INTERVENORS EXHIBIT 4

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") dated as of September 14, 2018 is among (i) Chelsea Solar LLC ("Chelsea"), (ii) Apple Hill Solar LLC ("Apple Hill"), (iii) PLH LLC ("PLH"), (iv) Otter Creek Solar LLC ("Otter Creek") (v) Allco Renewable Energy Limited ("Allco" and together with Chelsea, Otter Creek, Apple Hill and PLH, the "Developer") and (v) the Town of Bennington, Vermont (the "Town"). Developer and the Town are also referred to collectively herein as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party."

WHEREAS, on November 29, 2017, Chelsea filed a petition and supporting testimony and exhibits with the Vermont Public Utility Commission (the "PUC") in Docket No. 17-5024 (the "Chelsea Proceeding") requesting a certificate of public good ("CPG") under 30 V.S.A. § 248 to install and operate a 2.0 MW solar electric generation facility to be located off Willow Road in Bennington, Vermont (the "Chelsea Project");

WHEREAS, on March 5, 2015, Apple Hill filed a petition and supporting testimony and exhibits with the PUC in Docket No. 8454 (the "Apple Hill Proceeding") requesting a CPG under 30 V.S.A. § 248 to install and operate a 2.0 MW solar electric generation facility to be located at 1133 Willow Road in Bennington, Vermont (the "Apple Hill Project");

WHEREAS, Otter Creek intends on filing a petition and supporting testimony and exhibits with the PUC requesting a CPG under 30 V.S.A. § 248 to install and operate a solar electric generation facility to be located off Rice Lane in Bennington, Vermont, the site plan of which is set forth on **Exhibit A** hereto (the "Stark Project");

WHEREAS, Otter Creek intends on filing a petition and supporting testimony and exhibits with the PUC requesting a CPG under 30 V.S.A. § 248 to install and operate a solar electric generation facility to be located off Rice Lane in Bennington, Vermont, the site plan of which is set forth on **Exhibit A** hereto (the "Warner Project" and together with the Stark Project, the Chelsea Project and the Apple Hill Project, the "Projects");

WHEREAS, the Town is an intervening party in each of the Chelsea Project and Apple Hill Project dockets;

WHEREAS, as of the date hereof, the Chelsea Project and the Apple Hill Project remain pending before the PUC;

WHEREAS, PLH and the Town are adversarial parties in certain litigation which was commenced by PLH in the Environmental Division, 20/21-2-18 Vtec, which is currently on appeal before the Vermont Supreme Court, Docket No. 2018-260 (together, the "Lawsuit");

WHEREAS, the Parties have discussed various aspects of the Projects and have resolved all outstanding issues between them related to the Projects, including with respect to the Lawsuit, and as such wish to memorialize their mutual understandings in this Agreement and stipulate as to certain conditions, as further set forth below.

THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and representations below, and intending to be legally bound, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. The Town, having had an opportunity to fully review and assess the Apple Hill Project and the Chelsea Project, agree Not to Oppose either of such projects. The words "Not to Oppose" with respect to the Apple Hill and Chelsea projects shall mean that the Town shall (i) withdraw the testimony of Dan Monks in the Chelsea Proceeding, (ii) withdraw as a party in the Chelsea Proceeding, (iii) submit a letter to the PUC in the Chelsea Proceeding stating that the Town and Chelsea have settled their disagreements and the Town does not oppose the Chelsea Project, (iv) withdraw as a party to the Apple Hill Proceeding and (v) not appeal any decision made by the PUC in either the Chelsea or Apple Hill Proceedings.

2. Developer will make a payment to the Town in the amount of $202,250 to reimburse the Town for legal fees and staff costs. Such payment for the Chelsea Project will be paid by Chelsea within 5 days of receipt of supporting documentation of such fees and costs and satisfaction of items (i) through (iv) in Paragraph 1 above.

3. Developer and its affiliated entities, including but not limited to its parents and subsidiaries, as well as its officers, principals, successors and assigns (collectively, the "Developer Entities"), on the one hand, and the Town, on the other hand, completely, unconditionally, finally and forever release and discharge the other, and their respective employees, attorneys, agents, officers and directors of and from any and all liability, rights, demands, claims, obligations, causes of action, actions, suits, controversies, debts due, sums of money, interest, attorney's fees, and damages of every kind, nature and description whatsoever, both known and unknown, which may exist as of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement related to either the Chelsea Project or the Apple Hill Project.

4. As soon as practicable (but in any case not later than 10 days after the issuance of a final order from the Vermont Supreme Court in Docket No. 2018-260), Developer agrees to withdraw its claims for damages and to withdraw Counts 6, 7, 10 and 11 from the Lawsuit without prejudice as to the Developer's right to file Counts 10 and 11 against the State of Vermont but with prejudice with respect to Counts 6 and 7 and its claims for damages. In addition, Developer Entities agree not to file any claims based on Counts 6 or 7 or for damages based on the subject matter of the Lawsuit, or any other, new claims concerning the subject matter of the Lawsuit, in any venue.

5. The Parties agree in good faith to extend any applicable filing deadlines in the Lawsuit until such time the Final Report (as defined below) is received.

6. Within 10 days of the effective date of this Agreement, the Town agrees to withdraw its cross-appeal in the Lawsuit.

7. PLH agrees not to develop 557 Apple Hill Road, Bennington, Vermont (the "Apple Orchard") into any other use other than its existing use for the life of the Apple Hill and Chelsea Projects. For the avoidance of doubt, neither PLH nor the Developer shall have any obligation to maintain the current condition of the Apple Orchard. This provision is not intended to and does

not waive any legal obligations that may otherwise exist, or the rights of any non-parties to this agreement.

8. With respect to the Developer's Battle Creek 1 Solar Project (PUC Docket No. 17-3727), the Town agrees to a reduced setback of 10 feet along the western side of such project pursuant to 30 V.S.A § 248(s)(3) subject to the Town providing the requisite approvals for the Carbone Auto Group's plans to expand their parking lot. Town agrees to provide written agreement of any such waiver to the extent necessary to facilitate such waiver and Developer agrees not to file this Settlement Agreement in any venue to facilitate such waiver unless Town fails to provide such written agreement.

9. With respect to the landscape mitigation plan of the Chelsea Project set forth on **Exhibit B** hereto, as such plan may be amended by the PUC in connection with the issuance of a CPG for the Chelsea Project (the "Mitigation Plan"), Chelsea agrees to conduct post construction review with all parties of all proposed mitigation plantings and agrees to add additional plantings on its property to ensure long term screening if and as necessary in accordance with such Mitigation Plan. The Town will not require dark sheathing cover on the fence if parties to the PUC proceeding choose to waive that proposal in a revised Mitigation Plan.

10. As soon as practicable, the Town agrees to provide Developer with three names of possible aesthetic consultants to perform a limited aesthetic analysis with respect to the Stark and Warner Projects. Developer agrees to choose one to perform such aesthetic analysis and enter into a contract with such consultant, which contract shall state that such consultant is required to exercise his or her independent judgment when performing the aesthetic analysis. The final report from such aesthetic analysis (the "Final Report") will state that either (i) the Stark and Warner Projects are located on a "Preferred Area" as such term is used on page 26-27 of the 2017 Town Plan Energy Amendment (the "Energy Amendment") or (ii) the Stark and Warner Projects are not located on a "Preferred Area" as such term is used on page 26-27 of the Energy Amendment (attached as **Exhibit C**).

11. The Town will receive a copy of the Final Report, however the Parties agree that the Final Report is a "work for hire" for Developer and that Developer shall retain all rights therein and have sole discretion as to whether or not to file the Final Report as evidence in any proceeding in any jurisdiction.

12. If the Final Report states that the Stark and Warner Projects are located on a "Preferred Area" as such term is used on page 26-27 of the Energy Amendment, then the following shall occur:

(i) The Town agrees Not to Oppose the Stark or Warner Projects as such projects are set forth on Exhibit A hereto (i.e. the Town's agreement Not to Oppose herein will not apply to larger projects). The words "Not to Oppose" with respect to the Stark and Warner Projects shall mean that the Town shall (i) waive the requirements under 30 V.S.A. 248(f), (ii) submit a letter to the PUC stating that (A) each of the

Stark and Warner Projects comply with the screening requirements of the Town's November 9, 2015 solar screening ordinance (the "Screening Ordinance"), (B) each of the Stark and Warner Project are located on a Preferred Area as such term is used on page 26-27 of the Energy Amendment, and (C) each of the Stark and Warner Projects comply with the land conservation measures and specific policies contained in the Town Plan and (iii) the Town will not seek to intervene in the PUC proceedings for the Stark or Warner Projects;

(ii) With respect to the Warner Project, the Town agrees to a reduced setback of 10 feet along the western side of the Warner Project parcel pursuant to 30 V.S.A § 248(s)(3);

(iii) With respect to the Stark Project, the Town agrees to a reduced setback of 40 feet from the edge of the traveled roadway (Rice Lane) on the northern boundary for the Stark Project parcel pursuant to 30 V.S.A § 248(s)(3);

(iv) The Developer Entities, on the one hand, and the Town, on the other hand, completely, unconditionally, finally and forever release and discharge the other, and their respective employees, attorneys, agents, officers and directors of and from any and all liability, rights, demands, claims, obligations, causes of action, actions, suits, controversies, debts due, sums of money, interest, attorney's fees, and damages of every kind, nature and description whatsoever, both known and unknown, which may exist as of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement;

(v) The Developer Entities agree that other than the Projects, it will not develop any solar facilities above 150kw on any site within the Town unless such site is (i) on a mapped Preferred Area under the Energy Amendment and as to which the Town approves under the Solar Screening Ordinance or (ii) specifically approved by the Town and as to which the Town approves under the Solar Screening Ordinance (for the avoidance of doubt, any proposed solar facility of the Developer 150kw or under will still have to comply with the Town Plan); and

(vi) Developer will withdraw the Lawsuit with prejudice within 10 days of receipt of such Final Report.

