renewable energy goals (30 VSA § 8001-8014)

- To support the development of in-state renewable energy resources;
- To include renewable energy plants in the state's energy portfolio.

C. Energy Defined

Energy, as used in the 2016 CEP and this Plan, is not the same as electricity. Energy includes all forms of energy used by people for transportation, thermal (heating), and electricity.

Energy can be expressed in British Thermal Units (BTUs). Charts in this Plan will be shown in BTUs, including thousand million BTUs (TMBTUs). A BTU is a measure of the energy content in fuel and is a helpful unit of energy when comparing different energy sources.

D. Key Energy Issues

Environmental Protection

The consequences of our current pattern of energy use are increasingly alarming and urgent. National and international experts agree that if humanity does not immediately and dramatically reduce the use of fossil fuels, the negative

Table 11-1: Power and Energy Unit Definitions and Energy Unit Conversions

Power and Energy Unit Definition	
BTU	Unit measure of the heat content of fuels
kW	Unit measure for power equivalent to one thousand watts
kWh	Unit measure of power use as a function of time. One kWh is using one hour of electricity at a rate of 1,000 watts.
MW	Unit measure of power equivalent to 1,000 kW
MWh	Unit measure of power use as a function of time. One MWh is using one hour of electricity at a rate of 1,000 kilowatts.
Energy Unit Conversions	
1 kWh	3,412 BTUs
1 MW	1,000 kW
1 MWh	1,000 kWhs
1 gallon heating oil	138,500 BTUs
1 gallon gasoline	120,429 BTUs
1 pound wood pellets	8,400 BTUs
1 gallon propane	91,333 BTUs

consequences of climate change will alter human civilization.

“Climate change is the defining issue of our time – and we are at a defining moment. We face a direct existential threat. Climate change is moving faster than we are. If we do not change course by 2020, we risk missing the point where we can avoid runaway climate change with disastrous consequences for people and all the natural systems that sustain us.”


-UN Secretary-General António Guterres, September 10, 2018

An Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) special report on the impacts of global warming was released October 8, 2018.² The 91 authors and review editors from 40 countries found that if greenhouse gas emissions continue at the current rate, the atmosphere will warm up by as much as 2.7 degrees Fahrenheit (1.5 degrees Celsius) above preindustrial levels by 2040, resulting in inundated coastlines, intensifying droughts, flooding and wildfires, increasing loss of ecosystems, greater poverty, and an increase in climate refugees. The report stated that to prevent 2.7 degrees F of warming, **greenhouse pollution must be reduced by 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, and eliminated completely (100 percent) by 2050.** The report also estimated the financial impact of the effects of climate change. The estimated \$54 trillion in damage from 2.7 degrees of warming would grow to \$69 trillion if the world continues to warm by greater than 3.6 degrees. The report concludes that the world is already more than halfway to the 2.7-degree mark and that humanity has only an estimated twelve years to keep temperatures contained to a maximum of 2.7 degrees before climate catastrophe occurs. Limiting global warming to 1.5 degrees C would require “rapid and far-reaching” transitions in land, energy, industry, buildings, and transportation.

Similarly, the Fourth National Climate Assessment issued by 13 U.S. federal agencies on November 23, 2018, presents the stark warnings of the consequences of climate change for the United States, including rising temperatures, extreme heat, drought, wildfires, heavy downpours, challenges to livestock health, declines in crop yields, and changes in extreme weather events that threaten rural livelihoods, food security, and price stability.³

According to the Vermont Department of Health’s Vermont Climate and Health Profile Report (September 2016), without a sharp reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, Vermont’s climate will change substantially. By the end of the century, these changes may include:

Figure 11-2: Higher vs. Lower Emissions Scenarios



Source: Union of Concerned Scientists

- An increase in average annual temperatures of between 4 degrees F and 7 degrees F
- Increased dangerously hot days from 5 to more than 30 per year
- Lengthened tick and mosquito activity by about 40 days
- More frequent heavy rainfall events

Energy Security

The TRO Region's dependence on fossil fuels is significant. The primary use of these fuels is for space heating and transportation. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, about 3/5 of Vermont households use fuel oil, kerosene, or propane for heating.⁴ In the TRO Region, roughly 13,000 households rely on oil for heating, which means a substantial portion of the Region is subject to oil price and availability fluctuations. Of the total \$885 million spent on residential energy in the State of Vermont, just over 50 percent (\$445.8 million) was spent on fuel oil, kerosene, or light propane gas.

Where the Region's energy is generated is also a concern. Vermont currently obtains much of its electricity from hydroelectric facilities located out of state, primarily in Quebec. While these sources of electricity currently provide the Region with low-cost, renewable generation, the prospective construction of high-capacity transmission lines from Quebec to southern New England may create increased competition for electricity between Vermont and other New England states that are seeking electricity from renewable sources. Reducing or maintaining current levels of the Region's reliance on imported energy will make the State and Region more energy secure, especially in a future where electricity demand is anticipated to increase as the use of fossil fuels decreases.

The demand for electricity across residential, commercial, and industrial sectors grew rapidly during the second half of the twentieth century but has leveled off in recent years. A variety of aggressive energy conservation programs

implemented through the State's energy efficiency utility, Efficiency Vermont, contributed to slowing the growth of electricity demand. The need for electricity conservation and efficiency improvements will continue and become more important with increases in electricity demand due to the switching away from fossil fuel energy use for transportation and space-heating needs. Electricity provides the most viable path toward meeting the State's energy goals in several key areas. Electrification of passenger vehicles will dramatically reduce energy use in the transportation sector through use of more efficient vehicles. Similarly, the easiest transformation in space heating of existing residential buildings is to weatherize the structure and install highly efficient electric cold climate heat pumps and/or modern wood heat systems.

Vermont and the TRO Region can be more energy self-reliant. Energy conservation and efficiency, coupled with in-state renewable energy generation, will decrease energy security concerns.

Economic Needs and Opportunities


Vermont spends nearly \$2.4 billion⁵ and the TRO Region approximately \$160 million annually on energy, with the vast majority of those dollars



Air sealing a window frame with caulk
Photo Credit: Capstone Community Action

exported out of state as we buy gas and oil. This Plan, like Vermont's 2016 CEP, states that overall energy consumption will need to decline by about one-third by 2050 to meet our energy goals. That reduction can be accomplished through changes in land use patterns and the transportation system (by reducing the need for driving and by introducing more energy-efficient vehicle technologies); through extensive building upgrades and weatherization; and with energy conservation by means of more efficient appliances and devices, avoiding peak use, and by electricity storage technologies.


Figure 11-4: Vermont Clean Energy Jobs



These improvements will also keep more of the money we spend on energy in the Region, so that millions of dollars will be retained to circulate in local economies, supporting employment and social services, and improving the quality of life of our communities. The changes needed to reduce our energy demand and to produce local renewable energy offer a wide array of business and employment opportunities.

Weatherization of buildings, installation and servicing of new heating systems, procurement and delivery of biofuels such as wood pellets and cord wood, and constructing and servicing local renewable energy generation facilities offer new jobs and business development opportunities while providing opportunities for existing fossil fuel-based businesses to diversify using their existing capacity and customer networks. Economic growth in the renewable energy sector has been robust over the past five years. Vermont has seen a roughly 20 percent growth in clean energy employment sectors overall.⁶ In 2016, 6 percent of Vermont's total jobs were in the clean energy sector. Clean energy jobs include those in renewable energy, energy storage, energy efficiency, and advanced transportation and heating.