13. Each of the Parties represent and warrant that they have the power to enter into, execute, deliver and carry out the terms of this Agreement and to perform their respective obligations under this Agreement and all such actions have been duly authorized by all necessary proceedings on their part.

14. This Agreement is governed by Vermont law.

15. Each Party agrees to do or cause to be done such further acts and things, and to deliver or cause to be delivered such additional agreements, consents, and instruments, as is reasonably required to carry into effect the purposes of this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby.

16. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which together will constitute one and the same instrument and shall be binding upon the person executing the same. This Agreement may be executed by a signature page delivered by telecopier or by a PDF file via email, in which case the party so executing this Agreement shall promptly thereafter deliver its originally executed signature page (but the failure to deliver an original shall not affect the binding nature of such Party's signature).

17. This Agreement may be amended only by a writing specifically referring to this Agreement that is dated after the date hereof and signed by or on behalf of each of the Parties hereto. Any waiver of any party's rights is effective only if in writing signed by such Party and any such waiver shall be effective only for the specific matter waived and shall not be deemed to apply to any other conduct, provision or other matter. No amendment or waiver of any provision of this Agreement by oral communication or course of dealing shall be effective.

18. Any Party hereto may file this Agreement with any agency or court.

[SIGNATURE PAGES TO FOLLOW]

Dated this 14th day of September, 2018.

CHELSEA SOLAR LLC

By: _____
Michael Melone, Esq.

APPLE HILL SOLAR LLC

By: _____
Michael Melone, Esq.

PLH LLC

By: _____
Michael Melone, Esq.

ALLCO RENEWABLE ENERGY LIMITED

By: _____
Michael Melone, Esq.

OTTER CREEK SOLAR LLC

By: _____
Michael Melone, Esq.

Dated this 14 day of September, 2018.

THE TOWN OF BENNINGTON, VERMONT

By: _____

Exhibit A

INTERVENORS EXHIBIT 4

GENERAL NOTES:

1. PROPERTY SURVEY INFORMATION FOR THIS PROJECT PROVIDED BY KREBS AND LANCING ENGINEERING, LLC.
2. REFER TO ALTA SURVEY FOR LOT BEARINGS, DIMENSIONS AND AREAS.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO EDGE OF CLEARING LIMITS AND PROPERTY LINE. DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO PROPERTY LINES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

LEGEND:

EXISTING PROPERTY LINE

WETLAND LIMITS



ecos ENERGY
222 SOUTH 9TH STREET
SUITE 1600
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

REVISION LOG:

1/25/18 - 45 DAY NOTICE DOCUMENTS

9/6/18 - SITE CLEARING PLAN

NORTH

0' 150' 300'

EASTERN PARCEL

RICE LANE & HARDWOOD HILL ROAD
BENNINGTON, VT 05201
LAT:42.911 / LON:-73.216
BENNINGTON COUNTY

SITE CLEARING AREAS

Sheet: 1 of 1

INTERVENORS EXHIBIT 4

GENERAL NOTES:

1. PROPERTY SURVEY INFORMATION FOR THIS PROJECT PROVIDED BY KREBS AND LANCING ENGINEERING, LLC.
2. REFER TO ALTA SURVEY FOR LOT BEARINGS, DIMENSIONS AND AREAS.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO EDGE OF CLEARING LIMITS AND PROPERTY LINE. DIMENSIONS ARE PERPENDICULAR TO PROPERTY LINES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

LEGEND:

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED PROJECT SETBACK
- WETLAND LIMITS



ecos ENERGY
222 SOUTH 9TH STREET
SUITE 1600
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

REVISION LOG:
9-6-18 - STARK/WARNER SITE PLAN

NORTH
0' 150' 300'

EASTERN PARCEL
RICE LANE & HARDWOOD HILL ROAD
BENNINGTON, VT 05201
LAT:42.911 / LON:-73.216
BENNINGTON COUNTY

OVERALL SITE PLAN

Sheet: 1 of 1

Exhibit B

INTERVENORS EXHIBIT 4



PLANT LIST:

WESTERN EDGE MITIGATION PLANTING:

KEY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	QTY.	SIZE	REMARKS
SHRUBS					
CR	Cornus racemosa	Gray Dogwood	30	3-4' Ht.	B&B, Plant 60" o.c.
PO	Physocarpus opulifolius 'Nanus'	Common Ninebark	80	24-30" Wide	B&B, Plant 36" o.c.
TO	Thuja occidentalis 'Nigra'	White Cedar	44	6' Ht.	B&B, Full, Plant 60" o.c.
VD	Viburnum dentatum	Arrowwood Viburnum	57	3-4' Ht.	B&B, Plant 48" o.c.
VL	Viburnum lentago	Nannyberry Viburnum	12	3-4' Ht.	B&B, Plant 60" o.c

APPLE HILL ROAD MITIGATION PLANTING:

KEY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	QTY.	SIZE	REMARKS
TREES					
AB-a	Abies balsamea	Balsam Fir	8	8-10' Ht.	B&B, Full
AB-b	Abies balsamea	Balsam Fir	10	6-8' Ht.	B&B, Full
AR	Acer rubrum 'Autumn Blaze'	Red Maple	12	3.5-4" cal.	B&B
EV	Evergreen trees	Species TBD	??	20-24' Ht.	Tree Spade
SHRUBS					
AM	Aronia melanocarpa	Black Chokeberry	20	3-4' Ht'	3 gal. cont.
CO	Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	22	3-4'	3 gal. cont.
CR	Cornus racemosa	Gray Dogwood	10	3-4' Ht.	B&B, Plant 60" o.c.
SV	Syringa vulgaris 'Yankee Doodle'	Common Lilac (Dark Purple)	36	5-6'	B&B, Plant 5-6' o.c.
VL	Viburnum lentago	Nannyberry Viburnum	24	3-4' Ht.	B&B, Plant 60" o.c

NOTES:

1. LAYOUT/ARRANGEMENT OF ALL PLANT MATERIAL TO BE LOCATED BY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT POST CONSTRUCTION, PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

2. LARGE EVERGREEN TREES TO BE TRANSPLANTED ALONG NORTHERN FENCE LINE OF PROJECT TO HELP SCREEN PROJECT FROM APPLE HILL RD RESIDENCES. SPECIES BASED ON AVAILABILITY. QUANTITY AND LAYOUT TO BE DETERMINED POST CONSTRUCTION BY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.

Prepared By:

SE GROUP

SCALE (ft)

0 100 200 300 400

Chelsea Solar Project

SITE PLAN

November 2017

Exhibit C

Chapter 8 - Energy

8.1 Introduction

The Town of Bennington recognizes that it is necessary to work toward a sustainable energy future in a manner that minimizes environmental impacts and supports the local economy. The purpose of this energy element is to further those goals and recommended actions by increasing public awareness of energy issues, assessing local energy use and conservation opportunities, reducing the number of energy-related dollars exported from the town, and evaluating the potential for utilization of various renewable energy resources to meet the town's stated goals of:

- Reducing our dependence on non-renewable and imported energy sources;
- Promoting energy conservation and efficiency in residential, commercial, and industrial structures and operations;
- Reducing energy consumption in all taxpayer funded buildings and operations; and
- Developing sustainable, local renewable energy resources.

These goals are consistent with Vermont's energy goals and policies, including:

- ◊ Obtaining 90% of energy for all uses from renewable sources by 2050;
- ◊ Reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 50% below 1990 levels by 2028 and 75% by 2050;
- ◊ Relying on in-state renewable energy sources to supply 25% of energy use by 2025;
- ◊ Improving the energy efficiency of 25% of homes by 2020;
- ◊ Meeting the Vermont Renewable Energy Standard through renewable generation and energy transformation.

A thorough understanding of energy and a plan to address future challenges is essential because energy is critical to every aspect of our lives. At the most basic level, we need the energy we obtain from food to survive. And it is the energy contained in oil, propane, and wood that heats our homes and the energy in gasoline and diesel fuel that moves our vehicles. Energy also generates the electricity that runs our appliances, machinery, computers, and telecommunication systems.

Most of the energy that we use, and have come to rely upon, is derived from "nonrenewable" fossil fuels and, to a lesser extent, nuclear fuels. This energy has been abundant and cheap, but supplies are becoming scarcer and oil, natural gas, coal, and uranium will become increasingly expensive to obtain. Moreover, serious and longstanding environmental concerns with coal mining, offshore oil drilling, acid rain, and other pollution resulting from fossil fuel use are now overshadowed by potentially catastrophic global climate change that is driven by the release of tens of millions of years of stored carbon in just a few decades.

Fortunately, alternative energy sources such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, and biomass-based fuels can provide significant amounts of clean energy well into the future. Developing these resources is extremely important, but the total amount of energy that can be extracted from such resources is markedly less than what we currently obtain from fossil fuels. To maintain a good quality of life, vibrant communities, and prospering economies, we will have improve energy efficiency and transition to the widespread use of renewable energy.

8.2 Energy Use in Bennington

Bennington County Regional Energy Plan contains a detailed review of regional and statewide energy data. It shows that total energy consumption in Vermont has risen over the past 50 years and that during that time, the transportation sector eclipsed the residential sector as the largest consumer of energy (Figure 1). Over $150 million is spent annually in the region on energy for space and water heating, transportation, and electricity – with most of that money leaving the area to pay for imported fuels. The following section will provide estimates of current energy use by sector as well as projections illustrating the magnitude of conservation, efficiency, and transition to alternative fuels needed to meet Bennington's energy goals.

Figure 1. Energy Use by Sector in the Bennington Region.
Source: 2017 Bennington County Regional Energy Plan.



Residential Sector Energy Demand

With over 6,000 residential units in Bennington, space and water heating and electricity usage for lighting and appliances consumes a large amount of energy and offers opportunities for considerable energy savings in the future. A majority of home heating in Bennington continues to rely on oil, although fuel switching to wood (particularly wood pellets in recent years) has been observed to occur with oil prices increase. Transportation energy demand also is influenced by the location of residential development, and that data will be presented separately in the discussion of the transportation sector.

The magnitude of residential energy consumption in Bennington can be estimated by considering the fuel usage of a typical Vermont home. An average single family home in the northeast requires approximately 60,000 Btu (British Thermal Units) of energy per square foot for annual space heating. A gallon of home heating oil contains approximately 140,000 Btu of energy. The average annual heating oil consumption of a Vermont home – 850 gallons – (based on an average house size of 2,000 square feet) is consistent with this data. An evaluation of the composition of Bennington's housing stock and heating fuel and electricity usage provides an estimate of total residential energy consumption (Table 1).

It is useful to consider scenarios illustrating how this level of energy demand and accompanying mix of fuels may change over time in a way that would allow the town to meet its energy goals. The BCRC, working with the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation, made use of the Long-range Energy Alternatives Planning ("LEAP") computer modeling tool to assess how the region's energy demand profile might change over time based on a realistic trajectory toward achieving 90% of all energy from renewable sources by 2050.

The model first was run at the statewide level, and then adjusted based on regional conditions and the output customized for the Bennington Region. The resulting regional data was then used to provide town-level estimates (consequently, the data in Table 1 will not align perfectly with the LEAP data, but the trends and the magnitude of the changes are clear). Several key points become clear when looking at the overall residential energy demand for the

Table 1. Estimate of Bennington's annual residential energy use and cost.

	Residential Units	Total Oil Use (gallons)	Total LP Gas Use (gallons)	Total Wood Use (pellet bags)	Electric Use for Heat (kwH)	Non-heat Electric Use (kwH)
Single Family	3,508	2,414,850	501,800	44,280	3,080,000	24,556,000
Two-Family	638	335,900	69,160	6,096	425,600	3,828,000
Multi-Family	1,722	627,750	130,221	11,448	797,650	8,610,000
Mobile Home	510	265,200	55,328	4,925	345,800	2,550,000
Total	6,378	3,643,700	756,509	66,749	4,649,050	39,544,000
Cost Factor		$2.50/gal	$3.50/gal	$5.00/bag	$0.15/kwH	$0.15/kwH
Total Cost		**$9,109,250**	**$2,647,782**	**$333,745**	**$697,358**	**$5,931,600**

This data provides a rough estimate of total residential energy consumption and costs for Bennington. The combined total cost of residential purchases of heating oil, LP gas, wood/pellets, and electricity is $18,719,735; with a population of 15,764, the per capita cost of residential energy use (not including transportation energy costs) is $1,187. Data was obtained from the 2010 US Census, the Vermont State Data Center—Housing Statistics, and the US Energy Information Administration. The following assumptions were used in the calculations: average single-family house size of 2,000 square feet, two-family dwelling unit of 1,500 square feet, and multi-family dwelling unit at 1,000 square feet (estimates of fuel usage rounded to nearest 50 gallons of oil/lp gas and ratios used for wood and electric heating use calculations. Heating fuel usage for mobile homes were generated based on the two-family dwelling unit (larger than a typical mobile home) because of generally lower insulation values and inefficient heating geometry for mobile homes. Electric use estimated at 7,000 KwH per year for a single-family home, 6,000 KwH per year for a two-family dwelling unit, and 5,000 KwH per year for a multi-family dwelling unit and mobile home. Energy use for domestic hot water production assumed included in the space heating and/or electric usage data. "Wood" heat includes both cord wood and wood pellet fuel; for simplicity, quantities and cost are presented using only wood pellet data.

Bennington County region (Figure 2). Of particular importance is the significant reduction in the total amount of energy used. The reduction displayed on the graph assumes continuing and effective deployment of existing conservation and efficiency programs plus additional measures that result in a further increase in the number of existing homes that are weatherized and additional efficiency gains from advanced heating and cooling systems (the "Avoided vs. Reference" blocks on the chart). The transitions in fuel usage (for space and water heating; i.e., not including non-thermal electric use) within the Town of Bennington that correlate with the regional LEAP scenario are outlined in Tables 2 and 3.

Trends evident in the LEAP projections (Figure 2) include a large-scale reduction in total energy use driven by conservation and efficiency, an increased reliance on electricity and liquid biofuels (such as biodiesel), and a larger share of remaining energy use from renewable wood products (cord wood and wood pellets). Under this LEAP scenario, these changes result from development of much more efficient buildings, through construction that meets or exceeds energy codes and weatherization of existing buildings, and greater reliance on electricity and liquid biofuels for home heating and cooling in the residential sector (as well as in the transportation sector, discussed later in this chapter).

The transition in home heating anticipated by the LEAP model is dramatic; by 2050 oil will have been phased out as a heating fuel and propane use will have been reduced by about



Figure 2. Total residential energy demand for the Bennington County Region, 2015 through 2050, based on one LEAP model scenario that charts progress toward the goal of achieving 90% of the state's energy from renewable sources by 2050.

Table 2 Total Residential Thermal Energy Demand By Fuel
Town of Bennington—LEAP 90x2050 Model Projections
Standard Fuel Measurement Units

Fuel	2015	2025	2035	2050
Biodiesel (gallons)	35,691	191,434	347,177	590,525
Cord Wood (cords)	9,750	8,321	6,790	4,782
Wood pellets (tons)	1,179	1,656	1,907	2,158
Electric Resistance (kWH)	8,978,898	7,765,533	4,368,113	1,213,365
Heat Pump (kWH)	1,941,383	10,313,599	19,413,834	26,087,339
Kerosene (gallons)	196,267	138,000	82,800	-
LPG (gallons)	1,582,950	1,265,383	845,217	254,054
Oil (gallons)	2,723,466	1,944,043	1,134,527	-

Table 3 Total Residential Thermal Energy Demand By Fuel
Town of Bennington - Number of Households
Derived from Regional 90X2050 LEAP projections and adjusted to increase the number of households using heat pumps as a primary heat source.

Fuel	2015	2025	2035	2050
Biodiesel	35	199	432	1,144
Cord Wood	1,501	1,356	1,323	1,451
Wood pellets	150	223	307	540
Electric Resistance	236	216	145	63
Heat Pump	51	896	1,560	2,570
Kerosene	204	152	109	-
LPG	1,033	874	698	327
Oil	2,885	2,179	1,521	-
Total	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,095

Table 2 illustrates how the mix of fuels used to heat homes could change in Bennington consistent with meeting state energy goals and Table 3 shows how the number of households using each fuel source for heating changes over the same timeframe. Because of Bennington's dense development, household heat pump use shown in Table 3 is increased beyond the level projected by the fuel comparison shown in Table 2.

70 percent. Inefficient electric resistance heating systems also will be phased out, but efficient air source heat pumps, and some geothermal source heat pumps for new construction, will become a primary heating and cooling technology used in over 40 percent of the town's housing units. Heat pumps represent a particularly valuable technology because they are powered by electricity that can be generated from renewable sources such as solar, wind, and hydro. Existing houses and apartments also can be converted relatively easily, and at moderate cost, from fossil fuel based heating systems to heat pumps. Heat pumps may need to be supplemented with alternative heating systems in extremely cold weather, but when combined with thorough weatherization, heat pumps can provide for most of a residential building's heat load.

Another fuel that may contribute to a relatively straightforward transition away from oil and propane based heating systems is biodiesel—with similar properties to petroleum diesel, but produced from oil crops such as canola, sunflower, and even algae. While efficiencies in production technologies are needed to make these fuels affordable and to meet renewable standards, once developed (an assumption built into this LEAP scenario), biodiesel powered furnaces and boilers can take advantage of existing fuel delivery infrastructure and in-home ductwork and plumbing.

Vermont has an abundant supply of wood that can be used for space heating. The LEAP scenarios project an increased reliance on wood as a thermal energy source for the residential sector, even though the total amount of wood energy use declines slightly (attributable to building efficiency improvements). The use of wood pellets, produced in or near the region, is expected to expand significantly, either as a primary home heating fuel or as a cold-weather supplement to air source heat pumps. Larger multifamily residential buildings and residential complexes such as apartment/condominium developments, dormitories, and even mobile home parks may convert to pellet or woodchip based heating systems. A recent example of this efficient and renewable energy based residential "district heating" is the replacement of 29 oil-burning boilers at the 104 unit Applegate Apartment complex with a single efficient biomass boiler (together with major weatherization improvements to the buildings).

Commercial and Industrial Energy Demand

Bennington is an important center of business activity in southwestern Vermont so it is not surprising that energy consumption in those sectors is substantial. Annual expenditures on energy in the local commercial and industrial sectors are estimated to approach $30 million (Table 4). In addition to on-site energy use, many businesses rely on shipments of raw materials to their facilities, exports of finished products to markets, and/or transportation of people to the region and to their establishments. Those energy demands are accounted for in the transportation sector—which has seen a very large increase in consumption of fossil fuels in recent years.

The LEAP energy forecasting models project a decrease of over 20 percent in overall commercial and industrial energy demand in Bennington through 2050 (Figure 3). This reduction is achieved through both conservation and deployment of more efficient systems, often utilizing alternative fuels. Use of petroleum oil is expected to decline by over 80 percent during this period, while propane (LPG) use is expected to fall by over 50 percent. On the other hand, use of woody biomass, a locally available fuel, is projected to nearly double, while biodiesel consumption is expected to begin to become a regionally significant fuel in these sectors. Electricity use will displace much of the current nonrenewable fuel demand in these sectors while contributing to the overall reduction in energy consumption through use of more efficient electrical systems.

Table 4. Estimated commercial and manufacturing building energy consumption, Bennington, Vermont.

	Estimated Floor Area (square feet) (1)	Annual Electricity Consumption (KwH) (2)	Annual Oil/Gas Consumption (gallons) (2)
Manufacturing	1,234,000		
Commercial	4,721,624		
Total Consumption		89,957,000	5,404,216
Cost Factor (3)		$0.15/KwH	$3.00/gallon
Total Cost		**$13,493,550**	**$16,216,390**

(1) Floor area estimates were computed by multiplying the number of employees in each sector (2010 Vermont Department of Labor Covered Employment data) by 766 square feet (US EPA estimate of average commercial/industrial floor space per employee).