Figure 11-3: Dollars Spent on Fossil Fuels




E. Regional Energy Supply, Demand, and End Use

Energy use in Vermont is dominated by the transportation (38.5%) and residential (28.0%) sectors. To meet the 2016 CEP goals, based on the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation modeling described in Appendix J of this Plan, the **TRO Region will have to reduce energy consumption nearly 50 percent — from 11,000 TMBTUs to 5,550 TMBTUs by 2050.** As seen in the Figure 11-7, in this model, overall energy use will decrease due to efficiencies; fossil fuel (non-renewable) energy use will dramatically decrease; and renewable energy will meet 90 percent of total energy demand.

The State's goal of getting 90 percent renewable energy from renewable sources by 2050 represents a substantial shift from our current energy portfolio. Sixty percent of Vermont's electricity currently comes from renewable sources, a majority of which is hydropower generated by Hydro Quebec. A growing percentage of energy is now coming from wind and solar sources, but most energy used in the Region today is still coming from fossil fuels (see Figure 11-6) and is used for transportation and heat. The Region does get some thermal energy from wood as well. To reach the State's renewable energy generation targets, more renewable generation will need to be developed and we believe most of this will be from solar.


The growth of the renewable energy generation industry in Vermont over the last six years has been substantial. As of December 2018, Vermont generates roughly 361 MW of solar power—37 MW from the TRO Region. The proliferation of commercial wind energy generation in Vermont has been decidedly slower, primarily due to the costs of development and the complicated permitting requirements. Vermont generates roughly 151 MW of wind power and 634 MW of hydro power.⁷ Hydro development has dropped off significantly since the early 1990s, due to a number of factors including the loss of economic incentives and stricter permitting requirements.⁸

Figure 11-5: Vermont Energy Consumption




Source: U.S. Energy Information Agency, 2016

Figure 11-6: Regional Energy Consumption by Fuel



Source: VEIC

Figure 11-7: TRORC Energy Goals Time Table



Source: Energy Action Network, 2019

F. Electricity Conservation and Renewable Generation

The data modeling used to create the scenario that this Plan uses to achieve the goals of the 2016 CEP projects a 50 percent decrease in overall energy use in the TRO Region. The significant reduction in the use of fossil fuels ultimately requires an increase in our dependence on electricity. One possible path for the Region to achieve 90 percent renewable energy use by 2050 includes increasing electricity demand by roughly 10 percent (from 2015 levels) to offset decreases in fossil fuel use. The increase in electricity consumption will be due to the utilization of new electric technologies, such as cold climate heat pumps and electric vehicles. This fundamental change in the type of energy we use will require substantial changes at the utility scale.

Energy demand management is the lowest-cost option to help meet expected demand. Installation of energy efficient devices or equipment and improving building shells to reduce the need for building heat is essential to reducing our overall energy use. Proper load management can reduce demand during peak hours. Demand response techniques include time of use rates, smart rates, and energy use feedback. For example, water heaters can be timed to use power in the middle of the day when electric loads are less. Utilities can install advanced meter

infrastructure (AMI), which increases system reliability and load management capabilities with two-way communications technology. AMI includes smart meters to enable utilities and customers to track and manage the flow of energy more efficiently, curb peak demand, lower energy bills, and integrate renewable energy sources and storage to the grid. AMI data and smart meter technology allow utilities to implement smart rates, which can vary the price of electricity to accurately reflect the cost of electricity: lower rates for low demand and higher rates during peak demand. This incentivizes lower electric use during peak times. But even with fully implemented demand-side management, fuel-switching to electricity will require new sources of renewable energy. Residential energy demand is seen in Figure 11-8.

New technology, demand-side management, gains in efficiencies in appliances, upgraded building codes, and renewable generation alone will not be sufficient to achieve the State's energy goals. People will have to alter their behavior to conserve energy and use less. Much of what we do depends, in one form or another, on energy. Where we live and work; how we get from place to place; how we design, build, and heat our houses; and how we use our land are all patterns of behaviors, controlled by social norms. Energy savings can be achieved by small behavior changes such as turning down thermostats,

air drying clothes, and turning off electronic devices when not in use. Programs such as Efficiency Vermont (EVT), Go VT, and Button Up! are designed to educate people on ways they can change their behavior to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. If provided with good materials, local energy committees can successfully implement programs like these at the local level. Additionally, properly designed smart rates can either encourage or discourage usage at certain times of the day. Small changes in routine, such as shifting power-hungry activities to “off-peak” hours in the morning or evening, can help ease the load on the Region’s power grid. Electrical storage can closely align customer loads with periods of lower electricity demand, store solar electricity to use during peaks or provide some backup during power outages. TRORC can only indirectly implement many of the strategies related to electricity conservation. These strategies require action from utilities; state, federal, and municipal governments; and individual citizens.


Meeting the goals of the 2016 CEP will mean that in the TRO Region, we will go from using 1.8 TMBTU of electricity to 2.1 TMBTU. Because 90 percent of our energy must be from renewable

energy, new renewable energy generation facilities will need to be built throughout our Region. TRORC and its municipalities will need to clearly identify areas where and what kind of renewable energy generation facilities are appropriate.

The Vermont electric grid was developed to function as an importer of electricity. As with the rest of the United States, Vermont has depended on a small number of centralized power plants, the vast majority of which are located outside of the State. This classic model of energy distribution has a number of significant disadvantages due to inefficiencies and power loss over lengthy transmission lines.

Our existing electric grid is not yet fully capable of allowing the placement of small renewable energy generation facilities in every community in our Region. Currently in the GMP region, for example, parts of Hartford and Hartland have poor circuit ratings, while the Washington Electric territory has no remaining capacity. In addition, energy supply (generation) and loads (end uses) must be instantaneously kept in balance, even as customers change their end uses or renewable energy facilities respond to changes in generation. As the Region transitions

Figure 11-8: TRORC Residential Demand by Fuel



Source: Vermont Energy Investment Corporation, 2015

Figure 11-9: What will it take to reach 90% by 2050?





Figure 11-10: GMP Circuit Rating for Distributed Generation



to 90 percent renewable energy (with much of it produced in state), power companies and VELCO will need to increase the pace of system-wide upgrades. This will include line upgrades and, once the technology becomes readily available, the provision of storage technologies such as Tesla's new Powerwall battery system. Electrical storage can closely align customer loads with periods of lower electric demand, store solar electricity to use during peaks, or provide some backup during power outages.

New England states are required to meet state-mandated renewable energy requirements. When renewable energy generation facilities are built, the development gains renewable energy credits (RECs), which utilities throughout New England can purchase to claim the renewable attributes of generation that they do not own. In Vermont, many developers utilize the sale of RECs to help fund the construction of a project. The challenge is that RECs are often sold to utilities outside of Vermont. The energy generated by a renewable energy generation facility that has sold its RECs out of state does not count toward the state's energy goals. But it does count toward local and

regional targets. Changes in legislation have made it possible to retire RECs in state, thus allowing us to further increase our renewable energy portfolio. Act 56, which was passed in 2015, has increased the number of RECs that

need to be retired in state. Efforts to increase that cap or encourage their retirement in state should continue in order to ensure that the goals of the CEP are reached.