(2) Total manufacturing sector energy consumption was calculated by multiplying total floor area by 450,000 Btu/square foot (average of low and high estimates for various types of industries—data developed by E Source Companies, LLC "Managing Energy Costs in Manufacturing Facilities). Total commercial sector energy consumption was calculated by multiplying total floor area by 90,500 Btu/square foot (average for all commercial uses, US Energy Information Administration). For Oil and LP gas were combined for the analysis and Btu content used in the calculations (125,000 Btu/gallon is an average weighted slightly toward the Btu content of oil.

(3) Electricity consumption data obtained from Efficiency Vermont, based on actual metered usage. A cost factor of $0.15 was used to be consistent with the residential rate, although varying commercial rates apply. Because oil and gas were combined, a conservative cost factor of $3.00 was used in the calculations.



Municipal and Institutional Energy Usage

Local government, schools, colleges, and other institutional uses such as the Southwestern Vermont Medical Center all are major users of energy. The costs associated with energy use by those entities has a direct bearing on taxes and critical issues such as the cost of education and health care. Energy conservation and the use of alternative energy systems in this sector have the potential to produce significant savings and to promote economic development.

Municipal Government

The Town of Bennington relies on energy to provide services to the community. The town owns and operates several buildings, a large fleet of vehicles and equipment, and is responsible for other services such as the provision of water, disposal of wastewater, and street lighting. The town already has taken steps to reduce its energy use through use of more efficient lighting and equipment in office buildings, installation of a hydroelectric generator at the water treatment facility, and by pursuing other initiatives through Efficiency Vermont and other resources. An assessment of municipal energy use was conducted recently and is reported in this section.

Municipal Buildings and Infrastructure

Energy consumption data at five municipal buildings was gathered through a project coordinated by EPA's Energy Star initiative. Those buildings support a variety of services and are used in significantly different ways, so opportunities for energy savings in each will differ. Each of the buildings requires energy for space heating (and in the case of the Recreation Center, pool water heating) and electricity for lighting, air conditioning, office equipment, and other functions. Information on energy use at the water and wastewater facilities was obtained from recent municipal records. Total energy use and estimated costs for these buildings and related infrastructure is presented in Table 5.

The Bennington Fire Station is a relatively new building, located on River Street. It houses the Bennington Fire Department's vehicles, equipment, and support offices and facilities. A large meeting room on the third floor is used for public meetings by local government and other

Table 5. Annual Energy Consumption (2012) — Bennington Municipal Buildings and Infrastructure

Building	Oil (gallons @$2.50)	Cost	Propane (gallons @ $3.50)	Cost	Electricity (kwH, rate specific to use)	Cost	Total Cost
Fire Station	6,222	$15,555	154	$539	99,624	$13,947	$30,041
BBC/BCIC	1,150	$2,875	-	-	12,432	$1,492	$4,367
Police Station	-	-	18,420	$64,470	212,940	$27,684	$92,154
Recreation Center	-	-	54,000	$189,000	173,400	$36,414	$155,677
Town Offices	2,961	$7,403	<100	-	66,612	$9,651	$17,054
Water Department/ Filtration Plant	8,239**	$20,598	1,196**	$4,186	-	$32,911	$57,695
Water Infrastructure*	-	-	-	-	-	$33,052	$33,053
Wastewater Plant	6,216**	15,540	647**	$2,265	-	$171,671	$189,476
Wastewater Infrastructure *	-	-	-	-	-	$5,705	$5,705
Total	**24,788**	**$61,970**	**54,312**	**$260,460**	**-**	**$332,527**	**$654,957**

* Infrastructure includes facilities such as pumping stations and other equipment that utilize electricity.

** Gallons imputed from cost information obtained from municipal records.

organizations. Although the largest of the town-owned buildings surveyed, much of the building is not used on a daily basis and it includes a large garage area that is not heated to the level of the rest of the structure. As a consequence, heating fuel use is relatively low, averaging 6,222 gallons of oil per year. Electricity use at the building is significant, although the total cost is below the space heating expense. The monthly average of 8,320 kwH is typically exceeded by 50 percent during summer months (and is generally consistently lower the rest of the year), indicating that air conditioning probably is driving a significant portion of the electricity demand during warm weather. The Fire Station also uses a small amount of propane (approximately 150 gallons per year).

The "Blacksmith Shop" at the corner of South and Elm Streets, is leased to the Bennington Downtown Alliance (BDA). It encompasses 3,600 square feet and includes offices for several people on the first and second floors, a meeting room, and a visitor welcome center/display area. As a renovated historic building with a high heating cost per square foot, it can be assumed that there exist significant opportunities for weatherization. Electricity use for the building averages approximately 1,036 kwH per month.

The Police Station must remain active around the clock every day, contributing to a high rate of electricity usage.

The Police Department is housed in the historic stone building on South Street that used to serve as a federal building. It includes 10,360 square feet of space, numerous office and meeting rooms, and significantly—from an energy perspective– is occupied twenty-four hours per day. The structure is heated with a propane-fired system that consumes an average of 18,420 gallons of that fuel each year. Although from a cost standpoint, propane use is the most significant at the building, it is the electricity consumption at the building that is most striking. The Police Station uses twice as much electricity per square foot as the Town Office Building and far more than the Blacksmith Shop—attributable, in part, to its non-stop operation, but moisture, especially in the basement, requires constant use of pumps and dehumidifiers. The existing heating and air conditioning systems, and the design of the ductwork, results in further inefficiencies.

The Recreation Center, located on Gage Street, provides residents with access to a fitness center and an indoor swimming pool. The facility uses a considerable amount of propane, with demand highest in the winter months, but substantial year-round. Approximately 54,000 gallons of propane were used in 2012 (Table 5), but installation of two high-efficiency propane boilers and a high-efficiency propane pool heater has reduced propane use to 29,350 gallons.

The Town Office Building, located on South Street, includes the Town Clerk's office and most of the administrative activities that support the full range of services offered by the municipal government. The offices are housed in a renovated historic house—with additions—that occupies 6,214 square feet. Space heating is provided by an oil-fired system that, during the sampling period, used an average of 2,961 gallons of oil per year. Electricity use at the building is fairly consistent year-round, averaging just over 5,000 KwH per month.

The town operates public water supply and wastewater disposal systems that cover defined areas, primarily in the state-designated growth center. This infrastructure is essential to allow the type of concentrated development pattern that is consistent with the Town Plan and which leads to long-term energy savings. Both functions require considerable energy inputs, both to heat buildings and to operate equipment (Table 5). The water system, for example, utilizes numerous pumping stations that require a considerable amount of electrical energy and the wastewater treatment plant uses more electricity than any other municipal facility. As noted earlier, the town has taken steps to limit energy consumption; the hydroelectric generator at the water filtration plant and the decision to compost biosolids at the wastewater treatment plant are two examples. Efficiency Vermont has assigned an energy efficiency expert to work on a range of municipal projects, including planned improvements to the wastewater facilities which are expected to significantly improve overall energy efficiency.

Municipal Vehicles and Equipment

The town operates a sizeable fleet of vehicles and heavy equipment that use gasoline and diesel fuel. Total expenditures on fuel in a recent 12-month period were over $200,000 (Table 6), and with rising costs that number can be expected to increase significantly in the current and ensuing years. Several municipal departments (Fire, Recreation, Senior Center, Planning and Code Enforcement), use relatively little fuel for transportation and to operate their equipment, but others (Police, Highway, Water, and Wastewater) depend heavily on those fuels to accomplish their work.

Table 6. Fuel cost - municipal vehicles and equipment.

Department	Inventory	Annual Fuel Cost
Police	9 vehicles	$54,607
Fire	6 trucks and one sedan	$3,348
Recreation	1 pickup truck and 2 mowers	$3,350
Senior Center	2 vans	$2,904
Highway	10 dump trucks, 9 pickup trucks 16 pieces heavy equipment	$113,291
Water	6 pickup trucks, 1 dump truck, 2 pieces heavy equipment	$16,293
Wastewater	4 pickup trucks 5 pieces heavy equipment	$9,194
Planning and Code Enforcement	1 sedan	$547
Total		**$203,534**

The Bennington Police Department has specific requirements for the types of vehicles it operates. The department has indicated a preference for SUVs because of their capacity and greater durability; use of hybrid SUVs and battery systems that allow for reduced idling might

achieve significant fuel savings. Some limited patrols also are conducted on foot. The Highway Department, with its dump trucks, pickup trucks, and array of heavy equipment is the largest user of transportation fuel in the local government. Consequently, its costs will rise more rapidly than any other department as gasoline and diesel fuel costs increase. The Water and Wastewater Departments also rely on vehicles and heavy equipment, together spending over $25,000 per year on transportation fuels.

Streetlighting

The town recently took advantage of a program coordinated by Efficiency Vermont whereby it replaced all of its old (mostly 150W high pressure sodium) streetlights with new energy efficient LED streetlights (the town also has identified 12 streetlights that are not necessary and which were removed altogether). The new LED streetlights are much more energy efficient, with 52W units replacing the old 150W high pressure sodium units. The light from the LED units also is much more "natural" and is distributed evenly, with very little wasted light or areas of overlapping illumination between adjacent lights. This streetlight replacement program has reduced electricity use by approximately 50% while saving the town over 20% on its streetlighting bill. The electric distribution company, Green Mountain Power, also benefits because it achieves comparable savings on the amount of electricity it must purchase.

New LED streetlights like this one have been installed throughout the town, saving energy, and saving the town about $30,000 per year.

Public Schools

The Bennington School District maintains three public elementary schools in town and the Mount Anthony Union District maintains the local public middle school and high school. The schools are of varying age and the relative energy efficiency of each is partially attributable to the original design and construction of the buildings (Table 7). Each of the schools has participated in at least one Efficiency Vermont and/or Vermont School Energy Management Program review, and a number of efficiency improvements have been implemented in the past, with major improvement projects being completed at the three elementary schools this year (summer of 2017). The transportation section of this plan considers the energy and health related benefits of walking, bicycling, carpooling, and use of school buses rather than personal vehicles.