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Electricity Conservation and Renewable Generation**

Goals

1. Twenty-five percent of overall energy needs comes from renewables by 2025, 40 percent by 2035, and 90 percent by 2050. Sixty-seven percent of energy uses will be powered by renewable electricity by 2025.
2. The amount of renewable energy generated in the TRO Region increases from 2015 levels by 163 MW by 2050.

Policies

1. TRORC supports using demand-side management to manage the expected electric energy demand increase by 2050 in the TRO Region.
2. TRORC supports Efficiency Vermont and other incentive programs to reduce electric energy use and encourage the use of devices and equipment that perform work using less energy input than otherwise necessary, such as Energy Star or CEE2, CEE, or advanced appliances.
3. TRORC encourages state policy to adopt energy storage mandates and incentive programs.

Recommendations

1. TRORC will provide education and outreach to municipalities on energy generation.
2. TRORC will advocate for continued incentives that lead to the retirement of renewable energy credits in state.
3. TRORC will help interested towns meet the standards set forth in Act 174 for enhanced energy planning so that local preferences receive “substantial deference” in the Public Utility Commission’s Section 248 proceedings. “Substantial deference” is a term used in statute but has not been further defined in proceedings or litigation.
4. TRORC should promote the use of programs such as eHome and Zero Energy Now! in conjunction with Green Mountain Power and the Building Performance Professionals Association of Vermont (BPPA-VT) through outreach and education.
5. The Department of Public Service (DPS) should work with BPPA-VT to encourage HVAC and weatherization providers to join the organization to provide holistic energy advice to the Region.
6. DPS and TRORC should support and provide outreach for Energy Action Network’s Community Energy Dashboard and Efficiency Vermont’s customer engagement web portal and home energy reports.
7. TRORC and DPS should support efforts to develop programs that encourage energy conservation through behavioral change by advocating for a roll-out of smart rates in the Region.
8. TRORC should fully integrate energy planning into the technical assistance it provides its member towns.

Goals, policies, and recommendations continued on next page

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Electricity Conservation and Renewable Generation**

Recommendations (continued)

9. TRORC will work with partners to promote a wide variety of renewable energy generation types, including adding photovoltaic solar installations and wind turbines, optimizing existing hydroelectric dams, promoting sustainable use of biomass and bio-digesters, and encouraging passive solar building designs.
10. TRORC will develop easy to understand materials about the State's energy goals and how they interact with local and regional planning.
11. TRORC will maintain an enhanced energy compliant Regional Plan in order to play a stronger regional role in the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) permitting process.
12. TRORC will work with member towns on exploring possible shared energy program services aimed at improving efficiency and adoption of electrification and conservation measures, including hiring a multi-town energy coordinator.

F. Transportation and Land Use

This section addresses the intersection of transportation, energy, and land use. The Land Use and Transportation chapters in this Regional Plan complement this section and have additional relevant policies and actions.

Vermont uses more energy for transportation than for any other sector: 38.5 percent of the total energy consumed in Vermont. To reach the 2016 CEP goals, Vermonters will need to switch from petroleum powered vehicles to electric vehicles and vehicles powered with biofuels. It is also important to recognize that land use choices are inextricably linked to our transportation system. Vermonters travel far from their homes to jobs, services, and shopping. The 2016 CEP seeks to reduce transportation energy use by 20 percent from 2015 levels by 2025.

Progress toward the goal of changing 90 percent of Vermont's vehicles to electric vehicles may be slow at first since cars and trucks have long operating lives. An electric vehicle using the same amount of BTU energy as a gas car travels four times farther. To meet the 2016 goals for transportation, reducing the amount of daily driving would also be necessary.

Vermont's land use and transportation patterns are key reasons why transportation uses the

largest portion of our energy. Where we work, go to school, shop, utilize services, and recreate is often not close to where we live.

Much of Vermont's appeal to homeowners is the ability to own a house in the country. While many communities have small villages or downtowns, residential development in our towns is mostly located outside of these areas on rural roads. The choice to live in a rural setting leads to longer commutes for work, shopping, and services.

The rural nature of our Region also means that there are limited locations for key centers of employment. Out of the 30 towns in the TRO Region, only seven could be considered centers of employment. These are Bethel, Bradford, Hartford, Norwich, Randolph, Royalton, and Woodstock. Further, a significant number of those who live in Orange and Windsor Counties work outside of the Region in the Hanover/Lebanon or Montpelier areas.

This dispersed pattern of development is currently furthered by the way we regulate development locally. Many communities allow residential development in much of their towns, and often at village-scale densities (one to two acre) in rural areas. In effect, this does not direct most growth to core areas, but spreads it throughout town. If this pattern of development

In areas where local transit services are available, other challenges exist. Commuter bus routes that stop at regular intervals along their routes extend the length of the trip, making it quicker for someone with a car to drive themselves instead. The impact of regular stops can also make it

sometimes planned without considering public transit. If not considered during the planning stage, it is difficult to integrate public transit services into completed site plans. In addition, the location of residential subdivisions away from transit lines limits public access. Diverting an existing route to a new location is expensive and can have negative impacts on existing services.

Act 250 considers public transit as part of Criterion 5 (Transportation), but at the local level, integration of public transit services into the development review process is less common. Public transit agencies are generally involved in the beginning of the planning or conceptual design process. This means that design standards for bus pull-offs, sufficient stopping distances and sight lines, bus shelter amenities, bike racks, and sidewalks are not included as part of the permitting process.

Regular fixed route services, such as those in Hartford and Norwich, could increase ridership by adding additional buses and increasing the frequency of service. But to do so requires additional buses and drivers, both of which require significant funding. Funding also limits the hours of operation. Fixed route services in our Region are currently limited to early morning through evening, which means potential riders who work shifts outside of the traditional 9-5 model cannot take advantage of most public transit.

Finally, there are perceptions that public transit is a service geared toward low-income citizens. While it is true that these demographic groups benefit from public transit, public transportation services are available and useful to everyone.

A significant portion of commuters drive alone to get to work. This could be lessened with more carpooling, but Vermont's commuter lots are currently insufficient. While the State has increased the number of park and ride spaces by 67 percent since 2012, and the Region has added seven new park and ride lots with over 200 more spaces in the last seven years, existing park and

rides are struggling to meet demand due to space limitations. A number of existing areas could likely serve twice the population of commuters if they had adequate area for expansion. Many existing park and ride areas are not designed or sized to accommodate public transit services (allowing for bus circulation and efficient transfer of passengers). Additionally, there are very limited locations where new commuter lots could be built. New lots are needed at Exits #1 and #3 on I-89 and more spaces are needed at Exit #2 on I-89. In addition, as of 2017, only five state park and rides and three municipal lots provide EV charging infrastructure.⁹

The lack of EV charging station infrastructure is an impediment to reaching the State's ambitious EV goals. The range of an EV is currently limited to an average of 120 miles on a full charge, although a few models can travel as far as 200 to 300 miles. Given the distance between our communities and centers of employment, it is essential that the ability to recharge EVs is readily available to the EV owner. There are currently only six locations with public EV charging stations in the TRO Region. To support the State's EV goals, EV charging stations will need to become ubiquitous.

Transportation and Land Use Strategies

In order to achieve the CEP's goals, transportation energy use must be lessened by embracing smart growth that directs development into existing centers, providing cost savings for households and municipalities while creating vibrant communities and taking pressure off our natural resources.

Development that is more effectively directed within and adjacent to historic downtowns, villages, and neighborhoods will reduce the need for motorized transportation and make better use of transit. In 2006, via Act 183, Vermont codified its own detailed guiding principles for local and regional land use decisions based upon smart growth principles. Although communities are not required to plan, those that do are encouraged

to uphold planning and development goals that reinforce smart growth principles, such as Complete Streets. Complete Streets focus on multi-modal transportation, public transit, and pedestrian travel.

Encouraging economic development initiatives

that enable individuals to work in their home communities, such as “maker” or “coworking” spaces and expanded high-speed Internet will reduce VMT. Likewise, communities can support infill development and concentrated commercial and institutional activities in our villages and downtowns.

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Transportation and Land Use**

Goals

1. Statewide vehicles miles traveled (VMT) per capita does not exceed 2011 levels (11,402 VMT per capita).
2. The number of single-occupant vehicle trips is reduced by 20 percent by 2030 through carpooling and public transit.
3. The percentage of electric vehicles is increased to 5 percent by 2025, 38 percent by 2035, and 82 percent by 2050 in the Region.
4. Land use policy and regulation are designed to encourage daily use of EVs.
5. The use of sustainable biofuels increases.
6. The number of park and ride spaces triples in size. (In 2019, TRORC has a total of 18 state and municipal park and rides, totaling 558 spaces).
7. Public transit ridership is increased by 110 percent, to 1.9 million trips annually. (At the time of this writing, Stagecoach and Advance Transit together count for 950,000 trips annually.)
8. The number of Vermont based passenger rail trips is quadrupled annually.

Policies

1. TRORC supports efforts to provide the Region with opportunities to work closer to home and to require public transit opportunities for large scale development, likely to result in conservation of energy.
2. TRORC supports continued expansion of high-speed Internet to allow for telecommuting.
3. Developments that have a Substantial Regional Impact (as defined in the Plan) under Act 250 must demonstrate that they have consulted with transit providers about reasonable, accommodating transit.
4. All residential and large commercial land developments subject to Act 250 should evaluate the appropriateness of installing or reserving space for a transit stop.
5. TRORC will support new bike and pedestrian projects in the Region.
6. TRORC supports programs and planning initiatives that will reduce single-occupant trips throughout the Region.
7. TRORC supports community car sharing by promoting programs such as Go Vermont and CarShare Vermont.
8. Developments subject to Act 250 should demonstrate that they have taken or will take reasonable steps to incorporate parking with EV charging stations in order to meet regional goals.
9. TRORC encourages state policy changes to offer state buyer incentives for EVs.
10. TRORC supports investments and development of sustainable biofuels.
11. TRORC supports the Vermont Bioenergy Initiative in cooperation with the VT Sustainable Job Fund’s Bioenergy Initiative to address on-farm biofuel production under Act 250.

Goals, policies, and recommendations continued on next page

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Transportation and Land Use**

Recommendations


1. TRORC will encourage compact development, particularly housing, within town villages and downtowns and encourage a reduction of planned density in more rural areas.
2. TRORC will encourage communities to develop bylaws that allow for the development of co-working spaces as a way to reduce VMT.
3. Employers should invest in workplace incentives for carpooling, cycling, public transportation use, and telecommuting.
4. TRORC will work with groups such as the Vermont Bicycle and Pedestrian Coalition (VBPC), Local Motion, Green Mountain Bicycle Club, and towns to encourage safe bicycling as a transportation alternative in the Region.
5. TRORC will provide technical assistance to communities interested in implementing Complete Streets to increase density and mixed uses in compact settlements and to foster transit-oriented development along major roads in rural areas.
6. TRORC will continue to identify locations for additional park and rides (state and municipal) and work to expand existing park and ride infrastructure.
7. TRORC will push for increased capacity and continue to support local transit providers through technical assistance.
8. TRORC will work with VTrans and local transit providers to ensure a seamless regional transit system and to explore possibilities for additional buses or routes.
9. TRORC will work with VTrans to investigate the feasibility of commuter rail along the I-91 corridor.
10. TRORC will work with communities to incorporate the principles of smart growth into their municipal plans and bylaws and to support creative economic development concepts that allow residents to live and work in their communities.
11. TRORC will promote and share information provided by Drive Electric Vermont, including their video highlighting the costs and benefits of EVs.
12. TRORC should identify locations for alternative fuel stations (electric, biodiesel, etc.) in the Region and modify the Regional Plan to include them as allowed uses in appropriate locations.
13. TRORC should support efforts to switch municipal medium and heavy duty vehicles to biodiesel blends.

G. Thermal Energy

According to the 2016 Comprehensive Energy Plan, 28 percent of energy demand in Vermont is associated with heating fuels. The reliance on heating from non-renewable sources (fuel oil, natural gas, and propane) creates a challenge for Vermonters that extends beyond energy issues. Low-income residents may find it challenging to stay comfortable in their own homes due to fuel costs. In 2010, Vermont ranked 44th out of 50 states for energy affordability.¹⁰ In 2010, low-income Vermonters spent an average of \$1,870 more per family, per year, on energy bills than is considered affordable.¹¹

The 2013 Thermal Efficiency Task Force's Report to the General Assembly notes, "Investing in thermal efficiency improvements – primarily air sealing, insulation, and heating system replacements – can dramatically reduce heating energy use in a building. At current fuel prices, thermal efficiency investments in a home can bring savings of approximately \$1,000 per year over the lifetime of the investment. The value of these savings increases as fuel prices rise."¹² Converting to more efficient heating and improving thermal efficiency will have the effect of reducing financial impacts on communities and moving the Region toward 90 percent renewable energy by 2050.

Figure 11-13: Average Heating Fuel Pricing (1998-2018)



The CEP promotes efficiency and conservation as top priorities in all energy sectors. Retrofit investments in thermal energy efficiency by Efficiency Vermont and Vermont Gas have reduced energy demand in about 6,700 homes, and investments in thermal efficiency for low-income households eligible for weatherization assistance have reached more than 10,700 homes since 2008, equivalent to roughly \$10 million in annual savings.¹³ However, the current pace of weatherization improvements will need to increase exponentially to meet the State's goals.

In addition to thermal efficiency improvements, the 2016 CEP is seeking a statewide change in how we heat our buildings. This approach will focus primarily on the installation of cold climate heat pumps, which consume far less energy

than electric resistance, propane, or oil heating systems. In order to contribute to the State's heat pump installation target (100,677 installed statewide by 2025), a total of over 9,000 will need to be installed in the TRO Region by 2025.¹⁴ Because cold climate heat pumps are inadequate during extreme sub-zero days (-20 degrees F), homes may require a secondary heat source – preferably one that utilizes some form of woody biomass (wood, wood chip, wood pellet). Pellet stoves are fueled with pellets made primarily of sawdust and wood chips and can effectively heat a home 2,000 square feet and under.¹⁵ Replacement of older wood stoves with advanced wood or pellet stoves may cost less than installing heat pumps and is a shorter-term solution that uses available low-grade wood resources.

Also worth considering are geothermal or “ground source” heat pumps. These systems are substantially more expensive than cold climate heat pumps but can result in significant energy savings. They are better suited to new development than retrofitting into existing buildings due to the technology’s requirements. While cold climate and geothermal heat pumps will work for residences, they cannot adequately meet the demands of some large industrial and commercial users. These larger users could benefit from an automated wood heat system. Where they are located next to a concentration of other buildings, the CEP has recognized the need to identify locations for district heating and combined heat and power, which is a system for distributing heat in a centralized location.