One of the most obvious differences between the schools has been the cost of heating the buildings. The three elementary schools are older than the middle school and high school, and the elementary schools have relied solely on oil for space heating. The secondary schools, on the other hand, each derive a significant portion of their heat from wood chip (biomass) based boilers that greatly reduce the utilization of more expensive heating oil. Annual heating costs at both the middle school and high school average approximately $0.63 per square foot, while annual heating costs at the elementary schools have ranged from $1.04 per square foot at Molly Stark to $1.63 per square foot at Bennington Elementary.

All of the schools have benefited from some lighting system upgrades, with older interior fluorescent lights being replaced with energy-saving T-5 and T-8 lights, and inefficient exterior floodlights replaced with highly efficient LED lights. Estimated energy savings from these

upgrades amounted to 153,000 KWH, and $25,000, annually between the three elementary schools and an additional $18,000 in savings at the high school. The most recently constructed school building, Mount Anthony Union Middle School, also benefited from $52,000 in energy conservation incentives (light and heating controls and other measures) during its construction several years ago.

Table 7. Recent annual energy use at Bennington's public schools (prior to current efficiency upgrades).

School	Oil (gallons)	Oil Cost	Woodchips (Tons)	Woodchip Cost	Electricity (KWH)	Electricity Cost	Propane (gallons)	Propane Cost	Total Cost
Bennington Elementary	21,000	$67,059	-	-	180,000	$35,302	-	-	**$102,361**
Molly Stark	14,000	$54,238	-	-	380,000	$59,911	-	-	**$114,149**
Monument	9,000	$29,250	-	-	120,000	$19,429	-	-	**$48,679**
MAUMS	13,000	$43,137	810	$52,555	958,000	$114,476	3,500	$5,100	**$215,268**
MAUHS	20,000	$76,590	1,100	$65,924	1,600,000	$185,686	6,900	$11,843	**$340,043**
Total	**77,000**	**$270,274**	**1,910**	**$118,479**	**3,118,120**	**$414,804**	**10,400**	**$16,943**	**$820,500**

Notes
Square feet of floor space in each school: Bennington Elementary—41,200; Molly Stark—52,000; Monument—24,000; MAUMS—150,000; MAUHS 225,000.
Fuel and electricity consumption data obtained from the facilities director for each school district; in some cases consumption was averaged over more than one year. Cost data was obtained from annual reports using actual expenses.

The current energy efficiency work being completed at each of the three elementary schools involve a range of improvements, including:

- Installation of efficient LP boilers and elimination of oil boilers from the schools;
- Upgraded control systems and new energy recovery ventilators;
- Replacement of interior and exterior lighting with high efficiency LED fixtures;
- Air sealing and other weatherization work.

Total cost savings to be realized as a result of these improvements is expected to exceed $107,000 per year.

Hospital Campus

The Southwestern Vermont Health Care's (SVHC) main campus in Bennington includes a full-service 99-bed hospital, a 150-bed nursing facility, a medical office building, cancer treatment center, and smaller buildings housing additional administrative and medical functions. SVHC currently is in the final stages of developing a plan for modernization of its facilities, and those plans include replacement of the aging oil boilers, along with other improvements that will affect energy use on the campus. At the present time, SVHC consumes over 600,000 gallons of (# 6) heating oil per year and uses over of 12 million KWH of electricity—clearly, medical facilities are among the biggest energy users in most communities, and certainly in Bennington. Consider, for example, that SVHC annually uses as much oil as nearly 1,000

average houses and as much electricity as several thousand houses. Fortunately, SVHC recently has placed a strong emphasis on energy efficiency, having cooperated with Efficiency Vermont in conducting a comprehensive analysis of its facilities and considering alternative options for replacing its heating plant.

SVHC has commissioned several studies to evaluate alternative solutions for replacing the heating plant. That facility until recently was also used to provide energy for a large institutional laundry, but all laundering is now done off-site. In addition, the facility has converted to an electric chiller system, further reducing future need for energy derived from the heating plant. By removing the laundry function, converting to an electric chiller system, and installing more efficient boilers that utilize compressed natural gas (CNG) rather than #6 heating oil (currently planned and permitted), SVHC has significantly reduced its overall energy demand.

In planning for the new central boiler plant, it became clear that the most energy-efficient and cost-effect option, from an operational standpoint, is a system that uses a woodchip-based boiler with new CNG boilers as a backup. That option, however, is the most expensive to construct initially, even though an analysis completed for SVHC by the Biomass Energy Resource Center shows that, factoring in the cost of financing as well as expected rates of increase in both oil and woodchips, the annual savings associated with the woodchip/oil system exceed $1 million annually.

SVHC has decided to install the new CNG-powered system, but to include a primary convertible boiler to allow for woodchip use should that option become preferable based on future fuel costs. The site plan and buildings have been designed to accommodate the future change with minimal disruption or additional expense.

College Campuses

Bennington is home to two college campuses, Bennington College and Southern Vermont College (the smaller Community College of Vermont, the Vermont Technical College, and the Northeast Baptist College, are considered for the purposes of this analysis to be part of the

Forests cover most of Bennington County; the wood available from Bennington County and surrounding areas can provide energy for facilities such as this 400 horsepower wood boiler system at Bennington College, which has reduced oil consumption on the campus by more than 300,000 gallons per year.

commercial sector). Colleges use a considerable amount of energy for heating residential and academic buildings, and to power the lights, computers, and other special equipment required at such institutions.

Several years ago Bennington College installed a biomass heating system to serve as the primary heat source for most of the college's buildings. According to a study of that system conducted by the Biomass Resource Center, the college uses approximately 4,000 tons of woodchips annually($208,000 at current prices), displacing approximately 350,000 gallons of oil use. Oil boilers still are used as a supplement and back up to the primary biomass system. The college has reported that the biomass system has been reliable and has saved several hundred thousand dollars per year in fuel costs. The college's facilities director has reported that the campus uses approximately 3,186,000 KWH of electricity per year, at a total cost of $552,000. Bennington College has worked with Efficiency Vermont to implement a wide array of measures to reduce electric usage, and, in addition to its biomass heating system, has constructed a new building that is highly energy efficient and which uses a geothermal heating system. Many of the older buildings on campus would benefit from air-sealing, insulation, and other weatherization work; projects that will be taken on as funding becomes available.

Southern Vermont College is a smaller campus (in terms of both student enrollment and buildings); with approximately half of the number of residential students, two main academic buildings, and a field house/gymnasium, so its energy consumption is significantly less than that of Bennington College. Because the campus does not have a biomass boiler system like Bennington College, it's heat energy must be provided by oil and propane gas—and the campus uses approximately 16,000 gallons of propane and 15,000 gallons of oil per year. Annual electricity consumption amounts to 703,000 KwH per year at a cost of $130,000. Many of SVC's buildings are relatively new, although the main academic building (The Mansion) is a historic stone building that certainly could benefit from weatherization work—the design and historic nature of the building will complicate any such work, however.

Both colleges are interested in using local food in their dining halls, and both have considerable acreages of prime agricultural land on their campuses, suggesting the potential for cultivation and processing of food at appropriate locations on their campuses.

Transportation Sector Energy Demand

The amount of energy used for transportation in Vermont has grown steadily and now accounts for more energy consumption than any other single sector. Although significant gains in the overall efficiency of the combined vehicle fleet have not been observed during this time period, improved technology has led to the production of some highly efficient vehicles. However, low fuel prices for gasoline and diesel (generally half to one-third of what consumers pay in many developed countries) have encouraged people to buy large fuel-inefficient vehicles; and even people with fuel-efficient vehicles are able to drive more miles so may not actually be conserving much energy relative to their SUV-driving neighbors.

Inexpensive energy in the transportation sector also has facilitated a land use pattern where people live relatively far from where they work, attend school, shop, and obtain other important services. Until the era of good roads and inexpensive fuel, most people lived in close proximity to urban and village centers where goods and services were close at hand. People who lived in the countryside had to be more self-sufficient, and indeed, most were involved in some type of agricultural activity. Some people have observed that cheap and easy personal transportation has allowed people to live an urban lifestyle in rural locations.

The personal automobile has come to be seen as an indispensable component of modern life, used to get to work, shopping, school, visiting friends, recreational and entertainment venues, and more. Consequently, the amount of fuel used—and dollars spent– to drive ourselves around has become an increasingly important issue for many people. The amount of money spent on gasoline by Bennington residents, for example, is approximately equal to the amount of money spent on all fuels for home heating and electricity (Table 8). According to the 2010 US Census, the average Bennington worker commutes a total of approximately 15 miles per day; with over 8,000 resident workers, mostly commuting in single-occupancy vehicles, commuting alone accounts for over 100,000 miles per day of travel, and over 1.1 million gallons per year (and $3,000,000) of gasoline consumption.

Table 8. Transportation fuel use (personal and commercial/industrial) estimates for Bennington.

		Annual Miles Driven (2)	Gallons Fuel Used (3)	Total Fuel Expenditures (4)
Number of Personal Vehicles	12,118	169,652,000	6,786,080	$16,965,200
Commercial/Industrial Diesel Fuel Use			1,357,200	$4,071,600
Total			**8,143,280**	**$21,036,800**

(1) 6,378 housing units * 1.90 average vehicles per unit (2010 US Census).
(2) Based on 14,000 miles per year per vehicle—current estimates, Federal Highway Administration.
(3) Personal vehicle fuel (gasoline) consumption based on 25 miles per gallon average (US EPA); commercial/industrial estimate based on 20% of personal vehicle fuel consumption (Vermont Department of Public Service data).
(4) Expenditures based on gasoline cost of $2.50/gallon and diesel fuel cost of $3.00/gallon.

A number of electric and "plug-in hybrid" electric vehicles recently have been introduced to the market and some area residents and businesses have purchased them, although relatively few are available from local dealerships. The Town has obtained grant funding to install several EV charging stations in the downtown area, and they receive considerable usage.