New buildings will need to be built to a significantly higher standard than is provided for by the State’s current Residential and Commercial Building Energy Code. Net-zero constructed buildings are highly efficient and save 30 to 45 percent on overall energy costs in comparison with standard buildings.¹⁶ Efficiency Vermont’s 2015 Net Zero Energy Feasibility Study determined that new construction of residential and office net-zero energy buildings is a cost-effective investment. These buildings cost less to own and operate than code buildings from the first year into the long term.¹⁷

There are barriers to reaching the CEP’s thermal efficiency goals. The purpose of this plan element is to begin to identify these barriers and to put forth policy and action steps that will effectively remove these barriers when properly implemented.


There are many challenges to improving thermal energy efficiency in Vermont. Residential houses constitute the majority of Vermont’s built environment. Residential energy represents 30 percent of Vermont’s total energy consumption (second only to transportation), with heating being the largest energy consumer.¹⁸ Vermont’s climate demands heating.

In Orange and Windsor Counties, 47 percent of homes were built before 1970.¹⁹ These older homes were constructed before high energy costs made energy conservation a priority in the built environment. As a result, a substantial number of homes utilize wasteful amounts of energy and are expensive to maintain. According to the Massachusetts Zero Net Energy Buildings Task Force: “With buildings contributing close to 40 percent of greenhouse gas emissions and consuming 40 percent of energy in the United States, energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies must become central to the way we design and build.”²⁰

In the TRO Region, it is estimated that only 4.58 percent of houses built before 2000 have been weatherized. To achieve the State’s goal of 25 percent of homes being weatherized, approximately 6,000 of the Region’s housing units will need to be weatherized by 2025. By 2017, only 7.6 percent, or 25,409, of Vermont’s homes had been weatherized. To achieve the statewide goal of 25 percent of homes being weatherized by 2025, 80,000 will need to be improved.

Another challenge to thermal efficiency is large home sizes and the costs of improvements. New homes have

Figure 11-14: Vermont Homes Weatherized




grown in size over the past 40 years. In 1973, the average home in the Northeast was roughly 1,700 square feet. In 2014, the size of homes in the Northeast had increased by 60 percent, to 2,600 square feet.²¹ While homes are generally more efficient than in the past, more square footage requires more heating.

The upfront cost of energy efficiency improvements and building-scale renewable energy generation remains a challenge. Despite the demonstrated long-term savings benefits, the capital needed to significantly reduce energy consumption and add renewables is a significant barrier to implementation. When surveyed as part of the East Central Vermont Sustainability Project, 39.5 percent of those who responded indicated that they could not afford to make their home more energy efficient. Another 33.8 percent were unable to make energy efficiency improvements because they rent instead of own. Cost is an issue for all homeowners, but especially for low- and moderate-income homeowners.

At the commercial and public sector levels, capital and operating budgets are often set independently of each other, resulting in lack of awareness of financial incentives of energy improvements.

Vermont's system of energy code and energy efficiency standards enforcement is somewhat problematic. As of January 2019, both the Residential Building Energy Standards (RBES) and the Commercial Building Energy Standards (CBES) in Vermont are in the process of being updated. The DPS has outlined a pathway to increased energy code compliance in its Energy Code Compliance Plan of 2012. The State needs to follow this plan. Concurrently, the State needs to continue to improve and make more effective both commercial and residential building codes. Standards for achieving net-zero design must be incorporated. Some regional builders such as Prudent Living's Southscape community (<http://southscapewilder.com/>) and VERMOD (<http://vermodhomes.com/>) are currently constructing

Figure 11-15: VT Heat Energy Sources



net-zero possible homes. TRORC can assist communities with continued outreach regarding code compliance. We can also support the DPS as they move forward on adoption of more effective energy efficiency codes.

Energy efficiency standards are one challenge,

while Vermont's Residential Building Energy Standards are another challenge for developers. Currently there are no state permits or code officials for energy efficiency. Energy efficiency is self-certified by the building contractor, with a requirement that a completed certificate be submitted to the municipality. However, some communities may be unaware of this requirement and how to track the submission of certificates. Towns with local code officials may enforce energy efficiency codes and towns with certificate of occupancy (COO) requirements must receive an energy code certificate before issuing the COO. Nearly two-thirds of TRORC's communities (19) have zoning bylaws, but just under half (9) of them require a COO.

To move toward net-zero energy use in the built environment, energy efficiency codes must be substantially improved and enforced, while contractors and homeowners must be educated about the codes' existence and purpose.

Thermal Energy Strategies

With upfront capital cost being a significant barrier to the implementation of thermal efficiency and renewable energy improvements, it is essential that programs that provide funding and financing grow. In particular, programs providing assistance to middle- and low-income households must increase in funding. Current financing programs include:

1. Vermont's Heat Saver Loan: <http://heatsaverloan.vermont.gov/>
2. Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE): Available for towns that have adopted a PACE district. Repayment of PACE financing is tied to the property, not to the owner.
3. Neighborworks of Western Vermont Energy Loan: <https://www.nwwvt.org/energy-loan/>
4. Vermont State Employees Credit Union VGreen Energy Savings Solutions loans: <https://www.vsecu.com/energy-savings/about/about-vgreen/what-is-vgreen>

5. Vermont Economic Development Authority offers energy loans to commercial enterprises (<http://www.veda.org/financing-options/vermont-commercial-financing/commercial-energy-loan-program/>) and small businesses (<https://www.veda.org/financing-options/vermont-commercial-financing/small-business-energy-loan-program/>)
6. United States Department of Agriculture Section 504 Home Repair Program: <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/single-family-housing-repair-loans-grants>
7. Efficiency Vermont rebates for central wood pellet furnaces and boilers – \$6,000 cash back: <https://www.efficiencyvermont.com/rebates/list/central-wood-pellet-furnaces-boilers-residential>

These financing programs offer key features such as great interest rates, flexible terms, and ease of application. The loans can also be combined with Efficiency Vermont incentives.

While fuel assistance programs are essential, increased funding to Vermont's Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) is needed. Projects such as the Vermont Fuel Efficiency Partnership, which provides "deep-energy" retrofits in multi-family buildings whose tenants are income-eligible for the WAP, must be encouraged and supported. Fuel distributors must be encouraged to become energy service providers, expanding what they offer so that more homes can be weatherized and energy efficiency increased.

TRORC can support these programs and initiatives by communicating directly with energy providers, state agencies, and the legislature. We can provide input on state level initiatives and we can, if the opportunity presents itself, pursue federal funding to support these programs within our Region.

TRORC can provide education and outreach to our communities and support other statewide programs for weatherization and thermal

efficiency. If adequate funding was available, TRORC could develop a staff position that would focus specifically on energy assistance, education, and outreach. Without duplicating existing services, such as those that Efficiency Vermont, Vital Communities, Energy Action Network, and GMP offer, a TRORC Energy Planner could act as a clearinghouse of energy information for our communities. Through education and outreach at the municipal level, TRORC could ensure that our residents were aware of the opportunities available to them. We could work closely with active municipal energy committees and energy coordinators to continually update them on new programs, policies, or financing mechanisms for weatherization assistance or alternative heating improvements.

Ideally, a Regional Energy Planner would have a basis of knowledge grounded in implementation, so that this staff person would have experience directly related to the installation and implementation of thermal efficiency and renewable energy improvements. This skill set would be particularly valuable in working with builders and energy service providers to help educate them about their customers' needs, but would also provide homeowners with an independent voice that would help them understand weatherization and other energy efficiency options. Acting as a bridge between state-level service providers, contractors, and municipal organizations, TRORC would effectively move the Region toward meeting the CEPs goals relating to thermal efficiency.