The composition of the fuel mix used for transportation in the region will need to change dramatically over time, according to the LEAP model scenarios, to attain the level of renewable fuel use required to support the "90x2050" statewide energy goal (Figure 4, Table 9). This LEAP model scenario for light-duty vehicles shows that gasoline and petroleum diesel powered cars and light trucks in the region will be largely replaced by vehicles powered by electricity (generated from renewable sources) and liquid biofuels by 2050. A comparable trend is expected in Bennington, to be consistent with the modeling criteria. An analysis of the LEAP projections show, for example, that the number of gasoline-fueled vehicles (including gas-ethanol mix fuels) in Bennington would decrease by over 90 percent

The Town has installed several new high-speed electric vehicle charging stations in municipal parking lots.



Figure 4. Change in the use of fuels in the regional transportation sector through 2050, based on LEAP model analysis.

Table 9. Fuel use and number of vehicles using each fuel as a primary energy source through 2050 LEAP model projections (Vermont Energy Investment Corporation.

	2015		2025		2035		2050	
Fuel Type	# Vehicles	Total Fuel Demand	# Vehicles	Total Fuel Demand	# Vehicles	Total Fuel Demand	# Vehicles	Total Fuel Demand
Gasoline (gallons)	7,177	3,444,902	6,859	2,743,563	3,913	1,381,050	334	108,427
Ethanol (gallons)	981	654,296	761	434,733	454	237,127	308	154,107
Electricity (KwH)	36	109,027	572	1,526,377	3,927	9,921,454	8,108	19,458,968
Diesel (gallons)	300	102,754	207	62,193	129	35,153	0	0
Biodiesel (gallons)	23	8,746	128	43,732	212	67,056	322	96,469

Notes: Although, vehicle numbers for base year differ from current estimates (Table 8) because projections are derived from statewide "LEAP" model data—trends are consistent. The model assumes no overall growth in total miles driven. Ethanol includes mix with gasoline and vehicle numbers can be combined for gas/ethanol.

to less than 1,000 vehicles by 2050. Corresponding growth in use of electricity as a primary fuel would lead to a dramatic expansion in the use of electric vehicles (over 8,000 EVs in Bennington by 2050) and vehicles that burn biodiesel fuel.

The town's transportation infrastructure includes the system of local and state roadways, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, local and regional public transportation, and railway and airport facilities. These facilities and services are essential components of the transportation system and are discussed in more detail in the transportation section of this Town Plan. The town has been maintaining and expanding its bicycle and pedestrian facilities and has been working with local, state, and regional partners to ensure that other, non-automotive, modes of transportation are accessible to residents, visitors, and businesses—all key to reducing reliance on fossil fuels in this sector.

Local Energy Production

The vast majority of energy used in Bennington is imported from outside the town (and generally from outside the state and nation) in the form of gasoline, oil, propane, and electricity. Some of the imported electricity is generated from renewable sources, primarily electricity obtained from hydroelectric generating facilities in Quebec and Labrador (via utility contracts with Hydro Quebec). Some energy production currently occurs in Bennington, all of which is electricity generated from renewable sources including a 360 KW capacity hydroelectric facility on the Walloomsac River and roughly 3 MW of solar capacity in small private and moderate-sized commercial photovoltaic systems. For current generation sites and capacities, refer to the Community Energy Dashboard:

http://www.vtenergydashboard.org/my-community/bennington/statistics.

A local energy entrepreneur re-established the hydroelectric generating capacity at the "Paper Mill" site along the Walloomsac River in Bennington. The facility is rated at 360KW generating capacity and produces electricity with greater consistency and reliability than solar or wind facilities.

This 6 KW residential scale solar array is fixed to a bi-directional tracking base so that it can orient the panels to take maximum advantage of solar radiation at any time of the day. Backyard and home rooftop solar arrays as well as larger array, both on the ground and on commercial rooftops, can generate significant amounts of electricity, although generation peaks in the summer and is limited in the winter months. Bennington has approximately 3MW of installed solar generating capacity in town—location and size of existing facilities can be viewed online at the Community Energy Dashboard, an energy resource and mapping tool currently maintained by the Energy Action Network.

8.3 Energy Conservation, Efficiency, and Renewable Energy Strategies

A diverse array of targeted policies and actions will be required to effectively advance the town toward its conservation, efficiency, and renewable energy goals and to support attainment of Vermont's goal of obtaining 90 percent of all energy used in the state from renewable sources by 2050. The following strategies have been identified as most appropriate for the Town of Bennington to pursue at this time. Additional information on land use and transportation policies and recommended actions can be found in the land use and transportation sections of this Town Plan. More detail on many of the approaches can be found in the 2017 Bennington County Regional Energy Plan (*Bennington County Regional Commission, March 2017*) and in the Guidance for Municipal Enhanced Energy Planning Standards (*Vermont Department of Public Service, March 2017).*

Town Energy and Land Use Planning

1. The town should reestablish, maintain, and support its municipal energy committee. That committee should pursue implementation of this plan, advocate for energy conservation and renewable energy projects, and report on a regular basis to the Select Board.
2. Continue implementing land use planning policies that encourage efficient development with high density mixed-used development in the designated growth center and low density development that does not require extensive infrastructure or services in rural areas, consistent with the land use plan and policies set forth in this Town Plan.
3. Actively support investments in the downtown and surrounding neighborhoods, especially projects such as the Putnam Block Redevelopment, that bring new housing and essential businesses such as food stores and hardware stores, as well as employment opportunities, into the walkable center of the community.
4. All developments should be planned to take advantage of opportunities for utilization of solar energy.

Residential Sector Energy Conservation and Efficiency

5. The town should routinely provide information on the state mandated Residential Building Energy Standards to all building permit applicants, and take steps to require and verify that all new residential building meets those Standards.
6. The town should promote use of the "Energy Star" building performance rating system and related building practices that limit energy consumption in new and remodeled homes, and promote the use of Vermont's residential building energy label/score.
7. Energy education programs sponsored by Efficiency Vermont, the Bennington County Regional Commission, and other organizations—particularly those that focus on home weatherization improvements and energy savings—should be supported and widely publicized.
8. Programs that provide funding for weatherization of the homes of lower-income residents, including the Weatherization Assistance Program offered through the Bennington Rutland Opportunity Council (BROC), should be supported.
9. Work with NeighborWorks of Western Vermont (NWWVT) to widely publicize their "Heat Squad" home energy improvement programs, including low-cost audits and assistance with construction and financing.
10. Efforts to assist homeowners to switch to alternative space heating systems, including stoves and systems that burn wood and wood pellets, as well as air source heat pumps,

should be supported. Woody biomass fuels can be sourced locally and heat pumps are highly efficient systems powered by electricity that can be generated from renewable energy sources.

11. A high percentage of Bennington's housing stock are rental properties, and many of those in the center of town would benefit from energy audits, weatherization work, and installation of alternative heating systems, especially air source heat pumps. The town should work with the BCRC to organize and hold another walk-thorough and information session for owners of residential rental properties.

Commercial and Industrial Sector Energy Conservation and Efficiency

12. Obtaining feedstock for heating systems from local sources supports regional economic development and renewable energy goals. The town should work with the regional development corporation, the Bennington County Sustainable Forestry Consortium, and other organizations to support existing forest products businesses and new businesses involved in managing forest lands, transporting and processing woody biomass for home, business, or institutional applications, and should assist with locating sites for manufacturing facilities (especially production of wood pellets).
13. The town should cooperate in efforts to reach out to electrical contractors and others to provide information about opportunities to sell, install, and service heat pumps. Air source heat pumps are an efficient and cost-effective way to reduce reliance on oil and/or propane fuels in many homes and businesses. Bennington also is well-suited for new geothermal heat pump systems—an option that may be particularly viable for new construction and larger commercial/industrial projects. Developers of such projects should be made aware of the value of geothermal systems and efforts to support development of business that provide geothermal system and support (well drillers, excavators, etc.) and coordination between those businesses and electrical contractors should be supported.
14. All new commercial and industrial buildings must meet the state mandated Commercial Building Energy Standards. The town should encourage developers of commercial properties to consider using the "Stretch Codes," mandated through Act 250, in any new commercial construction.
15. Commercial and industrial business owners should be encouraged to work with Efficiency Vermont and energy service companies to assess the potential for converting all or part of their space heating and cooling to efficient air source heat pumps.
16. Business owners should be encouraged to obtain the services of an energy auditor who can assist in identifying measures to adjust operations to minimize energy use.
17. Employees should consider alternative ways of commuting to work and employers should provide facilities to encourage bicycling, walking, and carpooling. Local business groups and the town should promote participation in the annual "Way to Go" commuter program.
18. Business should be provided information about electric vehicle charging stations and encouraged to install such facilities to support employees who would like to use electric vehicles for commuting.
19. The town should make sure that incentives offered through Efficiency Vermont are widely publicized to businesses.

Energy Conservation and Efficiency in the Transportation Sector

20. The town should continue to improve and maintain the town's network of off-road bicycle and pedestrian facilities, identifying safety improvement needs, gaps between important destinations, and other needs. The town should continue to seek funding through the VTrans Bicycle –Pedestrian and Transportation Alternatives programs, as well as from local funds and other sources to plan and implement those projects.
21. The town should ensure that local and state roadway construction and maintenance projects include accommodations for pedestrian and bicycle travel, incorporating "Complete Streets" principles whenever possible. The town should continue to work with the BCRC to plan and implement modifications to local streets to make them more bicycle and pedestrian friendly and to present more attractive streetscapes for all residents and users of the transportation system.
22. The town should work with the Green Mountain Community Network (GMCN) to support wider utilization of the local public transportation system. Employers, shopping centers, and service centers should be contacted and asked to provide information about GMCN routes and services to employees, customers, and clients.
23. Outreach should be conducted through the local school system to encourage greater use of school buses (rather than individual cars) and walking and biking to school.
24. Actively support expansion of intercity bus travel, including the new direct bus connection to the Amtrak rail station in Rensselaer. Work with the Bennington Area Chamber of Commerce and local businesses to ensure that the services are well publicized and that stop and transfer locations are convenient, comfortable, and attractive.
25. Continue to participate in rail planning projects to promote commercial and industrial development that can use rail for freight shipments. Support expenditure of transportation funds on projects to maintain and upgrade rail lines, bridges, crossings, and other critical infrastructure.
26. Actively promote electric vehicle use through cooperation with Drive Electric Vermont and other organizations. Encourage local auto dealers to supply electric and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles.
27. Install EV charging stations in public parking lots and encourage businesses, to install charging stations for their employers and customers. The Bennington Area Chamber and other organizations should highlight the availability and location of EV infrastructure in the community through their websites and other methods.
28. Promote the Go Vermont website to support carpooling, ridesharing, and other opportunities. Support efforts to broaden participation in the "Way to Go" alternative commuting program.
29. Large new commercial, industrial, and multifamily developments should be required to provide EV charging stations at convenient locations, and to provide a location for a public transportation stop.

Local Food Systems

30. Support efforts to develop a more robust local food and agricultural system; participate in efforts to match food producers with large institutional and other consumers.

Municipal Government Energy Practices

31. Pursue energy audits at municipal buildings focusing on weatherization work at older buildings such as the town office building and old blacksmith shop and heating and electrical upgrades at the police station.
32. Consider alternative energy systems such as a small biomass district heat project to heat public buildings in the downtown, solar hot water production at the recreation center, and a demonstration project with liquid biofuels for some town equipment. Assess the potential for deploying air source heat pumps for heating and cooling in all municipal buildings.
33. Consider purchase of more fuel efficient vehicles, including electric vehicles where practical, for all departments; hybrid sedans and SUVs might be particularly effective for the police department, as would new anti-idling technologies.
34. Publicize the successful LED streetlight conversion and encourage business owners to make similar changes on their external lights.

Energy Use in Schools and Institutions

35. The public schools should regularly participate in the School Energy Management Program reviews and continue to work with Efficiency Vermont to obtain incentives for weatherization and efficiency improvements.
36. All schools should promote and encourage the use of school buses and walking and biking to school—including participation in the Safe Routes to Schools program—to reduce reliance on single-passenger vehicle transport.
37. The Southwestern Vermont Medical Center should continue to work with Efficiency Vermont to improve energy conservation at its campus and should continue to move toward utilization of locally sourced woody biomass fuel for use in its new central boiler plant.
38. Southern Vermont College should investigate development of a central biomass based district heating system for its campus.

General Electricity Conservation and Efficiency Measures

39. Support integration of advanced energy storage in the area through cooperation with utilities and review of town plan policies and land use standards.
40. Support full integration of "smart grid" technology throughout the town and region and use of "smart rate" pricing plans.
41. Cooperate with Green Mountain Power and VELCO to ensure that areas planned for new renewable energy generation are consistent with the capacity of the grid infrastructure and to ensure that any upgrades needed are implemented.

Renewable Energy Development

Biomass and Liquid Biofuels

42. The town should support efforts to develop appropriate cost-effective biomass energy resources and help promote combined heat and power biomass projects.
43. The town should support efforts to help farmers produce oil seed crops and liquid biofuels that can be used to operate equipment and machinery on their farms, and potentially supply other businesses and the town with renewable fuels.

Hydroelectric Generation

44. The town has added hydroelectric generation equipment at its water supply facility and has supported development of the 350 KW hydro generating facility at the "Paper Mill" dam site on the Walloomsac River. The town should continue to look for opportunities to develop small hydro projects to support efficient municipal operations. Additional commercial-scale hydroelectric generation is limited due to the fact that the only existing dam sites (other than the Paper Mill dam) are located on Paran Creek in North Bennington Village, between Lake Paran and the Walloomsac River (Figure 5). The town supports efforts by North Bennington, Bennington College, and involved property owners to develop the hydro potential at that series of small dams on Paran Creek.

Generation from Wind Resources

45. Bennington has limited potential for utility-scale wind energy development, as areas with sufficient access to consistent wind are restricted primarily to higher elevations on Mount Anthony and adjacent ridgelines. These areas are relatively close to established residences, and Mount Anthony has been specifically identified as a critical scenic resource for the town in its Scenic Resource Inventory. Development in that area would have a profoundly negative impact on critical viewsheds throughout the community, as the natural profile of the mountain forms an iconic backdrop from both in-town and rural valley locations. The town has consistently objected to and testified against development, including construction of larger telecommunication towers, on and near the summit and ridgeline of Mount Anthony. Because no other locations in Bennington have suitable wind resource, infrastructure availability, or are free from significant environmental constraints (Figure 6), no utility-scale (100 KW capacity or greater) wind energy facilities should be located n the town. Smaller scale wind projects, including residential-scale turbines (generally less than 10 KW) and turbines that may be installed at farms, institutions (such as college campuses), or small businesses, up to 100 KW, may be appropriate as long as noise from the turbines does not adversely affect neighboring residential properties and as long as they are not prominently visible from any town-identified historic district.

Solar Energy Generation

46. The town particularly encourages solar energy development, of any scale, on building rooftops.
47. The town strongly supports the development of small-scale (150 KW capacity or less) electricity generation from solar energy at homes, businesses, schools, and other institutions, as well as <u>community solar</u> projects.

<u>Community Solar Projects</u>

Community solar projects offer an opportunity for a range of people, who might not otherwise have access to the benefits of solar energy generation, to participate in a clean energy project. These individuals may include people who do not own property themselves or those who own buildings with limitations caused by shading or the size, orientation, or structural stability of a roof. Moreover, community solar projects offer efficiencies of scale that make individual investments more viable for people of moderate incomes.



Figure 5. Existing dams in Bennington and hydroelectric generation potential at each. Only the 350 KW Paper Mill site currently is generating electricity. The other sites shown on the map are smaller dams located along Paran Creek in North Bennington.



Figure 6. Wind energy resources sufficient for utility-scale generation are limited in Bennington by topography and existing homes and critical environmental resources preclude development in most locations. The summit of Mount Anthony and adjacent ridgelines are unsuitable for wind energy development because of impacts on identified scenic resources. Smaller scale wind turbines generating electricity for residences, small businesses, and institutions may be appropriate at suitable sites that do not violate state noise or environmental standards.

Community solar projects, as discussed in this section, are group net metered solar energy installations between 15kW and 150kW in size, with shares in the facility sold to the site owner, neighbors, community members, nonprofit organizations, and local businesses. These energy users buy shares in proportion to their annual electrical usage. When construction is completed, power is fed directly into the grid, and a group net metering document is filed with the utility showing the allocation of shares among the various members. The utility then splits the output of the solar farm among the members in proportion to their share size, crediting their utility accounts.

Community solar projects, as described above, are encouraged and may be located anywhere in town not specifically identified as a "Prohibited (Exclusion) Area" in the Solar Facility Siting Criteria set forth in this section. Moreover, any community solar project located on a site that is not a prohibited/exclusion area shall be considered as being located on a preferred site and eligible for all of the regulatory and financial incentives associated with larger scale solar energy installations pursuant to Public Utility Commission Rule 5.100 and 30 V.S.A. Section 248. The town does encourage community solar projects to be located on sites identified as having high potential for electricity generation based on solar resource availability.

Siting and Design of Large-Scale Solar Powered Electricity Generation Facilities

Any larger scale solar development (greater than 150 kW capacity) shall be subject to the following Solar Energy Facility Siting Policy and Map, Solar Electric Facility Siting Guidelines, and the town's solar facility screening ordinance.

Solar Energy Facility Siting Policy and Map

The Solar Energy Resource Map (Figure 7) shall serve as a guide for developers wishing to identify land suitable for solar energy generation facilities within the Town of Bennington. This map identifies sites which have been determined by the Town of Bennington, through official action of the Select Board, to be suitable for solar facilities and sites which are preferred sites for solar energy generating facilities. Only sites identified as preferred sites on this map or located in a preferred area as defined in the Solar Facility Siting Criteria, below, may be developed with solar generating facilities in excess 150 KW of rated capacity.

The Solar Energy Resource Map (Figure 7) shall be used in concert with the Town's Screening of Solar Facilities Ordinance and the Solar Facility Siting Guidelines (incorporating the Community Standards and Siting Criteria) included in this section of the Town Plan to direct the development and design of solar facilities. Although solar energy development at these preferred sites and locations is an appropriate land use, all such development shall be carefully planned to limit adverse impacts to neighboring properties and to public viewsheds, giving consideration to The Town's Screening of Solar Facilities Ordinance and Solar Facility Siting Guidelines.

The sites indicated on this map as suitable for solar energy development were selected after a thorough analysis of available geographic data, including an assessment of access to solar energy as well as environmental, aesthetic, cultural, and related regulatory constraints. State-identified environmental constraints are discussed in more detail in the Bennington County Regional Energy Plan, and include the following resource areas:

- Class 1 and 2 wetlands, vernal pools, and hydric soils;
- Mapped river corridors and FEMA-defined floodways;
- Natural communities and rare, threatened, and endangered species;

- Federal wilderness areas;
- "Primary" and "Statewide" significant agricultural soils;
- FEMA-defined special flood hazard areas;
- Lands protected for conservation purposes;
- Deer wintering areas; and
- State-identified high priority "Conservation Design Forest Blocks."

Lands with one or more of the above constraints were excluded from consideration as preferred sites, while areas that did not have any state-identified constraints were carefully analyzed by the Town, and sites most likely to comply with the Town Plan's Community Standards and Siting Standards for Solar Facilities were identified as potentially suitable. Specifically excluded from consideration as sites suitable for development were land located in the Forest or Agriculture land use districts, privately owned land in the Rural Conservation land use district, land within 100 feet of public roads, land within 0.25 miles of any of the three covered bridges, Willow Park, and land within scenic viewsheds identified in the Scenic Resource Inventory of Bennington. Potentially suitable sites were determined to be appropriate for development only if they were likely to be developed with solar generating facilities based on property size, landowner interest, proximity to infrastructure, and community benefit.