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Thermal Energy**

Goals

1. At least 25 percent of the Region's housing stock is weatherized by 2025.
2. By 2020, 30 percent of new buildings are built to net-zero energy use and 100 percent by 2030.
3. 7,280 efficient cold climate heat pumps are installed by 2025.
4. The Region has shifted away from fossil fuels as a source of heat.

Policies

1. TRORC supports state efforts to provide additional funding for weatherization improvements, especially for low- and moderate-income populations.
2. TRORC supports net-zero energy construction throughout the Region.
3. DPS should adhere to the Vermont Energy Code Compliance Plan and improve upon residential and commercial building codes.
4. TRORC supports the adoption of advanced wood and biomass heating systems for new construction as replacements for fossil fuel furnaces and backup heat systems for heat pumps.
5. TRORC encourages increased state incentives and rebates for advanced wood heating equipment, including woodstove change-out programs to lower heat costs and reduce particulate emissions.
6. Local energy committees and planning commissions should identify potential users of district heating and combined heat and power systems—schools, college campuses, apartment complexes, shopping centers, industrial parks, and village centers—and incorporate this information into local plans.

Goals, policies, and recommendations continued on next page

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Thermal Energy**

Recommendations

1. TRORC will support programs such as Zero Energy Now!, Weatherize Upper Valley with Vital Communities, and GMP's eHome by providing outreach and education to local planning commissions and energy committees and their communities.
2. TRORC will support and promote the Energy Action Network (EAN) energy dashboard and educate towns as to its use and benefits.
3. TRORC will distribute information regarding the available financing mechanisms for weatherization assistance, including information about the financial advantages of energy improvements.
4. TRORC should seek funding for an energy planning staff person who can work with towns, homeowners, and businesses to implement weatherization, energy efficiency, and renewable energy projects.
5. TRORC will work with utilities to implement their Renewable Energy Standard (RES) Tier 3 fuel-switching mandates through education and outreach to help promote weatherization.
6. DPS should work with fuel dealers to encourage them to become energy service providers.
7. Local energy committees should work with owners of rental housing to educate them on the financial benefits of weatherization investments and should connect owners with contractors to complete weatherization projects.
8. DPS should support K-12, higher education, and vocational education initiatives to bring energy ideas and solutions into the classroom by working with organizations such as the Vermont Energy Education Program (<http://veep.org/>).
9. Local energy committees should work with Neighborworks Heat Squad, COVER, and community action agencies to promote their weatherization services.
10. DPS should work with local educational institutions such as Vermont Technical College to encourage continued technical training related to energy efficiency improvements.
11. TRORC and towns should support programs and initiatives that encourage the development of small homes (less than 1,000 square feet) as a way to reduce energy use.
12. TRORC will provide outreach to towns and contractors on the use and enforcement of residential and commercial building energy standards for all new construction.
13. TRORC will support statewide efforts to increase energy efficiency code standards and statewide energy code enforcement by communicating regional concerns about enforcement with the Legislature and encouraging communities that have zoning to include a certificate of occupancy when they revise their regulations if they do not already have one.
14. TRORC should provide outreach to communities with a COO to ensure that they are tracking submissions of the RBES certificate.
15. TRORC will partner with Efficiency Vermont, Green Mountain Power, HVAC contractors, and others to promote cold climate heat pumps.
16. DPS should coordinate all outreach efforts with fuel dealers and electrical contractors (potentially creating opportunities for electrical contractors to work with fuel dealers).
17. TRORC should provide communities with an analysis of potential areas that are suitable for geothermal ground source heat pumps when data is available.
18. Local energy committees should provide information to builders and developers regarding the benefits of geothermal systems (including heat pumps).
19. TRORC, towns, and relevant nonprofits, including the Northern Forest Center, should conduct outreach and education by coordinating with advanced wood heat system vendors and contractors to hold informational public forums.

Goals, policies, and recommendations continued on next page

Goals, Policies, and Recommendations: **Thermal Energy**

Recommendations (continued)

20. TRORC should provide outreach and education to communities to ensure residents are aware of existing incentives and rebates.
21. DPS should provide guidance to communities seeking to develop district heating systems.
22. DPS should conduct outreach efforts to public and nonprofit entities and housing organizations to provide information on biomass heating options.
23. Local energy committees should partner with project developers to promote the possibility of combined heat and power and district heating options.
24. TRORC will work to maintain forest health as a prerequisite to a sustainable wood energy fuel supply by updating the Regional Plan to protect forests and habitat.
25. The State should support woodstove change-out programs to lower heat cost and reduce particulate emissions.

H. Utility-Scale Renewable Energy Facility Siting

Background

The State of Vermont has spent a number of years analyzing the issues relating to energy siting. In 2012, Governor Shumlin formed the Energy Generation Siting Policy Commission. The Siting Commission was tasked with developing recommendations and guidance on best practices for the siting approval of large-scale renewable energy generation projects (those projects that exceeded the net metering threshold at the time), and for public representation in the siting process. Ultimately, one of the key components of the Siting Commission's final report was an "increased emphasis on planning."²²

In 2015, in response to the rapid growth of solar development, the Legislature created the Solar Siting Task Force. In their report to the Legislature in 2016, the Solar Siting Task Force echoed the recommendations of the Siting Commission, acknowledging that "effective planning has the potential to shape the municipal, regional and state energy future."²³ One of the most important parts of such planning is mapping where projects should and shouldn't go.

Utilize Available Map Data

TRORC has generated map data that indicates where raw energy generation potential exists for solar, wind, and hydro.* This does not mean that they should go there, only that these are the areas where solar, wind, and hydro resources are present. This data should be the **starting point** for the local identification of where renewable energy generation should be located within your community.

Solar Siting

Sites with raw solar potential are flat to gently sloping and face east, south, or west. Significant growth in the solar energy production sector in Vermont has sometimes led to a backlash against proposed facilities. The primary concern is one of aesthetics. For some, it is challenging to reconcile the appearance of a solar farm with the traditional rural character of the Region. Residents may also perceive a loss of property value when a solar facility locates near their home, although there is no hard data available to support this perception.

Also of concern are the natural resource implications of solar farms. Often these facilities are proposed in areas that are being used for agricultural purposes on valuable prime

*A map of biomass land cover is included as well, but it is not a representation of potential beyond identifying what could be harvested for biomass energy production.

agricultural soils. While it is possible to conduct some forms of farming on land occupied by a solar system (such as small ruminant grazing – see Appendix L), most agricultural uses become impractical. For those farmers that lease land for feed production, the removal of actively used farmland from the pool of available land has the potential to negatively impact their operation. On the other hand, solar generation on marginal lands may provide farming with needed income.

Wind Siting

Only certain ridges are tall enough and big enough to have raw wind potential. Wind energy generation, although not as prevalent as solar, also has opposition due to aesthetic and noise impacts. Because these facilities must locate on ridgelines in order to maximize production, they are visible from a much greater distance than solar. Additionally, residents neighboring a wind facility may experience negative effects from the noise and flicker of the spinning turbines.²⁴

Large scale wind energy facilities can have environmental impacts as well. Much of the land on our ridges is undeveloped, making it prime wildlife habitat. The installation of wind energy generation facilities and the infrastructure needed to maintain them (primarily roads), leads to the fragmentation of continuous blocks of forestland, which can disrupt migration patterns for wildlife.

Hydro Siting

Not surprisingly, sites with hydro potential are along rivers with steep drops. LEAP modeling suggests that additional hydro capacity can be achieved by retrofitting existing dams.

The development of new hydroelectric projects is challenging. All new hydro projects that are grid-connected must seek permitting from the federal government, which is time consuming and expensive. Any development in our waterways requires a strict analysis of potential environmental impacts.

Hierarchy of Suitability

All of the lands within the Region have been analyzed on a rough scale using map data supplied by DPS to rank them in terms of areas of “raw potential”: areas that are unsuitable because of high value resources; areas with constraints; areas with no known or possible constraints (prime area); “preferred” areas. The maps were made by first identifying areas that have raw potential for certain types of power production based upon certain qualities of the landscape. For example, only certain ridgelines are believed to have enough wind resource to justify building a wind turbine. As mentioned earlier, only lands with good exposure and gentle slopes make sense for solar development. (It should be noted that the maps do not take into account whether lands are clear or forested.) Preferred locations come in two forms: state preferred areas that are defined as such in 30 VSA § 8005a (Act 174) and specified locations identified by the town.

Raw Generation Potential Locations

This type of area is shown on the solar and wind maps and includes solar generation potential based on solar radiation, slope, and direction, as well as wind generation potential based on topography. Solar potential does not distinguish between open or forested areas.

Unsuitable (Prohibited Locations)

The Regional Plan identifies some areas as poor locations for most forms of development due to their natural or scenic value or to protect our citizens from potential natural disasters. These areas have already been removed from consideration and are not shown in the constraint or prime areas on the maps. The policies displayed in this section state the unsuitable areas in the Region.

Constraints

There are many areas that have the potential for renewable energy generation but include known or possible constraints that may make these

locations less desirable. These areas are neither preferred nor unsuitable. Development in these areas will require more detailed mapping at the site level as well as an evaluation of the impacts on the particular resources present. State supplied map data used in this Plan has “known” constraint areas removed and therefore these do not show on the maps. From a policy level this Plan makes no distinction between “known” or “possible” and simply combines both as constraints. Areas with constraints include:

- Historic districts, landmarks, sites, and structures listed, or eligible for listing, on state or national historic registers
- State or federally designated scenic byways, and municipally designated scenic roads and viewsheds
- Special flood hazard areas identified by National Flood Insurance Program maps (except as required for hydro facilities)
- Public and private drinking water supplies, including mapped source protection areas
- Primary agricultural soils mapped by the U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Agricultural Soils (VT Agriculturally Important Soil Units)
- Protected Lands (Updated 07/26/2016 – State Fee Lands and Private Conservation Lands)
- Deer Wintering Areas (as identified by ANR)
- Act 250 Agricultural Soil Mitigation areas (as Identified by ANR)
- ANR’s Vermont Conservation Design Highest Priority Forest Block Datasets
- Priority Forest Blocks – Connectivity, Interior and Physical Land Division (as identified by ANR)
- Hydric Soils (as identified by ANR)
- River Corridor Areas as identified by the



Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation

- Class 2 Wetlands as indicated on Vermont State Wetlands Inventory maps or identified through site analysis
- Vernal pools (as identified by ANR or through site analysis)
- State-significant Natural Communities and habitats of rare, threatened, and endangered species

Prime Areas

Recognizing that there may be areas that are also well-suited to the development of renewable energy generation, the following criteria should be applied to proposals that are not in constraint areas. These areas are shown on the maps under prime. If a proposed development is not on the list above, but meets all of the criteria below, it shall be considered a prime area for the purposes of this Plan. Such an area:

- Must not be identified as an Unsuitable Area
- Must not be identified as a Constraint Area
- Must be located in an area that has reliable and safe access to the grid (as determined by the local power provider)

Preferred Areas

While the development of any type of renewable energy generation facility is subject to review on a site by site basis, some areas are better suited than others. Act 174 specifically identifies state preferred areas. These areas are typically small and are not shown on the energy siting potential maps. They are:

- A parking lot canopy over a paved parking lot, provided that the location remains in use as a parking lot and is not located in an area identified as unsuitable by this Plan or the Municipal Plan of the municipality in which the development is proposed.
- A new or existing structure that is not located in an area identified as unsuitable by this Plan or the Municipal Plan of the municipality in which the development is proposed.
- Land certified by the Secretary of Natural Resources to be a brownfield site as defined under 10 VSA § 6642, provided that the location is not in an area identified as unsuitable by this Plan or the Municipal Plan of the municipality in which the development is proposed.
- A sanitary landfill as defined in 10 VSA § 6602, provided that the Secretary of Natural Resources certifies that the land constitutes such a landfill and is suitable for the development of the plant.
- The disturbed portion of a gravel pit, quarry, or similar site for the extraction of a mineral resource, provided that all activities pertaining to site reclamation required by applicable law or permit condition are satisfied prior to the installation of the plant.
- A specific location designated in a duly adopted municipal plan under 24 VSA chapter 117 for the siting of a renewable energy plant or specific type or size of renewable energy plant, provided that the plant meets any siting criteria recommended in the plan for the location, provided that it is not located in an area identified as unsuitable by this Plan.
- A site listed on the National Priorities List (NPL) that has received confirmation from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (ANR), and is not located in an area identified as unsuitable by this Plan or the Municipal Plan of the municipality in which the development is proposed.
- A new hydroelectric generation facility at a dam in existence as of January 1, 2016, or a hydroelectric generation facility that was in existence but not in service for a period of at least 10 years prior to January 1, 2016, and that will be redeveloped for electric generation, if the facility has received approval or a grant of exemption from the U.S. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- A tract previously developed for a use other than siting a plant on which a structure or impervious surface was lawfully in existence and use prior to July 1 of the year preceding the CPG application.

The maps included as part of this guide were



Concept Design of Parking Lot Solar Canopy | Source: SunCommon

developed at the regional scale. As such, they do not include preferred locations. Communities should use their local knowledge to identify additional preferred areas. They can include preferred locations as legislated in Act 174.

Other considerations when identifying preferred areas within communities include existing infrastructure. For example, an area with immediate access to three-phase power or an upland area with existing road access may be more desirable than an area without.

Goal, Policies, and Recommendations: **Utility-Scale Renewable Energy Siting**

Goal

1. Carefully sited renewable energy facilities are built in the Region to meet generation goals.

Policies

1. TRORC supports the continued development and siting of renewable energy generation that counts toward the goals of the CEP.
2. Ground mounted solar arrays above 15kW in capacity should not be constructed in Regional Growth Areas if a reasonable alternate location is available, in order to preserve these areas for compact development. Solar arrays on structures in these areas are encouraged.
3. The following locations shall be considered regionally unsuitable for renewable energy generation facilities: floodways shown on FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (except as required for hydro facilities), Class 1 Wetlands as indicated on Vermont State Wetlands Inventory maps or identified through site analysis, Wilderness Areas, including National Wilderness Areas, any unsuitable areas as identified in a duly adopted municipal plan that has received a determination of energy compliance from the Department of Public Service or TRORC.

Recommendations

1. TRORC will encourage communities and residents to identify areas with the potential for renewable energy generation.
2. TRORC should provide support for grid improvements that will allow improved renewable energy generation facility coverage in our Region by actively participating in the Act 250 and Section 248 review process.