Approximately 540 acres of land are shown on the Solar Energy Resource Map as being suitable and preferred for development of these facilities. Of the land within those parcels, over 340 acres do not have constraints that would prevent development. This acreage, together with projected future development on rooftops and other preferred locations, far exceeds the acreage needed to meet the town's solar energy generation target, 25 MW of capacity by the year 2050, identified in the Bennington County Regional Energy Plan. Moreover, that targeted level of generation includes residential, rooftop, and other small-scale generation that is expected to account for up to 10 MW of capacity by 2050. Therefore, all locations other than these mapped areas and land specifically identified as preferred areas in this Town Plan, are considered unsuitable for solar generating facilities in excess of 150 KW of rated capacity.

Solar Electricity Facility Siting Guidelines

The term "solar facility" shall have the following meaning: a solar electricity generation and transmission facility with a 150kW(AC) or greater capacity, including all on-site and off-site improvements necessary for the development and operation, and on-going maintenance of the facility.

The Town of Bennington has developed community standards and siting standards for the development of solar facilities for reference and use by facility developers and local property owners and for consideration in Section 248 proceedings (30 VSA §248). These standards are set forth below. In addition, The Bennington Planning Commission, in consultation with the Bennington County Regional Commission, has identified and mapped (Figure 7) those areas of Bennington that are most suitable for solar facility development based on facility siting requirements and municipal energy, conservation, and development policies and objectives set forth in the Bennington Town Plan, the Bennington Screening of Solar Facilities Ordinance, and the Bennington Land Use and Development Regulations.

Pursuant to 30 VSA Sec. 248, prior to the construction of a solar facility, the VT Public Utility Commission (PUC) must issue a Certificate of Public Good. A Section 248 review addresses environmental, economic, and social impacts associated with a particular project,

similar to Act 250. In making its determination, the PUC must give due consideration to the recommendations of municipal planning commissions and their respective plan(s). Accordingly, it is appropriate that Bennington's Town Plan address these land uses and provide guidance to town officials, regulators, and facility developers.

The Town of Bennington may participate in the Public Utility Commission's review of new and expanded generation facilities to ensure that local energy, resource conservation, and development objectives are identified and considered in proposed utility development. This may include joint participation and collaboration with other affected municipalities and the Bennington County Regional Commission for projects that may have significant regional impact. It is acknowledged that the PUC's primary focus is on administering state public policy and regulating actions that are directed at ensuring that utility services promote the general good of the state.

The Planning Commission, in consultation with the Bennington Select Board, should develop guidelines to direct local participation in Section 248 proceedings related to solar facilities located in Bennington or in neighboring communities which may affect the town. The guidelines should reflect levels of participation or formal intervention in relation to the type, location, scale, operation, and magnitude of a proposed project, and its potential benefits, detriments to, and impacts on the community.

The following Community Standards and Solar Facility Siting Criteria apply to all solar generation projects exceeding 15 kW capacity in Bennington.

Community Standards

The following community standards are to be considered in undertaking municipal solar electricity projects and programs, in updating Bennington's Land Use and Development Regulations to address solar facilities subject to local regulation, and in the review of any new or upgraded solar facilities in excess of 15 kW capacity, by the Town of Bennington and the Public Utility Commission (Section 248 review).

- Plan Conformance: New solar facilities and proposed system upgrades should be consistent with the Vermont Comprehensive Energy Plan, the Vermont Long-Range Transmission Plan, and utilities Integrated Resource Planning (IRP).

- Benefits: A demonstrated statewide public need that outweighs adverse impacts to local residents and resources must be documented for municipal support of new solar facilities located within or which may otherwise affect Bennington. Facility development must benefit Town of Bennington and State residents, businesses, and property owners in direct proportion to the impacts of the proposed development.

- Impacts: New solar facilities must be evaluated for consistency with community and regional development objectives and shall avoid undue adverse impacts to significant cultural, natural, and scenic resources and aesthetic values identified by the community in the Bennington Town Plan and the Scenic Resources Inventory. When evaluating impacts of a proposed solar facility under the criteria set forth in this Town Plan, the cumulative impact of existing solar facilities, approved pending solar facilities and the proposed solar facility shall be considered. It is explicitly understood that a proposed solar facility which by itself may not have an adverse impact may be deemed to have an adverse impact when considered

in light of the cumulative impacts of the proposed solar facility and existing solar facilities and pending already approved solar facilities.

- Decommissioning: All facility certificates shall specify conditions for system decommissioning, including required sureties (bonds) for facility removal and site restoration to a safe, useful, and environmentally stable condition. All hazardous materials and structures, including foundations, pads and accessory structures, must be removed from the site and safely disposed of in accordance with regulations and best practices current at the time of decommissioning

Solar Facility Siting Criteria

Bennington supports development of solar energy generation facilities consistent with the policies and guidelines set forth in this plan. It recognizes that financial considerations require projects to be located in close proximity to electric power lines capable of distributing the load proposed to be generated and to have convenient access from major transportation networks for construction. However, the town desires to maintain the open landscape and scenic views important to Bennington's sense of place, tourism economy, and rural cultural aesthetic. Not all solar facilities proposed can meet this standard. Projects must meet the following criteria in order to be supported by this Town Plan:

- Siting Requirements: New solar facilities shall be sited in locations that do not adversely impact the community's traditional and planned patterns of growth, of compact (downtown/village) centers surrounded by a rural countryside, including working farms and forest land. Solar facilities shall, therefore, not be sited in locations that adversely impact scenic views, roads, or other areas identified in the Scenic Resources Inventory, nor shall solar facilities be sited in locations that adversely impact any of the following scenic attributes identified in the Scenic Resource Inventory: views across open fields, especially when those fields form an important foreground; prominent ridgelines or hillsides that can be seen from many public vantage points and thus form a natural backdrop for many landscapes; historic buildings and districts and gateways to historic districts; and, scenes that include important contrasting elements such as water. The impact on prime and statewide agricultural soils currently in production shall be minimized during project design.

- Preferred Areas: The following areas are specifically identified as preferred areas for solar facilities, as they are most likely to meet the siting requirements:
    - ◊ Roof-mounted systems;
    - ◊ Systems located in proximity to existing large scale, commercial or industrial buildings;
    - ◊ Proximity to existing hedgerows or other topographical features that naturally screen the entire proposed array;
    - ◊ Reuse of former brownfields;
    - ◊ Facilities that are sited in disturbed areas, such as gravel pits, closed landfills, or former quarries;
    - ◊ Areas specifically identified as suitable for solar facilities on the Solar Energy Resource Map (Figure 7).

- Prohibited (Exclusion) Areas: In addition to those areas that do not meet the siting requirements set forth above, development of solar generating facilities shall be excluded from (prohibited within), and shall not be supported by the Town, in the following locations:
    - ◊ Floodways shown on Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs);
    - ◊ Fluvial erosion hazard areas (river corridors) as shown in the Town of Bennington Land Use and Development Regulations;
    - ◊ Class I or II wetlands;
    - ◊ A location that would significantly diminish the economic viability or potential economic viability of the town's working landscape, including productive forest land and primary agricultural soils (as defined in Act 250 and as mapped by the U.S. Natural Resource Conservation Service);
    - ◊ Rare, threatened, or endangered species habitat or communities as mapped or identified through site investigation, and core habitat areas, migratory routes and travel corridors;
    - ◊ Ridgelines: Mount Anthony, Whipstock Hill, Bald Mountain (Green Mountains);
    - ◊ Steep slopes (>25%)
    - ◊ Surface waters and riparian buffer areas (except for stream crossings);
    - ◊ Topography that causes a facility to be prominently visible against the skyline from public and private vantage points such as roads, homes, and neighborhoods;
    - ◊ A site in proximity to and interfering with a significant viewshed identified in the Scenic Resource Inventory;
    - ◊ A site on which a solar facility project cannot comply with Bennington's prescribed siting and screening standards, including the screening requirements set forth in Bennington's Screening of Solar Facilities Ordinance;
    - ◊ A site that causes adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources, including state or federal designated historic districts, sites and structures, and locally significant cultural resources identified in the municipal plan. Prohibited impacts to historical and cultural resources include:
        - ∗ removal or demolition;
        - ∗ physical or structural damage, significant visual intrusion, or threat to the use;
        - ∗ significant intrusion in a rural historic district or historic landscape with a high degree of integrity;
        - ∗ significant visual intrusion into a hillside that serves as a backdrop to a historic site or structure;
        - ∗ creating a focal point that would disrupt or distract from elements of a historic landscape;
        - ∗ a significant intrusion in a rural historic district or historic landscape that has a high degree of integrity;
        - ∗ impairing a vista or viewshed from a historic resource that is a significant component of its historic character and history of use;
        - ∗ visually overwhelming a historic setting, such as by being dramatically out of scale;
        - ∗ isolating a historic resource from its historic setting, or introducing incongruous or incompatible uses, or new visual, audible or atmospheric elements.

- Mass and Scale: Except for projects located on preferred sites, solar facilities larger than 10 acres, individually or cumulatively, cannot be adequately screened or mitigated to blend into the municipality's landscape and are, therefore, explicitly prohibited.



Figure 7. Solar Energy Resource Potential Map. Solar energy facilities in excess of 150 KW of capacity shall be restricted to preferred sites and to building rooftops and other locations specifically identified in this section as preferred areas for solar energy development; other sites are considered unsuitable for solar energy development in excess of 150 KW of capacity. All facility siting is subject to the specific Siting Guidelines set forth in this section of the Town Plan.



Preferred Solar Sites
3-Phase Distribution
Transmission Lines
Unconstrained Solar - Preferred Parcels
Secondary Solar - Preferred Parcels

0 0.5 1 2 Miles

N

Preferred sites contain a total of 348 acres of prime and secondary solar resource (543 total acres in selected parcels)

Map 7a. Preferred sites—suitable for development of small, community, and larger (utility) scale solar generation projects.