I. Conclusion

Vermont has established ambitious but needed energy goals that will require all of us to reduce energy use and to transition to using renewable energy for our thermal, transportation, and electricity needs. This will result in a safer, cleaner, and more healthy world for us and our children. This chapter should be used to guide TRORC in its development of work plans, to focus attention on key issues and opportunities, and to provide a framework to evaluate energy conservation and development projects in the Region. TRORC will fully integrate energy planning into the technical assistance it provides its member towns and continue to

coordinate with the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation, the Energy Action Network, the Department of Public Service, and other state agencies and departments to update and improve energy planning as necessary. Improvements in the development and maintenance of accurate estimates of energy demand, fuel use, and renewable electricity generation will be needed to track progress toward goals and to help adjust local, regional, and statewide strategies and actions. TRORC will also remain engaged in statewide energy planning to ensure that future plan updates and information provided to municipalities remain current and consistent with state policies.

A core message of the Energy chapter is that the quality of life and economic future of the Region is dependent on the efficient use of energy and access to a sufficient and sustainable amount of renewable energy. Planning for land use, transportation, community and economic development, and agriculture and food systems are inter-related must consider energy efficiency and the prudent development of renewable energy generation. The TRORC Energy chapter provides a basis for this comprehensive energy planning.

VERMONT ENERGY FACTS

- **More than one-third of VT schoolchildren attend facilities heated by wood, and almost one in six homes in VT heat with wood.**
- **VT produces 40% of the electricity it consumes and depends on power from Canada and neighboring states to meet customer demand.**
- **VT's electricity generation comes almost entirely from renewable resources, and more than half of it is hydroelectric power.**
- **In the years 2011 through 2017, 74.2 megawatts of utility-scale solar photovoltaic capacity and 89 megawatts of small-scale PV capacity were installed in VT.**
- **In 2015, VT enacted the nation's first integrated renewable energy standard (RES), which requires 75 percent of retail electricity sales to come from renewable sources by 2032.**

JULY 19, 2018, U.S. EIA



Solar panels | Source: Unknown

Energy Endnotes

1. Vermont Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP), 2016.
2. “Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5° C,” Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, <https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15>.
3. “Fourth National Climate Assessment Volume II: Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States,” U.S. Global Change Research Program, <https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/>.
4. “Vermont State Profile and Energy Estimates,” US Energy Information Administration, <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=VT#tabs-1>.
5. Ibid.
6. BW Research Partnership, *Vermont Clean Energy 2016 Industry Report*.
7. Energy Action Network Dashboard, 2018.
8. Vermont Comprehensive Energy Plan (CEP), 2016.
9. Vermont Transportation Energy Profile, 2017.
10. Marianne Tyrell, Rebecca Wigg, and Colin Hagan, *Financing Residential Energy Efficiently in Vermont*, Institute for Energy and the Environment, Vermont Law School, July 2011
11. Thermal Efficiency Task Force, *A Report to the Vermont General Assembly: Meeting the Thermal Efficiency Goals for Vermont Buildings*, 2013.
12. Ibid.
13. Riley Allen, *Thermal Efficiency for Low-Income Households in Vermont: Economic Performance, Energy Justice and the Public Interest*, RAP Energy Solutions, 2015.
14. Heat pump installation targets for the Region were determined based on the percentage of Vermont’s total housing units that are located in the TRO Region (over 9%).
15. “Pellet Stoves,” Alliance for Green Health, http://www.forgreenheat.org/technology/pellet_stoves.html.
16. Efficiency Vermont, 2016.
17. Efficiency Vermont, Net Zero Energy Feasibility Study, 2015.
18. “Vermont State Profile and Energy Estimates,” US Energy Information Administration.
19. Leslie Black-Plumeau and Maura Collins, *Housing Needs in East Central Vermont*, Vermont Housing and Finance Agency, October 2013.
20. Massachusetts Zero Net Energy Buildings Task Force, *Getting to Zero: Final Report of the Massachusetts Zero Net Energy Buildings Task Force*, March 11, 2009.
21. U.S. Census Bureau.
22. Energy Generation Siting Policy Commission, *Siting Electric Generation in Vermont: Analysis and Recommendations*, April 2013.
23. Solar Siting Task Force, *Solar Siting Task Force Report*, January 22, 2016.
24. Roy Jeffery, Carmen Krogh, and Brett Horner, “Adverse Health Effects of Industrial Wind Turbines,” *Canadian Family Physician*, 2013.

TO: Planning Commission
FROM: Rod Francis, Planning Director
RE: Solar Generation Project "Preferred Site" Status review, Upper Loveland RD
DATE: July 3, 2021

Background

The 2020 Town Plan Energy Chapter contains Policy 3.2.h:

For solar generation projects sized from 15kW to 500kW the presumption is that all of Norwich meets the Public Utility Commission definition of 'preferred site', notwithstanding the existing areas of local concern including the Ridgeline Protection Overlay Area and the historic village district as identified in the Norwich Land Use Regulations

The subject site is located in the Ridgeline Protection Overlay Area, and therefore does not automatically qualify as a preferred site.

Process

Under such circumstances the following process is available to applicants:

1. Applicant presents materials for review to the Planning Commission requesting a "letter of support" for the project (effectively a conclusion that the project as described does not pose a material conflict with the purpose of the Ridgeline Protection Overlay District)
2. Planning Commission votes on a motion recommending the Selectboard provide a letter of support
3. Applicant presents to the Selectboard, which votes on a motion to provide a letter of support (drafted by the Planning Director)

Standard of Review:

The Ridgeline Protection Overlay (RPO) District Overlay is described on page 28 of the Norwich Zoning Regulations (NZR) as adopted July 1, 2009.

- (A) **Purpose.** The purpose of the Ridgeline Protection Overlay District is to protect Norwich's rural character and scenic landscape by ensuring that development is located and designed in a manner that protects the uninterrupted skyline and minimizes adverse visual impact on designated ridgelines and adjacent slopes as viewed from public roads (Class 1, 2 and 3 town highways, state highways and interstate highways within the town).

The NZR addresses natural and scenic features in Section 3.13 (page 43). The standard described is "minimize adverse impact of development on significant natural, scenic, and cultural resources" and more specifically:

- (3) **Scenic Resources.** Development shall be *located and configured to avoid undue adverse impacts to scenic resources* identified on maps created in conjunction with the document entitled Inventory of Scenic Resources, prepared by the Scenic Resources Committee of the Norwich Conservation Commission and dated January 2000. (See Map C).

Development within view of scenic roads, as identified in the aforementioned planning documents, shall be designed to avoid undue adverse impact to the identified scenic resources. [emphasis added]

Attached is a map from the ANR Atlas for the subject site. The applicants have provided other materials for review addressing the possibility of visual impact.

Staff Findings:

1. Upper Loveland RD is not a designated 'scenic road'
2. The subject parcel is developed with a telecommunications tower and a high voltage power transmission line
3. There are no mapped wetlands, or other key natural or cultural resources
4. The site has an existing service road for the telecommunications tower, which traverses steep slopes
5. The location for the solar generation project on the parcel is not subject to steep slopes
6. The solar generation project does not cause an undue adverse visual impact to travelers on town, state or interstate highways.

Recommendation

Staff offers the following in the form of a proposed motion:

I move that the Planning Commission recommend to the Selectboard a letter of support be provided to Norwich Technologies for their proposed solar generation project on Upper Loveland Road.